

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Ocean Service

Data Acquisition & Processing Report

Type of Survey: Basic Hydrographic Survey

Project Number: S-J952-NRT1-18

Time Frame: October - October 2018

LOCALITY

State(s): Florida

General Locality: Pensacola Bay

2019

CHIEF OF PARTY
Dan Jacobs

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

Date:

Table of Contents

<u>A System Equipment and Software</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>A.1 Survey Vessels</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>A.1.1 S3008</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>A.2 Echo Sounding Equipment</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>A.2.1 Multibeam Echosounders</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>A.2.1.1 Kongsberg EM2040C</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>A.2.2 Single Beam Echosounders</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>A.2.3 Side Scan Sonars</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>A.2.3.1 Edgetech 4125</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>A.2.4 Phase Measuring Bathymetric Sonars</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>A.2.5 Other Echosounders</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>A.3 Manual Sounding Equipment</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>A.3.1 Diver Depth Gauges</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.3.2 Lead Lines</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.3.3 Sounding Poles</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.3.4 Other Manual Sounding Equipment</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.4 Horizontal and Vertical Control Equipment</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.4.1 Base Station Equipment</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.4.2 Rover Equipment</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.4.3 Water Level Gauges</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.4.4 Levels</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.4.5 Other Horizontal and Vertical Control Equipment</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>A.5 Positioning and Attitude Equipment</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>A.5.1 Positioning and Attitude Systems</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>A.5.1.1 Applanix POS MV V5</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>A.5.2 DGPS</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>A.5.3 GPS</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>A.5.4 Laser Rangefinders</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>A.5.5 Other Positioning and Attitude Equipment</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>A.6 Sound Speed Equipment</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>A.6.1 Moving Vessel Profilers</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>A.6.2 CTD Profilers</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>A.6.2.1 SonTek CastAway-CTD</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>A.6.3 Sound Speed Sensors</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>A.6.3.1 AML Oceanographic MicroX with SVXchange</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>A.6.4 TSG Sensors</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>A.6.5 Other Sound Speed Equipment</u>	<u>7</u>

A.7 Computer Software	7
A.7.1 Teledyne CARIS HIPS and SIPS	7
A.7.2 Teledyne CARIS BASE Editor	8
A.7.3 Applanix POSView	8
A.7.4 Applanix POSPac MMS	8
A.7.5 NOAA, Hydrographic Systems and Technology Branch (HSTB) Pydro Explorer	8
A.7.6 Hypack Hypack 2018	9
A.7.7 Kongsberg SIS	9
A.7.8 Edgetech Discover 4125	9
A.8 Bottom Sampling Equipment	9
A.8.1 Bottom Samplers	9
B System Alignment and Accuracy	9
B.1 Vessel Offsets and Layback	9
B.1.1 Vessel Offsets	10
B.1.1.1 Vessel Offset Correctors	10
B.1.2 Layback	10
B.2 Static and Dynamic Draft	10
B.2.1 Static Draft	10
B.2.1.1 Static Draft Correctors	11
B.2.2 Dynamic Draft	11
B.2.2.1 Dynamic Draft Correctors	12
B.3 System Alignment	12
B.3.1 System Alignment Methods and Procedures	12
B.3.1.1 System Alignment Correctors	12
C Data Acquisition and Processing	13
C.1 Bathymetry	13
C.1.1 Multibeam Echosounder	13
C.1.2 Single Beam Echosounder	14
C.1.3 Phase Measuring Bathymetric Sonar	14
C.1.4 Gridding and Surface Generation	14
C.1.4.1 Surface Generation Overview	14
C.1.4.2 Depth Derivation	14
C.1.4.3 Surface Computation Algorithm	14
C.2 Imagery	14
C.2.1 Multibeam Backscatter Data	14
C.2.2 Side Scan Sonar	14
C.2.3 Phase Measuring Bathymetric Sonar	15
C.3 Horizontal and Vertical Control	15

C.3.1 Horizontal Control	15
C.3.1.1 GNSS Base Station Data	15
C.3.1.2 DGPS Data	15
C.3.2 Vertical Control	15
C.3.2.1 Water Level Data	15
C.3.2.2 Optical Level Data	15
C.4 Vessel Positioning	16
C.5 Sound Speed	16
C.5.1 Sound Speed Profiles	16
C.5.2 Surface Sound Speed	17
C.6 Uncertainty	17
C.6.1 Total Propagated Uncertainty Computation Methods	17
C.6.2 Uncertainty Components	17
C.7 Shoreline and Feature Data	18
C.8 Bottom Sample Data	18
D Data Quality Management	18
D.1 Bathymetric Data Integrity and Quality Management	19
D.1.1 Directed Editing	19
D.1.2 Designated Sounding Selection	19
D.1.3 Holiday Identification	19
D.1.4 Uncertainty Assessment	19
D.1.5 Surface Difference Review	20
D.1.5.1 Crossline to Mainscheme	20
D.1.5.2 Junctions	20
D.1.5.3 Platform to Platform	20
D.2 Imagery data Integrity and Quality Management	20
E. Approval Sheet	ii

List of Figures

Figure 1: Navigation Response Team 4 - S3008.....	1
Figure 2: Kongsberg EM2040C sonar head and processing unit.....	2
Figure 3: EdgeTech 4125 towfish and rack mount processing unit.....	3
Figure 4: POS MV V5 system components: IMU, POS Computer System, and two GNSS antennas.....	5
Figure 5: SonTek CastAway CTD System.....	7
Figure 6: AML MicroX Sound Speed Sensor.....	7

Data Acquisition and Processing Report

Navigation Response Team 4

Chief of Party: Dan Jacobs

Year: 2019

Version: 1

Publish Date: 2019-03-12

A System Equipment and Software

A.1 Survey Vessels

A.1.1 S3008

<i>Vessel Name</i>	S3008	
<i>Hull Number</i>	LALMF056B516	
<i>Description</i>	NOAA Survey Vessel S3008 is a 30 foot, aluminum hulled fire boat modified for NOAA hydrographic survey operations. Its powered by dual 225 horsepower Honda outboard engines. A Kohler 7.5 EKD generator supplies AC power for two workstations, 5 monitors, one POS system, one multibeam echosounder system, and one side scan sonar system.	
<i>Dimensions</i>	<i>LOA</i>	10 meters
	<i>Beam</i>	2.4 meters
	<i>Max Draft</i>	0.5 meters
<i>Most Recent Full Static Survey</i>	<i>Date</i>	2017-02-24
	<i>Performed By</i>	National Geodetic Survey

Figure 1: Navigation Response Team 4 - S3008

A.2 Echo Sounding Equipment

A.2.1 Multibeam Echosounders

A.2.1.1 Kongsberg EM2040C

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Kongsberg																											
<i>Model</i>	EM2040C																											
<i>Description</i>	<p>The Kongsberg EM2040C system is a digital recording multibeam echo sounder which is capable of operating at 200kHz, 300kHz, 400kHz, or in a Frequency Modulation (FM) Chirp. The system is comprised of a receiver unit that is hull mounted, a Hydrographic Work Station (HWS), and a Processor Unit (PU). The EM2040C is operated through Seafloor Information System (SIS) software; version 4.3.2. The EM2040C is used to acquire full and partial bottom bathymetric coverage throughout a survey area to determine least depths over critical items such as wrecks, obstructions, dangers-to-navigation, and general object detection. The maximum depth range for a single head system in cold ocean water is 520 m at 200 kHz with a swath width up to 580 m. The angular coverage for 200 to 320 kHz is 130° with one sonar head, allowing coverage 4.3 times water depth. For frequencies above 320 kHz the angular coverage per head is gradually decreasing to 70° at 400 kHz. The nadir beam width is 1° x 1° at 400 kHz. The system has a maximum ping rate of 50 Hz with 400 soundings per ping.</p> <p>While operating in partial coverage, the EM2040C collects data concurrently with the EdgeTech 4125 without acoustic interference, commonly referred to as "skunk striping". NRT4 operates the EM2040C at a frequency of 300kHz for normal operations, as specified in the Kongsberg operator's manual. This configuration provides an ideal mix of resolution and range for surveying within NRT4's operational area. The specifications below reflect this mode of operation.</p>																											
<i>Inventory</i>	S3008	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Component</i></th> <th>Sonar Head</th> <th>HWS Work Station</th> <th>Processing Unit</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Model Number</i></td> <td>EM2040C</td> <td>MP5810</td> <td>CZC5503RFP</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Serial Number</i></td> <td>796</td> <td>1049</td> <td>1668</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Frequency</i></td> <td>200-400 kHz</td> <td>n/a</td> <td>n/a</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Calibration</i></td> <td>2018-07-10</td> <td>2018-07-10</td> <td>2018-07-10</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Accuracy Check</i></td> <td>2018-07-10</td> <td>2018-07-10</td> <td>2018-07-10</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Component</i>	Sonar Head	HWS Work Station	Processing Unit	<i>Model Number</i>	EM2040C	MP5810	CZC5503RFP	<i>Serial Number</i>	796	1049	1668	<i>Frequency</i>	200-400 kHz	n/a	n/a	<i>Calibration</i>	2018-07-10	2018-07-10	2018-07-10	<i>Accuracy Check</i>	2018-07-10	2018-07-10	2018-07-10		
<i>Component</i>	Sonar Head	HWS Work Station	Processing Unit																									
<i>Model Number</i>	EM2040C	MP5810	CZC5503RFP																									
<i>Serial Number</i>	796	1049	1668																									
<i>Frequency</i>	200-400 kHz	n/a	n/a																									
<i>Calibration</i>	2018-07-10	2018-07-10	2018-07-10																									
<i>Accuracy Check</i>	2018-07-10	2018-07-10	2018-07-10																									

Figure 2: Kongsberg EM2040C sonar head and processing unit.

A.2.2 Single Beam Echosounders

No single beam echosounders were utilized for data acquisition.

A.2.3 Side Scan Sonars

A.2.3.1 Edgetech 4125

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Edgetech																				
<i>Model</i>	4125																				
<i>Description</i>	<p>The Edgetech 4125 system includes a stainless steel towfish, topside processor unit (TPU) and 30 meters of Kevlar tow cable. The towfish's dimensions are 9.5cm in diameter, 97cm in length with an overall weight of 15kg (34 pounds). It has two frequency ranges, 400 and 900 kHz, and is capable of logging data in both frequencies simultaneously. Frequencies are transmitted as linearly-swept, wide-band, high energy acoustic pulses, and the received echoes are processed into high signal-to-noise (SNR) images that can be directly displayed as shades of gray color on a computer monitor. Operating ranges are 150 m at 400 kHz and 75 m at 900 kHz. Horizontal beam widths are 0.46° at 400 kHz and 0.28° at 900 kHz. The vertical beam width is 50°. Across-track resolution is 2.3 cm at 400 kHz and 1.0 cm at 900 kHz. The towfish is pole mounted on a USM pole mount system that connects to a welded plate on the port side of the vessel. The pole mount system allows of easier, and safer, sidescan data collection in shallow areas or channels with high traffic. Data are collected while the vessel travels at, or near, 4kts. Sidescan data are logged using the Hypack HSX file format.</p>																				
<i>Inventory</i>	S3008	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Component</i></th> <th>Towfish</th> <th>Processing Unit</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Model Number</i></td> <td>4125</td> <td>4125</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Serial Number</i></td> <td>40425</td> <td>40260</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Frequency</i></td> <td>400/900 kHz</td> <td>n/a</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Calibration</i></td> <td>2018-09-03</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Accuracy Check</i></td> <td>2018-09-03</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Component</i>	Towfish	Processing Unit	<i>Model Number</i>	4125	4125	<i>Serial Number</i>	40425	40260	<i>Frequency</i>	400/900 kHz	n/a	<i>Calibration</i>	2018-09-03	N/A	<i>Accuracy Check</i>	2018-09-03	N/A	
<i>Component</i>	Towfish	Processing Unit																			
<i>Model Number</i>	4125	4125																			
<i>Serial Number</i>	40425	40260																			
<i>Frequency</i>	400/900 kHz	n/a																			
<i>Calibration</i>	2018-09-03	N/A																			
<i>Accuracy Check</i>	2018-09-03	N/A																			

Figure 3: EdgeTech 4125 towfish and rack mount processing unit.

A.2.4 Phase Measuring Bathymetric Sonars

No phase measuring bathymetric sonars were utilized for data acquisition.

A.2.5 Other Echosounders

No additional echosounders were utilized for data acquisition.

A.3 Manual Sounding Equipment

A.3.1 Diver Depth Gauges

No diver depth gauges were utilized for data acquisition.

A.3.2 Lead Lines

No lead lines were utilized for data acquisition.

A.3.3 Sounding Poles

No sounding poles were utilized for data acquisition.

A.3.4 Other Manual Sounding Equipment

No additional manual sounding equipment was utilized for data acquisition.

A.4 Horizontal and Vertical Control Equipment**A.4.1 Base Station Equipment**

No base station equipment was utilized for data acquisition.

A.4.2 Rover Equipment

No rover equipment was utilized for data acquisition.

A.4.3 Water Level Gauges

No water level gauges were utilized for data acquisition.

A.4.4 Levels

No levels were utilized for data acquisition.

A.4.5 Other Horizontal and Vertical Control Equipment

No other equipment were utilized for data acquisition.

A.5 Positioning and Attitude Equipment

A.5.1 Positioning and Attitude Systems

A.5.1.1 Applanix POS MV V5

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Applanix				
<i>Model</i>	POS MV V5				
<i>Description</i>	The POS MV V5 is a GNSS-aided inertial navigation system, which provides a blended position solution derived from both an Inertial Motion Unit (IMU) and an integrated GNSS receiver. The IMU and GPS receiver are complementary sensors, and data from one are used to filter and constrain errors from the other. This inter-dependence results in higher position accuracy and fewer errors. Position accuracy is displayed in real time by the POS MV software and is monitored to ensure that positioning accuracy requirements as outlined in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables (HSSD) were not exceeded. In addition to position, the POS MV also provides accurate navigation and attitude data to correct for the effects of heave, pitch, roll and heading. When using differential correctors, the POS MV generates attitude data in three axes (roll, pitch and heading) to an accuracy of 0.02° or better. Heave measurements supplied by the POS MV maintain an accuracy of 5 cm or 5% of the measured vertical displacement (whichever is greater) for movements that have a period of up to 20 seconds.				
<i>Inventory</i>	S3008	<i>Component</i>	Topside Box	GNSS Antenna (2)	Inertial Measurement Unit
		<i>Model Number</i>	POS MV V5	Trimble GA530	IMU 7
		<i>Serial Number</i>	PCS-5910	14793/14811	3075
		<i>Calibration</i>	2018-07-10	2018-07-10	2018-07-10

Figure 4: POS MV V5 system components: IMU, POS Computer System, and two GNSS antennas.

A.5.2 DGPS

DGPS equipment was not utilized for data acquisition.

A.5.3 GPS

GPS equipment was not utilized for data acquisition.

A.5.4 Laser Rangefinders

Laser rangefinders were not utilized for data acquisition.

A.5.5 Other Positioning and Attitude Equipment

No additional positioning and attitude equipment was utilized for data acquisition.

A.6 Sound Speed Equipment

A.6.1 Moving Vessel Profilers

No moving vessel profilers were utilized for data acquisition.

A.6.2 CTD Profilers

A.6.2.1 SonTek CastAway-CTD

<i>Manufacturer</i>	SonTek	
<i>Model</i>	CastAway-CTD	
<i>Description</i>	<p>The CastAway-CTD is a small, rugged CTD designed for profiling to depths of up to 100m. The system achieves a 5 Hz response time, fine spatial resolution, and high accuracy, with sound speed measurements accurate within ± 0.15 m/s. It uses a six electrode flow-through conductivity cell with zero external field coupled with a rapid response thermistor to attain high measurement accuracies. The instrument is simple to deploy, does not require a pump and is hydrodynamically designed to free fall rate of 1 m/s. Each CastAway-CTD cast is referenced with both time and location using its built-in GPS receiver. Latitude and longitude are acquired both before and after each profile. Plots of conductivity, temperature, salinity and sound speed versus depth can be viewed immediately on the CastAway's integrated color LCD screen. Raw data is downloaded via Bluetooth to the launch acquisition computer for analysis and to export into SIS.</p>	
<i>Inventory</i>	<i>Component</i>	CastAway CTD
	<i>Model Number</i>	400100
	<i>Serial Number</i>	CC1232007
	<i>Calibration</i>	2017-12-01

Figure 5: SonTek CastAway CTD System

A.6.3 Sound Speed Sensors

A.6.3.1 AML Oceanographic MicroX with SVXchange

<i>Manufacturer</i>	AML Oceanographic		
<i>Model</i>	MicroX with SVXchange		
<i>Description</i>	The AML MicroX instrument with an SVXchange sensor-head provided surface sound speed data to the Kongsberg EM 2040C for beam forming and steering. The unit is mounted in a removable pole that is inserted into a bracket mounted on the transom between the two motors. The unit is configured to output an AML datagram to SIS.		
<i>Inventory</i>	S3008	<i>Component</i>	Sound Speed Sensor
		<i>Model Number</i>	Micro X
		<i>Serial Number</i>	205757
		<i>Calibration</i>	2018-06-12

Figure 6: AML MicroX Sound Speed Sensor

A.6.4 TSG Sensors

No surface sound speed sensors were utilized for data acquisition.

A.6.5 Other Sound Speed Equipment

No surface sound speed sensors were utilized for data acquisition.

A.7 Computer Software

A.7.1 Teledyne CARIS HIPS and SIPS

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Teledyne CARIS
<i>Software Name</i>	HIPS and SIPS
<i>Version</i>	10.4.2

<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Acquisition

A.7.2 Teledyne CARIS BASE Editor

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Teledyne CARIS
<i>Software Name</i>	BASE Editor
<i>Version</i>	4.4
<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Processing

A.7.3 Applanix POSView

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Applanix
<i>Software Name</i>	POSView
<i>Version</i>	9.29
<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Acquisition

A.7.4 Applanix POSPac MMS

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Applanix
<i>Software Name</i>	POSPac MMS
<i>Version</i>	8.3
<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Processing

A.7.5 NOAA, Hydrographic Systems and Technology Branch (HSTB) Pydro Explorer

<i>Manufacturer</i>	NOAA, Hydrographic Systems and Technology Branch (HSTB)
<i>Software Name</i>	Pydro Explorer
<i>Version</i>	18.1.r7731
<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Processing

A.7.6 Hypack Hypack 2018

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Hypack
<i>Software Name</i>	Hypack 2018
<i>Version</i>	2018
<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Acquisition

A.7.7 Kongsberg SIS

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Kongsberg
<i>Software Name</i>	SIS
<i>Version</i>	4.3.2
<i>Installation Date</i>	2015-03-24
<i>Use</i>	Acquisition

A.7.8 Edgetech Discover 4125

<i>Manufacturer</i>	Edgetech
<i>Software Name</i>	Discover 4125
<i>Version</i>	9
<i>Installation Date</i>	2018-01-01
<i>Use</i>	Acquisition

A.8 Bottom Sampling Equipment

A.8.1 Bottom Samplers

No bottom sampling equipment was utilized for data acquisition.

B System Alignment and Accuracy

B.1 Vessel Offsets and Layback

B.1.1 Vessel Offsets

For NRT4, all vessel offset values are stored in the CARIS HVF. The IMU is the Reference Point (RP) for hull S3008. The transmit transducer phase center is defined as the Reference Point (RP) for hull S3008.

B.1.1.1 Vessel Offset Correctors

<i>Vessel</i>	S3008				
<i>Echosounder</i>	Kongsberg Maritime EM2040C				
<i>Date</i>	2018-07-10				
<i>Offsets</i>	<i>MRU to Transducer</i>		<i>Measurement</i>	<i>Uncertainty</i>	
		<i>x</i>	-0.002 meters	0.010 meters	
		<i>y</i>	0.010 meters	0.010 meters	
		<i>z</i>	0.385 meters	0.010 meters	
		<i>Nav to Transducer</i>	<i>x</i>	0.856 meters	0.010 meters
			<i>y</i>	-4.174 meters	0.010 meters
	<i>z</i>		3.144 meters	0.010 meters	
	<i>Transducer Roll</i>	<i>Roll</i>	0.00 degrees		

B.1.2 Layback

NONE

Layback correctors were not applied.

B.2 Static and Dynamic Draft

B.2.1 Static Draft

Static draft corrector values are entered in the Kongsberg SIS Installation Parameters window. In addition to being entered into the SIS Installation Parameters window, waterline values are also entered in the CARIS HVF. This waterline value in CARIS will only be used during Sound Velocity Correction. The Apply switch is also set to “No”. If it is set to “Yes”, the waterline value will be applied twice, once in SIS and again in Merge.

B.2.1.1 Static Draft Correctors

<i>Vessel</i>	S3008	
<i>Date</i>	2018-07-10	
<i>Loading</i>	0.015 meters	
<i>Static Draft</i>	<i>Measurement</i>	-0.0510 meters
	<i>Uncertainty</i>	0.024 meters

B.2.2 Dynamic Draft

NRT4 acquired dynamic draft survey lines in Galveston Bay, just outside of Houston, TX. While logging a POS file, two straight lines were run north and south at 4, 6, 8, and 10 knots for approximately 3 minutes. The POS file was imported into POSpac and the following processes were run: finding base stations, smartbase quality check, Applanix Smart Base, and GNSS Inertial Processor. In Pydro the POSpac AutoQC tool was used to open the project to see the results by directing the program to the extract folder, and adding a tide file and HVF file. A 4th order polynomial regression was determined from the test and the outputs are normally entered into the Caris HVF.

The calculated dynamic draft values for NRT4 were compared to other response teams with the same platform. It was found that there may have been an issue with the tide data as the numbers were quite different than the other teams. It was suggested that all teams should use the same dynamic draft values from a team with the highest confidence in their results. It was suggested that NRT 1 had the best results and that it would be best to use their values. So the Dynamic Draft Correctors displayed in the table and entered into the HVF are from NRT1.

Figure 7: Dynamic draft values.

B.2.2.1 Dynamic Draft Correctors

<i>Vessel</i>	S3008	
<i>Date</i>	2018-05-03	
<i>Dynamic Draft</i>	<i>Speed (m/s)</i>	<i>Draft (m)</i>
	0.00	0.00
	0.50	0.03
	1.00	0.04
	1.50	0.04
	2.00	0.04
	2.50	0.05
	3.00	0.08
	3.50	0.12
	4.00	0.16
<i>Uncertainty</i>	<i>Vessel Speed (m/s)</i>	<i>Delta Draft (m)</i>
	0.05	0.03

B.3 System Alignment

B.3.1 System Alignment Methods and Procedures

The 2018 field season patch test was conducted as part of the HSRR (see the Appendix for full report). The patch test determined any roll, pitch, and yaw biases (X, Y, and Z axis) and the time offset between the MBES reference frame and the navigational reference frame. All patch tests are conducted in accordance with the HSSD Section 5.2.4.1. The lines are post-processed and the CARIS Calibration Utility is performed by all NRT4 crew members. The results of the three trials are averaged and the result is recorded in the "IMU Frame w.r.t. Ref. Frame" inputs located in the POS Installation: Lever Arms & Mounting Angles window, after converting the values from the CARIS to the POS M/V coordinate system. It should also be stated that since the purpose of this exercise is to zero out the biases, the inverse of the patch test values are entered into the POS M/V, so that the sum of the offset equals zero, eliminating the bias. As the POS M/V is outputting the position at the EM2040 transducer head, no offsets are needed in the CARIS HVF file to correct the position. Therefore, the navigation offsets in the CARIS HVF file are all zero. Accidentally placing the offsets into the HVF would cause them to "double apply" and introduce significant biases.

B.3.1.1 System Alignment Correctors

<i>Vessel</i>	S3008		
<i>Echosounder</i>	Kongsberg Maritime EM2040C		
<i>Date</i>	2018-07-10		
<i>Patch Test Values</i>		<i>Corrector</i>	<i>Uncertainty</i>
	<i>Transducer Time Correction</i>	0.000 seconds	0.000 seconds
	<i>Navigation Time Correction</i>	0.000 seconds	0.000 seconds
	<i>Pitch</i>	-1.65 degrees	0.24 degrees
	<i>Roll</i>	0.03 degrees	0.04 degrees
	<i>Yaw</i>	2.11 degrees	0.14 degrees
	<i>Pitch Time Correction</i>	0.000 seconds	0.000 seconds
	<i>Roll Time Correction</i>	0.000 seconds	0.000 seconds
	<i>Yaw Time Correction</i>	0.000 seconds	0.000 seconds
	<i>Heave Time Correction</i>	0.000 seconds	0.000 seconds

C Data Acquisition and Processing

C.1 Bathymetry

C.1.1 Multibeam Echosounder

Data Acquisition Methods and Procedures

Kongsberg multibeam data is logged using SIS in the ".all" format. The hydrographer scans the real time SIS data for system wide errors, anomalies, and dropouts. Display windows such as Sea Bed Image, Time Series, Water Fall, and Beam Intensity aid in this task. SIS data is also fed through HYPACKS's HYSWEEP for the coxswain's display. This secondary interface acts as another real time monitoring tool. During acquisition, the hydrographer reviews the real time data and provides feedback to the coxswain in order to ensure acquired data will meet coverage requirements set forth in the Project Instructions and HSSD Section 5.2.2.

Data Processing Methods and Procedures

Following acquisition, multibeam sonar data were processed either using CARIS HIPS and SIPS manually or by using the automated Pydro Explorer application, Charlene, to perform the same steps. The standard data processing steps are as follows:

1. Convert raw Kongsberg (.all) data to the HDCS data format
2. Load delayed heave
3. Load and apply sound velocity correctors
4. Compute GPS tide to transform data from the ellipsoid to the tidal datum using a separation model
5. Merge data to apply position attitude, and dynamic draft correctors to bathymetry and compute the

corrected depth of each sounding

6. Compute Total Propagated Uncertainty (TPU)

8. Add data to a CUBE surface encompassing the entire survey

9. Data quality control and analysis

C.1.2 Single Beam Echosounder

Single beam echosounder bathymetry was not acquired.

C.1.3 Phase Measuring Bathymetric Sonar

Phase measuring bathymetric sonar bathymetry was not acquired.

C.1.4 Gridding and Surface Generation

C.1.4.1 Surface Generation Overview

MBES data are gridded using CARIS HIPS Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetric Estimator (CUBE) algorithm and is processed as described in FPM Section 4.2.1.1, using methods described above in Section C.1.1. The CUBE surface is also created using a grid resolution determined by coverage type and depth, as required by the Project Instructions and specified in the HSSD, Section 5.2.2. The "Depth" layer is reviewed for holidays (gaps in coverage) or erroneous soundings. Any erroneous soundings, known as fliers, are flagged as rejected and removed from the surface so the surface more accurately represents the seafloor. Any least depth on a feature that is not accurately reflected in the surface is flagged as "designated" in order to force the surface to reflect that shoaler depth in accordance with HSSD Section 5.2.1.2.3.

C.1.4.2 Depth Derivation

See above

C.1.4.3 Surface Computation Algorithm

See Above

C.2 Imagery

C.2.1 Multibeam Backscatter Data

Multibeam backscatter imagery was not acquired.

C.2.2 Side Scan Sonar

Data Acquisition Methods and Procedures

The SSS towfish is deployed on a Universal Sonar Mount (USM) arm fixed to a plate welded onto the port side gunwale near the stern. The arm can be rotated 90 degrees and brought back on board S3008 when acquisition is complete.

The range scales of the Edgetech 4125's high and low frequencies can be set independently. Confidence checks are performed daily by observing changes in linear bottom features extending to the outer edges of the digital side scan image, features on the bottom in survey area, and by passing aids to navigation. Daily rub tests are also conducted.

Data Processing Methods and Procedures

Following acquisition, side scan sonar data were processed either using CARIS HIPS and SIPS manually or by using the automated Pydro Explorer application, Charlene, to perform the same steps. The standard data processing steps are as follows:

1. Convert raw Edgetech JSF data to the HDCS data format
2. Digitize towfish height as needed
3. Recompute towfish navigation to compute the position of the towfish in relation to the vessel
4. Review lines for contacts and designate soundings in corresponding multibeam data as needed
5. Create mosaics and evaluate for coverage gaps

C.2.3 Phase Measuring Bathymetric Sonar

Phase measuring bathymetric sonar imagery was not acquired.

C.3 Horizontal and Vertical Control

C.3.1 Horizontal Control

C.3.1.1 GNSS Base Station Data

GNSS base station data was not acquired.

C.3.1.2 DGPS Data

DGPS data was not acquired.

C.3.2 Vertical Control

C.3.2.1 Water Level Data

Water level data was not acquired.

C.3.2.2 Optical Level Data

Optical level data was not acquired.

C.4 Vessel Positioning

Data Acquisition Methods and Procedures

Attitude and Heave data were measured with the sensors described in Section A.5, and applied in post processing during SVP Correct and Merge in CARIS HIPS. S3008 utilizes a heave filter integration method known as “TrueHeave” as described in Section 3.4.1.2 of the 2014 Field Procedures Manual. This filter almost completely eliminates the need for steadying up on lines before logging can begin. TrueHeave data were logged throughout the day via the POS MV's Ethernet logging feature. Data are logged to the acquisition computers hard drive and are approximately 12-Megabyte (MB) files. Each file has a unique identifier for the year, Julian day number, and the vessel number (ex. 2017_214_S3007.000). The multiple POS files that are created from logging in this way are each distinguished by the numbering found in the file type (e.g, 000, 001, 002, etc.). After regular CARIS data conversion, the TrueHeave file was separately loaded into HIPS, replacing the unfiltered heave values recorded in the raw data. TrueHeave is actually applied to the data, if the check box is marked, during the sound velocity correction process. It is standard procedure to begin logging the POS MV Applanix .000 file at least 5 minutes before starting bathymetric data acquisition and letting it run for at least 5 minutes afterward. Although the filter that produces the true heave values by looking at a long series of data to create a baseline needs only 3 minutes before and after the acquisition of bathymetric data, SBET processing which uses the same .000 file, requires logging for 5 minutes before and after bathymetric acquisition. Timing and attitude biases were determined in accordance with Section 1.5 of the Field Procedures Manual, and are described in Section B of this report.

Data Processing Methods and Procedures

The POS/MV file is recorded during acquisition and saved to the network RAW drive. The POS/MV file is loaded, applied to, and merged with the raw sonar data in CARIS via Charlene, using the "Import Auxiliary Data" utility as part of the standard processing flow.

C.5 Sound Speed

C.5.1 Sound Speed Profiles

Data Acquisition Methods and Procedures

The CastAway CTD is the primary instrument to acquire sound velocity profiles, unless otherwise stated in the Descriptive Report. CARIS HIPS then utilizes the concatenated sound velocity data as a corrector. Casts are acquired every 2-4 hours during MBES acquisition. Profiles are collected more frequently when current and weather conditions warrant or when SIS indicates a new cast is needed.

Data Processing Methods and Procedures

All SVP casts are processed using HydrOffice's Sound Speed Manager and exported into SIS to be used in real time beam pattern formation. In CARIS, the "Nearest in Distance Within Time of Four Hours" option is used when correcting the data for sound speed unless otherwise noted in the DR.

C.5.2 Surface Sound Speed

Data Acquisition Methods and Procedures

Surface sound speed data is directly measured by the Valeport mini SVS for use by the MBES during acquisition.

Data Processing Methods and Procedures

The Kongsberg EM2040C uses the sound velocity profile from the CTD profile for its beam forming equation and only depends on the surface sound speed as a comparison tool to ensure accuracy. This accuracy check is performed by comparing the continuous reading from the surface sound speed profiler to the CTD reading. The reading from the surface sound speed sensor can be applied to the data during processing.

C.6 Uncertainty

C.6.1 Total Propagated Uncertainty Computation Methods

There are two places in CARIS where the user directly defines uncertainty values for use in CARIS to calculate TPU values, in the HVF and the direct input of SV and tide values during the TPU computation. TPU values for all motion, navigation position and timing values are taken directly from Appendix IV (Uncertainty values for use in CARIS with vessels equipped WITH an attitude sensor) of the FPM. All timing values were set to 0.01 seconds as outlined for setups with Ethernet connections and precise timing. All offset values were chosen to be 0.01 meters based on the accuracy provided by professional surveys. All MRU alignment values are derived from the patch test. The gyro value is taken directly from the standard deviation of the yaw values. The pitch/roll value is combined as one in the HVF and is computed as the square root of pitch standard deviation squared plus roll standard deviation squared.

C.6.2 Uncertainty Components

A Priori Uncertainty

<i>Vessel</i>		S3008
<i>Motion Sensor</i>	<i>Gyro</i>	0.02 degrees
	<i>Heave</i>	5.00%
		0.05 meters
	<i>Roll</i>	0.02 degrees
<i>Pitch</i>	0.02 degrees	
<i>Navigation Sensor</i>		1.00 meters

Real-Time Uncertainty

Real-time uncertainty was not applied.

C.7 Shoreline and Feature Data

Data Acquisition Methods and Procedures

In preparation for shoreline verification, the Survey Manager copies the project wide composite source file (CSF) and crops it to include only items contained on their assigned sheet. This cropped file is then saved as a HOB file named HXXXXX_Final_Features_File.hob. It is to this final features HOB file that any edits are performed.

Shoreline verification is conducted during daylight periods near predicted MLLW tides of +0.5m or less. A line is run along the shore approximating the position of the Navigational Area Limit Line (NALL). Features are examined in accordance with the limited verification guidelines in the FPM. In the field, CARIS HIPS and SIPS is used to acquire DPs and/or modify S-57 attribution of existing features. The hydrographer both investigates assigned features and scans the area for new features to be addressed.

Data Processing Methods and Procedures

Features are generally documented and given S-57 attribution in real time. To increase efficiency during the limited shoreline window, the Survey Manager may forgo S-57 attribution with HIPS and SIPS while in the field and instead take thorough notes for later attribution.

C.8 Bottom Sample Data

Bottom sample data was not acquired.

D Data Quality Management

D.1 Bathymetric Data Integrity and Quality Management

D.1.1 Directed Editing

The CUBE surface child layers: uncertainty, standard deviation, and node standard deviation were primarily used to help focus directed editing to soundings that were negatively affecting the BASE surface. Another method to check the quality of sounding data prior to submission is the Pydro QCTools “Flier Finder”. This software scans the CUBE surface for potential anomalous grid data. Lowering the flier height value will increase the sensitivity of the flier finder, resulting in more nodes being flagged. Fliers are then exported as .000 S-57 files that can be imported into CARIS HIPS and SIPS to aid in further cleaning. If desired, the user can set a new tolerance (“Flier height”) and rerun Flier finder.

D.1.2 Designated Sounding Selection

Since the calculated depth for each grid node of a BASE surface is influenced by multiple soundings, the least depth of a feature may not always be accurately represented in the gridded data. Poor sounding density, noisy data, strong filters, and improper data cleaning, can all exacerbate this issue. Therefore, prior to creating a finalized BASE surface, the hydrographer must systematically review significant feature least depths to ensure they are accurately portrayed by the BASE surface. Additional data (including local knowledge, diver investigations, or sidescan sonar) should be reviewed in concert with the depth soundings. If the hydrographer finds that a specific least depth sounding should be represented in the weighted mean-depth calculation for the associated BASE surface grid node, that sounding should be flagged “Designated”. A designated sounding is required to be the shoalest sounding of a feature that sits at least 1m above the seafloor and the gridded surface is shown to exceed the allowable TVU at that depth (see Figure 5.1 in section 5.2.1.2.3 of the HSSD). The designated sounding must also be at least 2mm away, at survey scale, from any other shoaler sounding.

D.1.3 Holiday Identification

This survey was a "skunk-stripe" style survey with sidescan sonar data collected concurrently. The survey line spacing was based on a 50m range scale setting of the sidescan sonar. Due to the shallow nature of the survey area the multibeam data did not reach 100% coverage. Therefore identifying holidays in the multibeam data is not required as long as 200% sidescan data coverage was achieved.

D.1.4 Uncertainty Assessment

NRT4's primary bathymetric data review and quality control tool is the CARIS CUBE surfaces. The CUBE algorithm generates a surface consisting of multiple hypotheses that represent the possible depths at any given position. The CUBE surface is a grid of estimation nodes where depth values are computed based on the horizontal and vertical uncertainty of each contributing sounding. Any individual sounding's uncertainty, or Total Propagated Uncertainty (TPU), is derived from the assumed uncertainty in the echosounder measurement itself, as well as the contributing correctors from sound speed, water levels, position, and

attitude. TPU values for tide and sound velocity must be entered for each vessel during TPU computation, unless using TCARI, where uncertainty is added directly to survey lines by Pydro.

D.1.5 Surface Difference Review

D.1.5.1 Crossline to Mainscheme

Cross-lines with a linear nautical total of at least 8% of mainscheme multibeam lines were run on each survey. Then a CUBE surface was created using strictly the main scheme lines, while a second surface was created using only the crosslines. From these two surfaces, a surface difference was generated (at a 1 meter resolution). Statistics were then derived from the difference surface and documented within the Descriptive Report for each survey.

D.1.5.2 Junctions

Junction overlap areas are acquired so to be at least approximately one bathymetric swath width at the nominal depth of the junction, as per the HSSD. Junction areas are then evaluated to ensure they have met this overlap requirement and also to inspect the relative agreement of depths. When junctions share a common grid resolution, it is chosen to perform the junction analysis.

D.1.5.3 Platform to Platform

NONE

D.2 Imagery data Integrity and Quality Management

Imagery data integrity and quality management were not conducted for this survey.

List of Appendices:

<i>Mandatory Report</i>	<i>File</i>
<i>Vessel Wiring Diagram</i>	NRT4_WiringDiagram.jpg
<i>Sound Speed Sensor Calibration</i>	2018_AML_CalibrationCertificate_SoundVelocity.jpg
	2017_castaway_Cal_1_2018FS.jpeg
<i>Vessel Offset</i>	S3008_NGS_Survey_2017.pdf
<i>Position and Attitude Sensor Calibration</i>	2018_NRT4_POS-MV_Cal_Report_DN110_2018.pdf
<i>Echosounder Confidence Check</i>	SSS_Confidence_Radius_2018_PASS.pdf
<i>Echosounder Acceptance Trial Results</i>	N/A

E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, I acknowledge that all of the information contained in this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

The entire survey is adequate to supersede previous data.

NONE

Approver Name	Approver Title	Date	Signature
Dan Jacobs	Team Lead	12/10/2018	