U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Survey		
I	DESCRIPTIVE REPORT	
Type of Survey:	Navigable Area	
Registry Number:	D00175	
	LOCALITY	
State(s):	Oregon Washington	
General Locality:	Offshore Washington and Oregon	
Sub-locality:	Oregon - Washington Coast	
	2013	
R	CHIEF OF PARTY ichard T. Brennan, CDR/NOAA	
	LIBRARY & ARCHIVES	
Date:		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE REGISTRY NUMBER: NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION			
HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEETD00175			
INSTRUCTIONS: The Hydrog	INSTRUCTIONS: The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.		
State(s):	Oregon Washington		
General Locality:	Offshore Washington and Oregon		
Sub-Locality:	Oregon - Washington Coast		
Scale:	cale: <b>40000</b>		
Dates of Survey:	ates of Survey: 04/28/2013 to 10/07/2013		
Instructions Dated:	03/21/2013		
roject Number: S-M921-FARA-13			
Field Unit: NOAA Ship Rainier			
Chief of Party: Richard T. Brennan, CDR/NOAA			
Soundings by:	Soundings by: Multibeam Echo Sounder		
magery by: Multibeam Echo Sounder Backscatter		c	
Verification by:	Verification by: Pacific Hydrographic Branch		
Soundings Acquired in:	meters at Mean Sea Level		

#### Remarks:

The purpose of this survey is to provide contemporary surveys to update National Ocean Service (NOS) nautical charts. All separates are filed with the hydrographic data. Any revisions to the Descriptive Report (DR) generated during office processing are shown in bold red italic text. The processing branch maintains the DR as a field unit product, therefore, all information and recommendations within the body of the DR are considered preliminary unless otherwise noted. The final disposition of surveyed features is represented in the OCS nautical chart update products. All pertinent records for this survey, including the DR, are archived at the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) and can be retrieved via http:// www.ngdc.noaa.gov/.

# **Table of Contents**

<u>A. Area Surveyed</u>	<u>1</u>
A.1 Survey Limits	<u>1</u>
A.2 Survey Purpose	<u>3</u>
A.3 Survey Quality	<u>3</u>
A.4 Survey Coverage	<u>4</u>
A.5 Survey Statistics	<u>5</u>
B. Data Acquisition and Processing	<u>6</u>
B.1 Equipment and Vessels	<u>6</u>
B.1.1 Vessels	<u>6</u>
B.1.2 Equipment	<u>7</u>
B.2 Quality Control	<u>7</u>
B.2.1 Crosslines	<u>7</u>
B.2.2 Uncertainty	<u>7</u>
B.2.3 Junctions	<u>8</u>
B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks	<u>8</u>
B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness	<u>8</u>
B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings	<u>8</u>
B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods	<u>8</u>
B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods	<u>9</u>
B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections.	<u>9</u>
B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings	<u>9</u>
B.3.2 Calibrations.	<u>9</u>
B.4 Backscatter	<u>9</u>
B.5 Data Processing	<u>9</u>
B.5.1 Software Updates	<u>9</u>
B.5.2 Surfaces	<u>10</u>
C. Vertical and Horizontal Control	<u>11</u>
C.1 Vertical Control	<u>11</u>
C.2 Horizontal Control	<u>11</u>
D. Results and Recommendations	<u>12</u>
D.1 Chart Comparison	<u>12</u>
D.1.1 Raster Charts	<u>12</u>
D.1.2 AWOIS Items	<u>12</u>
D.1.3 Maritime Boundary Points	<u>12</u>
D.1.4 Charted Features	<u>13</u>
D.1.5 Uncharted Features	<u>13</u>
D.1.6 Dangers to Navigation	<u>13</u>
D.1.7 Shoal and Hazardous Features	<u>13</u>
D.1.8 Channels	<u>13</u>
D.1.9 Bottom Samples	<u>13</u>
D.2 Additional Results	<u>13</u>
D.2.1 Shoreline	<u>13</u>
D.2.2 Prior Surveys	<u>13</u>

D.2.3 Aids to Navigation	14
D.2.4 Overhead Features	14
D.2.5 Submarine Features	14
D.2.6 Ferry Routes and Terminals	14
D.2.7 Platforms	14
D.2.8 Significant Features	14
D.2.9 Construction and Dredging	14
D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations	14
D.2.11 New Inset Recommendations	15
E. Approval Sheet	16
F. Table of Acronyms	17

# **List of Tables**

Table 1: Survey Limits	1
Table 2: Hydrographic Survey Statistics.	5
Table 3: Dates of Hydrography	6
Table 4: Vessels Used	6
Table 5: Major Systems Used	7
Table 6: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values	7
Table 7: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values	7
Table 8: Calibrations not discussed in the DAPR	9
Table 9: Submitted Surfaces	10
Table 10: USCG DGPS Stations	11
Table 11: Largest Scale Raster Charts.	<u>12</u>

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1	: D00175	survey	limits	. 2
Figure 2	: D00175	survey	<u>coverage.</u>	.4

### **Descriptive Report to Accompany Survey D00175**

Project: S-M921-FARA-13 Locality: Offshore Washington and Oregon Sublocality: Oregon - Washington Coast Scale: 1:40000 April 2013 - October 2013 NOAA Ship *Rainier* 

Chief of Party: Richard T. Brennan, CDR/NOAA

## A. Area Surveyed

The survey area is approximately 25 nautical miles west of the Washington-Oregon Coast. The extents of the survey span from Yaquina Bay, Oregon to the Strait of Juan De Fuca, Washington (Figure 1).

### **A.1 Survey Limits**

Data were acquired within the following survey limits:

Northwest Limit	Southeast Limit
48° 27" 2.24' N	44° 30" 31.97' N
124° 7" 13.27' W	125° 6" 51.34' W

Table 1: Survey Limits



Figure 1: D00175 survey limits.

According to the Project Instructions, data was to be acquired on survey D00175 only during transits of the Pacific Coast. As such, the entirety of the survey limits were not acquired in the two transits included in this survey.

### A.2 Survey Purpose

The purpose of this project is to acquire multibeam backscatter data during RAINIER's transit from its home port of Newport, OR and its working grounds in Washington and Alaska.

### A.3 Survey Quality

The survey is partially adequate to supersede previous data.

For Survey D00175, no concurrent sound speed profiling was conducted, and no control was applied to account for water levels. The survey does show reasonable agreement with previously charted depths, and could be considered to augment the more sparse regions of the charts. However, in the shoaler areas, like the approaches to Yaquina River or Puget Sound, data from Survey D00175 should not supersede existing data.

### A.4 Survey Coverage



Figure 2: D00175 survey coverage.

In the areas surveyed, survey coverage was in accordance with the requirements in the Project Instructions. There are numerous cases of data gaps due to foul weather and the occasional loss of bottom tracking.

## A.5 Survey Statistics

The following table lists the mainscheme and crossline acquisition mileage for this survey:

	Vessel	Total
	SBES Mainscheme	0
	MBES Mainscheme	508.13
	Lidar Mainscheme	0
	SSS Mainscheme	0
LNM	SBES/MBES Combo LNM Mainscheme	
	SBES/SSS Combo Mainscheme	0
	MBES/SSS Combo Mainscheme	0
	SBES/MBES Combo Crosslines	0
	Lidar Crosslines	0
Number of Bottom Samples		0
Number AWOIS Items Investigated		0
Number Maritime Boundary Points Investigated		0
Number of DPs		0
Number of Items Items Investigated by Dive Ops		0
Total Number of SNM		249.25

Table 2: Hydrographic Survey Statistics

Survey Dates	Julian Day Number
04/28/2013	118
04/29/2013	119
04/30/2013	120
10/06/2013	279
10/07/2013	280

The following table lists the specific dates of data acquisition for this survey:

Table 3: Dates of Hydrography

# **B.** Data Acquisition and Processing

### **B.1 Equipment and Vessels**

Refer to the Data Acquisition and Processing Report (DAPR) for a complete description of data acquisition and processing systems, survey vessels, quality control procedures and data processing methods. Additional information to supplement sounding and survey data, and any deviations from the DAPR are discussed in the following sections.

### **B.1.1 Vessels**

The following vessels were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Hull ID	<i>S221</i>
LOA	231 feet
Draft	16.5 feet

Table 4: Vessels Used

### **B.1.2 Equipment**

The following major systems were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Manufacturer	Model	Туре	
Kongsberg	EM710	MBES	
Applanix	POS/MV 320 V4	Positioning and Attitude System	
Reson Inc.	SVP70	Sound Speed System	
ODIM Brooke Ocean (Rolls-Royce group)	MVP200	Sound Speed System	

Table 5: Major Systems Used

### **B.2 Quality Control**

#### **B.2.1** Crosslines

Crosslines, acquired for this survey, totalled 0% of mainscheme acquisition.

As survey D00175 was designated as a transit survey, no crosslines were acquired.

### **B.2.2 Uncertainty**

The following survey specific parameters were used for this survey:

Measured	Zoning
1.25 meters	0 meters

Table 6: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values

Hull ID	Measured - CTD	Measured - MVP	Surface
S221			0.05 meters/second

Table 7: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values

In accordance with the Project Instructions, there was no vertical control for this project; as such, the tidal uncertainty was unavailable. Similarly, only a single MVP cast from the previous year was used for the entire survey, making an estimate of the MVP measured uncertainty impractical. Lacking these major inputs

to the uncertainty model, the propagated uncertainty of the soundings is of questionable merit. For these reasons, no comparison was made between the alleged uncertainty of the soundings and the allowable IHO uncertainty. A 0.7 meter (1-sigma) uncertainty was entered into the tide measured uncertainty to ensure the reported uncertainties of the surfaces were at least 1.4 meters (2-sigma), thus ensuring any derived product from D00175 could not be interpreted as an IHO Order 1 survey.

#### **B.2.3 Junctions**

There are no contemporary surveys that junction with this survey.

### **B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks**

Sonar system quality control checks were conducted as detailed in the quality control section of the DAPR.

### **B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness**

#### Kongsberg EM710 Data Artifact

During the 2012 Hydrographic Survey Readiness Review, an artifact was identified in bathymetric data acquired with the RAINIER's Kongsberg EM710. This heave-like artifact amplifies with vessel dynamics; in particular, as the magnitude of the ship's pitch and heave increases (e.g. in heavy weather), so too does the magnitude of the depth errors. This artifact is most apparent in the northbound trackline, and has a magnitude of up to several meters. Before the southbound transit data was acquired, a calibration was performed which significantly reduced this artifact. This calibration is discussed in Section B.3.2 Calibrations.

### **B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings**

There were no other factors that affected corrections to soundings.

#### **B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods**

Sound Speed Cast Frequency: A single sound speed cast from the 2012 transit survey (D00165) was applied to all lines due to limitations related to logistics and foul weather experienced in 2013. Given the lack of a more recent cast, there are sound speed artifacts within the data.

### The sound speed cast used was from survey D00163.

### **B.2.8** Coverage Equipment and Methods

All equipment and survey methods were used as detailed in the DAPR.

### **B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections**

### **B.3.1** Corrections to Echo Soundings

All data reduction procedures conform to those detailed in the DAPR.

### **B.3.2** Calibrations

The following calibrations were conducted after the initial system calibration discussed in the DAPR:

Calibration Type	Date	Reason
S221 Patch Test	2013-05-25	Update of system configuration.

Table 8: Calibrations not discussed in the DAPR.

In cooperation with University of New Hampshire and The Center for Coastal and Ocean Mapping, a new vessel file was created for S221 to resolve a recurring artifact seen in data collected by the Kongsberg EM710 on the RAINIER. On 25 May (DN146), the ship's system integration was reconfigured, moving the reference point for both the IMU and the sonar to the center of the sonar's transmit array. This implies that both real-time and logged data is in the ship's reference frame, with the EM710 transmitter as the origin.

Necessarily, this new vessel file (S221\_Simrad-EM710\_TxRef.hvf) contains new patch test values as well as the change to the vessel's reference frame. Twenty-two lines (DN279 and DN280) were acquired using this new configuration. This configuration is further described in the DAPR.

### **B.4 Backscatter**

Backscatter data was acquired, but not formally processed by RAINIER personnel. However, periodic spot checks were performed to ensure backscatter quality. Backscatter was logged as .ALL files and submitted to NGDC, but is not included with the data submitted to the Branch.

### **B.5 Data Processing**

### **B.5.1 Software Updates**

There were no software configuration changes after the DAPR was submitted.

The following Feature Object Catalog was used: NOAA Profile V\_5\_3\_2

All data was processed using CARIS HIPS and SIPS 8.0.4. It should be noted that all Kongsberg EM710 data was intentionally processed without the Simrad Sound Velocity Correction (SVC) module. This was done in order to avoid a known error in the SVC module associated with reverse-mounted transducers. To accomplish this, a custom CARIS license file was used, which excluded the licensing for the Simrad SVC. For further details, refer to the DAPR.

### **B.5.2 Surfaces**

The following surfaces and/or BAGs were submitted to the Processing Branch:

Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
D00175_8m	CUBE	8 meters	8 meters - 1403 meters	NOAA_8m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing
D00175_16m	CUBE	16 meters	8 meters - 1403 meters	NOAA_16m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing
D00175_32m	CUBE	32 meters	8 meters - 1403 meters	NOAA_32m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing
D00175_8m_0to160m_Final	CUBE	8 meters	8 meters - 160 meters	NOAA_8m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing
D00175_16m_144to320m_Final	CUBE	16 meters	144 meters - 320 meters	NOAA_16m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing
D00175_32m_288to1403m_Final	CUBE	32 meters	288 meters - 1403 meters	NOAA_32m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing
D00175_32m_Combined	CUBE	32 meters	8 meters - 1403 meters	NOAA_32m	MBES TracklineSBES Set Line Spacing

### Table 9: Submitted Surfaces

In some areas, primarily the approaches to the Yaquina River (extreme south end of the survey), depths are shoaler than 72 meters. However, given the lack of vertical control and inadequate sound speed

profiling associated with this survey, it would be inappropriate to use the data from survey D00175 for the approaches to a port. To emphasize this, the data was intentionally left gridded at a coarse resolution. Further, software limitations make it impractical to grid the entire survey at resolutions much finer than 8 meters. In accordance with the HSSD, the data was gridded at a maximum (coarsest) resolution of 32 meters. In several instances, a coarser resolution surface would be more appropriate, owing to the extreme depths (exceeding 1400 meters).

# **C. Vertical and Horizontal Control**

In accordance with the Project Instructions, there was no vertical control requirement for this project. As such, a zero tide file was applied to all survey lines.

### **C.1 Vertical Control**

The vertical datum for this project is Mean Sea Level.

### C.2 Horizontal Control

The horizontal datum for this project is North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83).

The projection used for this project is 10N.

The following DGPS Stations were used for horizontal control:

DGPS Stations
Whidbey Island, WA (302) kHz
Fort Stevens, OR (287) kHz

Table 10: USCG DGPS Stations

# **D. Results and Recommendations**

### **D.1 Chart Comparison**

Chart comparisons were not required for this survey, however, a cursory examination was performed as detailed below.

### **D.1.1 Raster Charts**

The following are the largest scale raster charts, which cover the survey area:

Chart	Scale	Edition	Edition Date	LNM Date	NM Date
18003	1:736560	20	11/2006	04/26/2013	04/26/2013

Table 11: Largest Scale Raster Charts

#### 18003

In accordance with the Project Instructions, chart comparisons were not required for survey D00175; however, a cursory examination of the gridded data was performed against Chart 18003 which covers the entire survey, as well as with larger scale charts which cover small sections of the survey area (18480, 18500, 18520, 18561 and 18581). No discrepancies of navigational significance were noted between the survey data and the raster charts.

The following are the largest scale charts that cover the area: 18581, 18561, 18460, 18480, 18500, 18520. The following are the largest scale ENCs that cover the area: US5OR44M, US5OR43M, US4WA36M, US3WA01M, US3WA03M, US3OR01M. The charted features that fell within and near the survey coverage were examined during the SAR and none of them could be identified in the data. Due to the field statement on data quality and recommendations in section A.3 of the DR, the reviewer recommends that all charted features be retained.

### **D.1.2 AWOIS Items**

No AWOIS items were assigned for this survey.

### **D.1.3 Maritime Boundary Points**

No Maritime Boundary Points were assigned for this survey.

### **D.1.4 Charted Features**

No charted features exist for this survey.

### **D.1.5 Uncharted Features**

No uncharted features exist for this survey.

### **D.1.6 Dangers to Navigation**

No Danger to Navigation Reports were submitted for this survey.

### **D.1.7 Shoal and Hazardous Features**

No shoals or potentially hazardous features exist for this survey.

#### **D.1.8** Channels

No channels exist for this survey. There are no designated anchorages, precautionary areas, safety fairways, traffic separation schemes, pilot boarding areas, or channel and range lines within the survey limits.

There is a charted channel on chart 18561, the channel is the main entrance to the Yakina Bay in Oregon, in Addition, on chart 18460 there is a charted traffic separation schema and precautionary areas on the Strait of Juan de Fuca in WA.

#### **D.1.9 Bottom Samples**

No bottom samples were required for this survey.

### **D.2 Additional Results**

### **D.2.1 Shoreline**

Shoreline was not assigned in the Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions or Statement of Work.

### **D.2.2 Prior Surveys**

No prior survey comparisons exist for this survey.

### **D.2.3** Aids to Navigation

No Aids to navigation (ATONs) exist for this survey.

There are ATONs marking the entrance and channel into Yaquina Bay, however, they were not investigated during this survey.

### **D.2.4 Overhead Features**

No overhead features exist for this survey.

### **D.2.5 Submarine Features**

No submarine features exist for this survey.

### **D.2.6 Ferry Routes and Terminals**

No ferry routes or terminals exist for this survey.

### **D.2.7 Platforms**

No platforms exist for this survey.

### **D.2.8 Significant Features**

No significant features exist for this survey.

### **D.2.9** Construction and Dredging

No present or planned construction or dredging exist within the survey limits.

The channel into Yaquina Bay is regularly maintained by USACE, although no maintenance activity was observed at the time of the survey.

### **D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations**

No new surveys or further investigations are recommended for this area.

### **D.2.11 New Inset Recommendations**

No new insets are recommended for this area.

# E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, Field operations for this hydrographic survey were conducted under my direct supervision, with frequent personal checks of progress and adequacy. I have reviewed the attached survey data and reports.

All field sheets, this Descriptive Report, and all accompanying records and data are approved. All records are forwarded for final review and processing to the Processing Branch.

The survey data meets or exceeds requirements as set forth in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys and Specifications Deliverables Manual, Field Procedures Manual, Standing and Letter Instructions, and all HSD Technical Directives. These data are adequate to supersede charted data in their common areas. This survey is complete and no additional work is required with the exception of deficiencies noted in the Descriptive Report.

Approver Name	Approver Title Approval Da		e <b>Signature</b>	
Richard T. Brennan, CDR/NOAA	Chief of Party	11/08/2013	Richard Bronnan	Richard T. Brennan 2013.11.13 10:28:52 -08'00
Meghan McGovern, LT/NOAA	Field Operations Officer	11/08/2013	nun Mus	Date: 2013.11.08 12:56:36 -08'00'
James B. Jacobson	Chief Survey Technician	11/08/2013	Jon B Judion	James Jacobson I have reviewed this document 2013.11.08 11:27:49 -08'00'
Allix L. Slagle	Sheet Manager	11/08/2013	allup & Slagle	Date: 2013.11.13 08:28:50 -08'00'

# F. Table of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AHB	Atlantic Hydrographic Branch
AST	Assistant Survey Technician
ATON	Aid to Navigation
AWOIS	Automated Wreck and Obstruction Information System
BAG	Bathymetric Attributed Grid
BASE	Bathymetry Associated with Statistical Error
СО	Commanding Officer
CO-OPS	Center for Operational Products and Services
CORS	Continually Operating Reference Staiton
СТД	Conductivity Temperature Depth
CEF	Chart Evaluation File
CSF	Composite Source File
CST	Chief Survey Technician
CUBE	Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetry Estimator
DAPR	Data Acquisition and Processing Report
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DP	Detached Position
DR	Descriptive Report
DTON	Danger to Navigation
ENC	Electronic Navigational Chart
ERS	Ellipsoidal Referenced Survey
ERZT	Ellipsoidally Referenced Zoned Tides
FFF	Final Feature File
FOO	Field Operations Officer
FPM	Field Procedures Manual
GAMS	GPS Azimuth Measurement Subsystem
GC	Geographic Cell
GPS	Global Positioning System
HIPS	Hydrographic Information Processing System
HSD	Hydrographic Surveys Division
HSSD	Hydrographic Survey Specifications and Deliverables

Acronym	Definition
HSTP	Hydrographic Systems Technology Programs
HSX	Hypack Hysweep File Format
HTD	Hydrographic Surveys Technical Directive
HVCR	Horizontal and Vertical Control Report
HVF	HIPS Vessel File
IHO	International Hydrographic Organization
IMU	Inertial Motion Unit
ITRF	International Terrestrial Reference Frame
LNM	Local Notice to Mariners
LNM	Linear Nautical Miles
MCD	Marine Chart Division
MHW	Mean High Water
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water
NAD 83	North American Datum of 1983
NAIP	National Agriculture and Imagery Program
NALL	Navigable Area Limit Line
NM	Notice to Mariners
NMEA	National Marine Electronics Association
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NRT	Navigation Response Team
NSD	Navigation Services Division
OCS	Office of Coast Survey
OMAO	Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (NOAA)
OPS	Operations Branch
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder
NWLON	National Water Level Observation Network
PDBS	Phase Differencing Bathymetric Sonar
РНВ	Pacific Hydrographic Branch
POS/MV	Position and Orientation System for Marine Vessels
РРК	Post Processed Kinematic
PPP	Precise Point Positioning
PPS	Pulse per second

Acronym	Definition
PRF	Project Reference File
PS	Physical Scientist
PST	Physical Science Technician
RNC	Raster Navigational Chart
RTK	Real Time Kinematic
SBES	Singlebeam Echosounder
SBET	Smooth Best Estimate and Trajectory
SNM	Square Nautical Miles
SSS	Side Scan Sonar
ST	Survey Technician
SVP	Sound Velocity Profiler
TCARI	Tidal Constituent And Residual Interpolation
TPU	Total Porpagated Error
TPU	Topside Processing Unit
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	United Stated Coast Guard
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
XO	Executive Officer
ZDA	Global Positiong System timing message
ZDF	Zone Definition File

#### APPROVAL PAGE

#### D00175

Data meet or exceed current specifications as certified by the OCS survey acceptance review process. Descriptive Report and survey data except where noted are adequate to supersede prior surveys and nautical charts in the common area.

The following products will be sent to NGDC for archive

- D00175\_DR.pdf
- Collection of depth varied resolution BAGS
- Processed survey data and records
- D00175\_GeoImage.pdf

The survey evaluation and verification has been conducted according current OCS Specifications.

Approved:\_\_\_\_\_

### Peter Holmberg Cartographic Team Lead, Pacific Hydrographic Branch

The survey has been approved for dissemination and usage of updating NOAA's suite of nautical charts.

\_\_\_\_\_

Approved:\_\_\_\_\_

CDR, Benjamin K. Evans, NOAA Chief, Pacific Hydrographic Branch