DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY State: Florida DESCRIPTIVE REPORT. Hyd. Sheet No. 4075

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The finished Hydrographic Sheet is to be accompanied by the following title sheet, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey

Register No. 4075 State Florida General locality East Coast of Florida Locality Biscayne Bay and Vicinity, Miami.Fla. Surveyed by Harry Leypoldt and F.E.Okeson. Date of survey . January, February, March, 1919. . Scale .1/20,000 . Soundings in . Feet Plane of reference Mean Low Water L.M. Mower .W. M. Scaife F.M. Albert Protracted by H.Leypoldt . Soundings in pencil by Harry Leypoldt, L.W. Burdett Inked by . Verified by . Records accompanying sheet (check those forwarded): Des. report, 2 Tide books, 3 Marigrams, 3 Boat sheets, _16_ Sounding books, _0_ Wire-drag books, _0_ Photographs. Data from other sources affecting sheet Level Books, 2 Log Books, 1 Cracker and 1 Gray Duck for the entire job. 1 Volume of " Location of Pilings, Beacons, etc.," for the entire job. 3 months of hourly tide readings from Automatic Tide Gage at Miami City Pier. Remarks: 16 is the total number of sounding books forwarded .- there being two sounding launehes. Gray Duck with 7 and Crakker with 9.

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT to accompany HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET (1)

4075

Shore Party at Miami, Fla., under Capt. Harry Leypoldt, Jr. H.& G. Engr.

The area of this sheet is the northern part of Bay Biscayne, as far south as the signal "Lit" at Elliott's Beach, and out-side as far as 80°-05'. The shore lines are composed of a very dense Mangrove Swamp in most places; except on the out-side of the islands shown, there the shore lines are sand beaches, which is to be expected.

The northern part of the bay, above the cause-way, is very shoal, and needed very little development. A channel runs up this bay, and this is shown in dotted on the northern Topographic Sheet, made by Mr. Wilbur, Aid. The northern extremity of this work in this channel is Position 61 Y (Red). There also is a small channel running eastward to Signal "Do". The bottom in this region is very black mud, and , due also to a lack of proper channel guides, with a boat drawing over two(2) feet one will go aground quite easily.

The unsurveyed area on the eastern side of the bay was very shoal, and the bottom seemed to have a gradual rise toward the land. A line was run up Idian Greek from the north-eastern end of the canal to Position 13 Z (Red), about one-half mile north of signal "Tank", but owing to a lack of information, this line could not be plotted.

The Government Cut is the main approach from the City of Miami to the sea, and is kept in order by the U.S. Engineers. Dredging is being done at present and hence the finished chart of this cut can be obtained from them. Norris Cut, just south of the Government Cut, is used very little, except by the local fishermen. Bear Cut, just south of Norris Cut, is of more service, but it practically necessitated local knowledge to get thru this cut as the channel is not clearly defined. Consequently this cut is not used very often except at high tides, and then by nothing except local fish-boats and small yachts.

The unsurveyed area out-side of Key Biscayne is covered on the Hydrographic Sheet. The unsurveyed area between 80°-09' and 80°-10', and north of 25°-44', consists mainly of a mudm flat, to the shore line, covered with grass and this area is partly dry at low water. The unsurveyed area around and near Signal "Jug", on Key Biscayne, is sleal water over mud flats, covered with grass and partly dry at low water. The unsurveyed area between Signals "A" and "B" (White beacons north of 25°-45'0 is also partly dry at low water. Attached to this sheet, to double the scale of this sheet, is a subsketch of Miami River. Two lines were run in this river, one up and one down the river, both times we kept as near as possible to the center off the river. Where these two lines coincided, the deeper soundings were plotted. These inconsistency was due to the lack of proper signals and to our inability to stay exactly in the middle of the river.

The shore line of Migmi and of Migmi Beach is shown in detail on the Topographic Sheet by Mr. Bernstien.

Respectfully submitted,

Tw. Burdette, D.O.

POST-OFFICE ADDRESS:

TELEGRAPH ADDRESS:

EXPLUS OFFICE:

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
STATISTICS SHEET #0. 1

Date,	1919	Letter	Pesitions	Soundings	Statute Miles	Launch
Jan.	14	A	6	60		(Red letter) Cracker
	48	A B	9	261	7 8	
	20	Ö	39 111		7.5	Cracker
7	21			76 4	16.75	Cracker
and the second second second	22	D	62	4 5 8	13.	Cracker
		e F	87	586	16.	Cracker
	24	r G ≒	110	851	21.5	Oracker
Jan.	25	-	36 100	2 40 8 10	6.75	Cracker
Jan.		H	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		22	Cracker
Feb.		<u>J</u>	^19	168	4,	Cracker
Feb.	8	K	<i>3</i> 5	342	4,	Cracker
Feb.	15	L	96	9 0 6	17	Cracker
	20	X	149	1580	27	Cracker
	21	N	123	.1180	23	Oracker
Feb.	28	P	41	450	5	Cracker
Mar.	1	Q	1 10	1030	18 25	Cracker
Mar.	4	R	188	750	12.5	Cracker
Mar.	5	S	14 4	1210	21.5	Cracker
Mar.	6	T	92	800	14	Cracker
Mar.	7	U	122	1025	17.	Cracker
Mar.	8	V	47	275	5	Cracker
Mar.	12	W	10	9 6	1]5	Cracker
Mar.	17	X /	135	1242	23.5	Cracker
Mar.	18	¥	72	552	8.0	
Mar.	19	Ž	86	600	0	Cracker
Mar.	20	A *	159	750	23.75	Cracker
Mar.	21	В•	97	460		Cracker
•		26.	2279	17,426	17,00	Crackér

STATISTICS SHEET NO.1

Date, 1919	Letter	Positions	Soundings	Stat.Mi	
					(Green Letter)
Jan.13	A	47	4 99	9	Gray Duck
Jan.14	В	126	1239	31	Gray Duck
Jan.15	C	127	1466	35	Gray Duck
Jan.16	D	132	154 4	33.5	Gray Duck
Jan.18	E	5 8	786	18	Gray Duck
J a n.25	F	43	357	10.5	Gray Duck
Jan.27	G ·	180	809	24	Gray Duck
Jan.28	H	21	52	1.5	Gray Duck
Han.29	J	142	825 - 55	20	Gray Duck
Feb.5	K	54	55	15	Gray Duck
Feb.6	L	69	5 05	16	Gray Duck
Feb.7	M	30	209	9	Gray Duck
Feb.8	N	80	867	20.5	Gray Duck
$^{\mathbf{F}}$ eb.12	P	4	14	1	Gray Duck
Feb.15	Q	66	333	16.5	Gray Duck
Feb.17	R	161	647	28	Gray Duck
Feb.18	S	58	2 28	6	Gray Duck
Feb.19	T	80	340	12	Gray Duck
Feb.24	U	182	804	3 3	Gray Duck
Feb.25	V	71	628	16	Gray Duck
Feb.26	A	59	268	11	Gray Duck
Feb.27	X	103	614	20	Gray Duck
			17426	j .	•
		•	30005	3845	

\$. A	HYDROGRAPHIC SI	GNALS (Nothern all	E. £)		
	SHEET t Miami, Fla. and Vic	-	3.00)		
Name	Description				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	White Bn. No.	25-45	80-10	891.1	1628.7
B	White Bn. No.	25-45	80_10	1785.9	1311.0
Ban	Signal			. •	
Band	Banner				
Ber	Banner				
Bird	Banner	25-50	80-10	804.3	232.8
Blak	Black Bn. No.	25-42	80-11	3 76. 6	1114.4
Bun	Buena Vista Water Tank	25-48	80-11	921.9	1077.8
C	White Bn.	25-46	80-09	804.0	832.0
Cape	Cape Florida Lt.	25-3 9	80-09	1812.6	625 .0
Chim	Chimney, White House	25-48	80-07	1369.0	597.0
Cor	Banner				
Creek	Banner	25-51	80-08	765.9	807.2
Deer	Flgstff., Deering's Boathouse	25-44	80-12	838.5	1102.1
Dive	Diving platform, Smith s Casino	25-46	80-07	122.0	1638.0
Do	Banner, end of spoil bank				
Draw	Drawbridge center	25-47	80-10	711.1	1388.1
Duck	Banner	25-52	80-08	851 .1	857.0
End	White range mark			•	
Gas	Center of gas tank at Miami	25-47	80-11	858.0	1192.0
Grey	Grey observation tower	25-47	80-07	1152.0	1144.0
Guard	(Figstff. on observation tower)	25-51	80-07	715.0	330.0
Guf	Private channel stake				
House	The single season by all the	25-46	80-11	1481.9	1108.0
Ice	Ice mfg. co. smokestack White tower of windmill	25-40 25-47	80-07	1542.0	1027.0
Imp	Banner	١رء	00-01	1) (4,0	1047.0
Jug Jun	Nemo Bn.	25-50	80-09	211.4	336.4
Lad		4)-)0	00409	211,7))U. T
	Banner				
Ley Look	Banner				
Lot	Lookout tower	25_41	80-14	777.3	1639.7
Mack	Tripod Pole, M ^C Allister	25-46	80-11	848.6	494.6
	•	2)-40	00-11	040.0	797,0
Mag	Banner	25-53	80-09	479.4	276 .2
Man	Banner	25-33 25-43	80-14	60.0	1218.0
Mat Nemo	Small white summer house		80-98		
	Banner	25-53	00-00	752.9	517.2
New	Banner				

Name	Description					~
No	Private channel stake					ć
	reN. West Radio Tower	25-47	80-07	1723.5	1226.8	• ;
Obs	Banner		, 00-01	11-2-7	,220,0	
Ok e	Banner					٠.
Palm	Royal Palm Hotel Flgstff.	2 5-46	80-11	535.8	586.3	•
Pine	Banner	2 5~10))),c	70017	•
Pier	Flag, end of pier	25-47	80-07	800.0	1096.0	•
Po	Tree	25-43	80-09	1096.0	938.0	
Point	Banner	2 5-52	80-09	436.3	1581.4	,
Pow	Chimney of Power House	25-46	80-11	420.0	1298.0	
Ran	Rear range, government cut	25-4 5	80-08	1233.0	263.0	
Rat	Benner	-, ,				
Reg	Banner					
Ring	Decring's Flagpole	25-44	80-12	- 1180.0	976.0	
Roof	Roof of small house	2 5-	80-			
Sign	Signboard	25-53	80-07	884.0	580.0	
Slat	Private Bn.	25-50	80-09	29.0	448.0	
Shak	Shed	25-54	80-07	700.0	548.0	
Shed	Small house	25-41	80-10	2 56.0	1167.0	
Stand	Standpipe	2 5-46	80-11	442.0	1295.0	
Stay	2 Strict P P					
Tank	White water tank	25-49	80-07	102.8	902.4	
Tin	Banner	- / /	·	•		
Tow	Water Tower	25-47	80-08	1063.4	447.0	
Tre		<u>-</u> J* 11	•• ••	,		
Wall	End of wall	2 5-48	80-07	670.0	685.0	,
Wat	S. Water Tower -	2 5 -4 6	80-08	1615.2	398.2	
Wire	Radio Tower	25-47	80-07	1700.1	1163.2	
Wharf	Small wharf	25-40	80-10	1590.0	440.0	•
White	White Bn.	25-42	80-15	688.0	982.2	
Yel	N.W.Corner Mattheson's House	25 -41	80-10	280.0	1130.0	
2	Bn.		•),
10						•
	Bn.					

(COPY).

AND REFER TO NO. 41-ACC

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

WASHINGTON

July 25, 1919.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tidal reductions are approved in 16 volumes of Sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 4075

Biscayne Bay and vicinity, Miami, Fla. Harry Leypoldt in 1919.

Plane of reference is Mean low water, reading

1.7 ft. on tide staff at Municipal Dock, Miami. 1.5 ft. on tide staff at Cape Florida. -0.5 ft. on tide staff at Government Cut.

> (Signed) G. T. Rude. Chief, Section of Tides and Currents.

(Hyd. Sheet. (No. 4075

Within the limits of the work the ground is well covered and the shoal developement is considered sufficient.

On the whole the crossings are good.

There are a few weak spots in the work, caused by weak fixes. Several poor crossings in Bear Creek and the entrance & Bear Creek are apparently due to this. In these cases the usual rule of rejecting the deeper and showing the shoaler like was followed.

The seven foot spot, shown on Hyd. 407, about a mile south east of the entrance of Bear Creek and on the edge of the eighteen

foot curve, should have been further investigated.

The sheet was carefully and accurately protracted by the field party, but the soundings were plotted in pencil in a very careless manner. The time intervals as recorded in the sounding records were disregarded, making it necessary to respace and plot the soundings as well as verify and ink them, thus adding to the time spent on the sheet in the office.

Comparison with the old surveys, show that the work inside agrees closely while the soundings outside agree as well as may be expected. a few soundings were added # to the sheet from

Hyd 407 and Hyd 1329.

P.L. Johnston

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

Report on	HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No.	4075	Surveyed in 1919.
Chief of Party	H. Leypoldt: Surveyed	by H. Leypoldt	and F. E. Okeson.
Protracted by H.	L. and F. M. Albert	Soundings polt	ted by Field party.
Verified and ink	ed by R. L. Johnston	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •

- 1. Do the records conform to the requirements of the General Instructions? Yes.
- 2. Does the plan and character of development fulfill the requirements of the General Instructions! Yes.
- 3. Does the plan and extent of development satisfy the specific instructions! Yes.
- 4. Are the sounding line crossing adequate? Yes, except in a few cases.
- 5. Can the usual depth curves be completely drawn! Not in all cases.
- 6. Was field plotting completed to the extent prescribed in General Instructions! Yes.
- 7. Did office draftsmen have to do over any part of drafting done by field party? The soundings were plotted by four members of the field party without any regard to the recorded time intervals, hence, the entire sheet (16 Vols.) had to be replotted by the office draftsman.
- 8. Are the junctions with adjacent sheets satisfactory! Yes.
- 9. Is further surveying required to fully develop important areas within the limits of the sheet! The 7 foot spot $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles southeast of Bears Cut should be developed. There are indications of menaces to navigation throughout the entire length of this Survey outside of the 30 foot curve.
- 10. Remarks: The development is not sufficient to show the location of channels and available depths in Bears Cut and Noris Cut.
- 11. Rating of the work ((a) Character and scope of the surveying: Good.
 ((b) Field drafting (quality) Protracting, Excellent. Plotting of soundings, worth-

(Excellent, good, fair, poor.)

- 12. Revised by E. P. Ellis - - Date August 18, 1920.
- 13. Copies of this report to be sent to H. & T. Division.

surveys **u**

Note-

applied to drowing of charl 547 may 4/942 \$172. added a four andgo to Ch. 140 (Inset #11) ag H 10-19-59 appl 95 chat 140-9 11-28-60 RKD