

FORM 504 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY					
State: Thrida					
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT.					
Hydro sheet No. 4478					
LOCALITY:					
East Coast.					
Moguito Inlit.					
1925					

CHIEF OF PARTY:					
7. g. Engle					

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TO ACCOMPANY

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 4478

MOSQUITO INLET AND APPROACHES

SCALE 1:10,000

INSTRUCTIONS DATED: Nov. 29, 1924

LIMITS:

The hydrography of the present sheet consists of the close development of Mosquito Inlet, the approach from the sea busy, and the approaches from Halifax and Hillsbero Rivers. The work is joined on the sea approach by hydrographic sheets executed by the Steamer LYDOMIA and its motor-sailer during the same season.

DESCRIPTION.

Mosquito Inlet is a shallow, changeable sea inlet to the thial streams, the Halifex and Hillsboro which are parallel to and separated from the sea by only a marrow, sandy strip of dure-like beach. Those rivers and accompanying inlet form an extensive part of the inland waterway system from Jacksonville to Missi, and very important to small craft traversing this section of the coast. The approach from seaward, as well as the Inlet and adjacent river channel is over fine white same subject to frequent and almost constant charge due to tidal and littoral current action. Revertheless, the channels are well marked and the aids to navigation periodically moved, so that no difficulty should be oncountered in making this entrance by daylight with boats of proper draft during ordinary sea conditions. The bar is comparatively narrow and has a least depth of five feet. And sloughs and sand shouls obtain in the appor river reaches. Strong approximately four knot cab and flood surrents obtain in nearly all river channels and over the bar except at alack water. The litteral current is usually not appreciable (less than one knot inside the sea-buoy!

METH OD:

The area surveyed was covered by a single whale boat party. The equipment was as follows:

8 cars. 2 hand lead lines. 2 hydrographic sextents. Necessary plotting instruments, etc. The personnel was as follows:

- 2 officers, one in charge and ft. angle, one left angle am plotting.
- l recorder.
- 1 loudgran,
- 1 Coxswain,
- 4 cargaen.

The approach from sectoric was developed by 60 meter limits run normal to the general shore lime. The channel and river areas were developed by 25 M, parallel channel limes and diagonal shore to shore limes. Harges were used between most positions in order to overcome the effect of the rather strong currents. The development generally is probably more than sufficient considering the rapidity with which channels and bars change location and extent. Central was by triangulation and topographic signals previously located by other parties.

GENERAL.

Runerous small docks exist on the eastern shores of the Halifax and Hillshoro Rivers in the extent of the present sheet. The quietest water obtains in the rather brush estuary immediately south of Moscotto Inlet Lighthouse. Fresh water may be had at several places.

MIATISTICS:

Manber of	Positions:	5 68. 0
Bumber of	soundings:	3259.0
Bester of	Statute miles;	45.9

Respectfully submitted,

Alfred Opram.

Jr. Hyd. & Good. 'mg'r.

Approved:

Commander, Officer,

U.S.C.& G.S.S. Lydomia.

NOTE: --

No tide gauge was established in Mosquito
Inlet, and the tides at Daytona Beach were used
to reduce the soundings. This was done upon the
suggestion of Commdr. W. E. Parker who visited
this vessel at the time the request for this
survey was made by the Army Engineers.

H. C. Warwick.

Jr. H. & G. Engineer.

STATISTICS SHEET TO ACCOMPANY

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NUMBER

Date 1925 J	Letter	Volume	Positions	Soundings	Statute miles	Vesse]	L
Jay 5	A	1	128	658	9.0	Whale	bost
н 6	В	1	159	970	11.4	"	II
" 7	C	2	151	829	11.7	**	Ħ
" 8		2	130	802	13.8	11	
Total:	- 4	2	568	3259	45.9		

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide reducers are approved in 2 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO.

Locality: Mosquito Inlet; Florida E. Coast

Chief of Party: F. G. Engle in 1925.

Plane of reference is 6.5 ft. on tide staff at Daytona Beach

For reduction of soundings, condition of records satisfactory except as checked below:

- 1. Locality and sublocality of survey omitted.
- 2. Month and day of month omitted
- 3. Time meridian not given at beginning of day's work.
- 4. Time (whether A. M. or P. M.) not given at beginning of day's work.
- Soundings (whether in feet or fathoms) not clearly shown in record.
 Leadline correction entered in wrong column.
- 7. Field reductions entered in "Office" column.
- 8. Location of tide gauge not given at beginning of each day's work.
- 9. Leadline corrections not clearly stated.
- 10. Kind of sounding tube used not stated.
- 11. Sounding tube No. entered in column of "Soundings instead of "Remorks",
- 12. Legibility of record could be improved.
- 13. Remarks.

Location of tide gauge wrongly stated in Sounding Books.

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

Hyd Sheet No 4478

The hydrography of this sheet seems to be all that is needed in a locality subject to constant change.

If available, it would have been better to have used the standard Natman's paper.

The soundings were plotted in quarters of a foot, which made the sheet a mass of figures in the close areas, very hard to decipher.

The position numbers are too large.

P. L. Johnston

AND REFER TO NO. 4-DRM

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

WASHINGTON

December 2, 1925.

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

Report on Hydrographic Sheet No. 4478

Mosquito Inlet, Florida

Surveyed in 1925.

Instructions dated November 29, 1925.

Chief of Party, F. G. Engle.

Surveyed by H. C. Warwick.

Protracted and soundings plotted by E. R. McCarthy.

Verified and inked by R. L. Johnston.

- 1. The records conform to the requirements of the General Instructions, except that boat's courses are generally omitted and also descriptions of beginnings and endings of sounding lines with relation to the shore. Also the frequent use of the word "Same" for names of signals is objectionable, and the handwriting of the sounding volumes is careless and sometimes obscure.
- 2. The plan and character of development conform to the requirements of the General Instructions.
- 3. The plan and character of development satisfy the specific instructions, except that additional development to the northward and southward of the bar would have given a better connection with the recent survey covering the approach to Mosquito Inlet.
- 4. The sounding line crossings are satisfactory.
- The information is sufficient for drawing the usual depth curves.
- 6. The usual field plotting was done by the field party, and was defective in the following respects:

Standard Whatman's paper was not used.

The projection and triangulation points were not verified.

The soundings were plotted in feet and quarters, which resulted in much of the work being illegible, causing the loss of at least a week's time in inking the sheet. They should have been in whole feet.

There were 17 aids to navigation shown on this sheet. None of them were represented by symbols or intelligible descriptions.

- 7. The junction with the adjoining sheet is satisfactory.
- 8. No further surveying is required.
- 9. The character and scope of the surveying are good and the field drafting is fair.
- 10. Reviewed by E. P. Ellis, December, 1925.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The finished Hydrographic Sheet is to be accompanied by the following title sheet, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

J. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.

Register No. 4478 Protracted by . E. R. . Soundings in peneth by F. B. C. . Inked by Verified by Records accompanying sheet (check those forwarded): 1 Des. report, Tide books, Marigrams, Boat sheets, Sounding books, ____ Wire-drag books, ____ Photographs. Remarks: