

Form 504 Ed. June, 1928						
DEPARTMENT OF CO						
U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC				•		
R.S.Patton Direct	ctor	11.57	0.00	1.050		
		L	IBRAF	& GEO Y AND	ARCHIVES	RVEY
		,	APR	4	1932	
State: California		Acc.	N			
DESCRIPTIVE I			-			
DESCRIPTIVE						
$\left. egin{array}{ll} Hydrographic \end{array} ight. ight. Sheet No. oldsymbol{1}$	5	162				
LOCALITY	•					
Northern Coast, Ester	o Ame:	ricano				
to one mile south of Ro	cky P	3 + t				
Vicinity of Bode	ega.H	ead				
19.31.					† i i	
CHIEF OF PA	RTY					
L.C. Johnson					· · · · · ·	•

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No.la....

5162 REGISTER NO. State California General locality Northern Coast Vicinity of Bodega Head Locality Estaro Americano to one mile south of Rocky Pt.2 Scale 1 - 10,000 Date of survey July - November, 1931. Vessel Chartered Launch " POINT REYES " & Launch No. 4149 Chief of Party L. C. Johnson Surveyed by L. C. Johnson Protracted by E. B. Lewey Soundings penciled by E. B. Lewey Soundings in Inthons feet Plane of reference MLLW Subdivision of wire dragged areas by..... ansod Verified by Instructions dated April 29 , 1930. Remarks:

Descriptive Report

to accompany

Hydrographic Sheet, Field No. 1.

(a) Date of Instructions.

This hydrographic survey was made in accordance with the Director's Instructions, dated April 29,1930.

(b) Limits and Scale:

This sheet makes a junction at the northern end in latitude 38° - 22.5 and longitude 123° - 05 to 06 with Hydro. Sheet 5098, running shoreward in a northeasterly direction.

At the southern end a junction is made with Hydro. Sheet, Field No. 2, in latitude 38 - 16, longitude 123-00 to 123-02, this junction runs shoreward in a northeasterly direction.

The hydrography is shown on a 1 - 10,000 scale.

(c) Survey methods.

All signals were plotted from a list of plane-table positions scaled from Topo. Sheets, Field Nos. C, D, and E (1930), with exception of signals Wal, Pap, Boa, Bab, Dut, Wye, and Taff, which were located by sextant angles and recorded on page 2, vol. 7. Sndg. Records.

The usual survey methods were followed throughout the hydrography shown on this sheet, visual fixes were used for obtaining positions.

The hand lead was used up to 15 fathoms, from a sounding chair located approximately 10 feet abaft the beam on the starboard side. A hand sounding machine, located in the stern was used for all depths greater than 15 fathoms. In each case all precautions were used to obtain true vertical soundings.

The sounding lines were run parallel to the coast as it was not considered feasible to do otherwise, due to the direction of the swell which is usually from the westward, and quite heavy.

(d) Discrepancies.

There were no discrepancies in the execution of this hydrography, with the exception of lead-line corrections, which have been entered in their respective volumes.

(e) Dangers.

There are no dangers to be found on this sheet that have not already been properly described in U. S. C. P. page 107, (1926) that is Bodega Rock and the foul ground to the southward.

(f) Channels.

The channel entering the lagoon at the north end of Bodega Bay is constricted and not very well defined. The controlling depth over the bar is about 4 feet at MLLW, located 100 meters north of Eta. In entering the lagoon, the best water is found about 40 meters from the port hand. The channel, after crossing the bar, varies in depth from $2\frac{1}{2}$ to $16\frac{1}{2}$ feet, but is very narrow and curving, and should not be attempted without local knowledge. It is used by several fishermen who have launches drawing from $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet to 3 feet, and by Smith Bros. who operate two trollers of approximately 30 ton gross, drawing 5 to $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet. Smith Bros. dock is shown at position 30a, and at high tide, these boats can go alongside to discharge. They usually leave the dock and return to the position as shown by the sounding line 215b - 216 b, and moor to a buoy kept there for that purpose. The channel running to Smith Bros. Dock is very narrow and changes in course from year to year.

(g) Anchorages.

Northeast of Bodega Rock, distant 0.7 miles, is a good anchorage in from 5 to 6 fathoms, sandy bottom. It affords good shelter from northwesterly weather, but due to loose holding ground is dangerous in southerly weather.

Behind Bodega Head there is suitable anchorage for small craft though only a limited amount of swinging room is available. Quite often at low or half tide, the bar at the entrance to the lagoon breks heavily. Protection can be had from weather in any direction in the bight behind Bodega Head, if it is possible to cross the bar.

(h) Comparison with previous surveys.

A careful comparison with previous surveys showed the following differences:

At position 63 P, in latitude 38°-20.5, longitude 123°-05.5 a sounding of 74 feet was found to be the least depth. The surrounding depths vary from 81 to 92 feet.

The sounding between 110 - 111P, in latitude 38 - 20.8, longitude 123 - 05.2, was found to be 37 feet, while the same location on Hydro. Sheet 1462a, (1879) was found to be 8 fathoms.

A small shoal in latitude $38^\circ - 17.1$, longitude $123^\circ - 02$, shows a least depth of 35 feet, surrounded by depths ranging from 39 to 49 feet. Hydro. Sheet No. 806 (1862) shows $6\frac{1}{2}$ fathoms.

A shoal in latitude 38 - 16.8, longitude 123 - 01.83, shows a least depth of 31 feet, which compares favorably with depths shown on Hydro. Sheet No. 806, (1862).

At all of the shoals herein described, considerable time was spent in drifting over each area. Comparison throughout the remainder of the sheet was very satisfactory.

(j) Geographic Names.

The geographic names in general usage were described in the Topographic Report on Sheets A, B, C, D, and E, (1930).

(k) Statistics.

Accompanying this report is a table of statistics, a tide data sheet, and an approval sheet.

Respectfully submitted,

L. C. Johnson,

Jr. H. & G. E. Chief of Party, C&GS.

Statistics for hydrographic sheet, field No. 1, To accompany Descriptive Report.

Total	number	of	positions	2226
Total	number	of	soundings	7850
Chatas	to miles	- ^	enunding linespensessessessessesses	276.1

Approval Sheet

To accompany Hydrographic Sheet, field No. 1

This sheet has been examined and is approved; the records pertaining to this sheet have been examined and are approved.

L.C.Johnson, Jr. H.& G. E. Chief of Party, C&GS.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide Reducers are approved in 7 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5162

Locality vicinity of Bodega Head, Northern Coast of California

Chief of Party: L. C. Johnson in 1931

Plane of reference is mean lower low water, reading

3.6 ft. on tide staff at Fort Ross

7.0 ft. below B. M. 1

1.9 ft. on tide staff #2 back of Bodega Head

7.7 ft. below B. M. 1

1.8 ft. on tide staff at Smith Bros. Dock, Bay, California

9.8 ft. below B. M. 1

Condition of records satisfactory except as checked below:

Locality and sublocality of survey omitted.

Month and day of month omitted. 2.

Time meridian not given at beginning of day's work.

- Time (whether A.M. or P.M.) not given at beginning of day,'s work.
- Soundings (whether in feet or fathoms) not clearly shown in record.
- Leadline correction entered in wrong column.
- 7. Field reductions entered in "Office" column. 8. Location of tide gauge not given at beginning of day's work.
- 9. Leadline corrections not clearly stated.
- 10. Kind of sounding tube used not stated.
- 11. Sounding tube No. entered in column of "Soundings" instead of "Remarks"
- 12. Legibility of record could be improved.
- 13. Remarks.

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 5162

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	2226
Number of positions checked	406
Number of positions revised	!!
Number of soundings recorded	78.50.
Number of soundings revised	158
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	NONE

Date: July 18-1932 Cartographer: Warren Hamford,

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

REPORT ON HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. H. 5162

JULY 20,1932.

SPRVEYED IN 1931

CHIEF OF PARTY. L.C. JOHNSON

SURVEYED BY - L.C. JOHNSON

PROTRACTED BY - E.B. LEWEY

SOUNDINGS PLOTTED BY - E.B. LEWEY

VERIFIED & INKED BY - W. H. BAMFORD

1/ The sounding records were found to be next, legible, complete and to conform to the General furtimetims for Field Work.

2/ The protracting was found to be well lone and the pointion be well lone and legible. Less muches small and legible. Less than me half of me percent of the than me half of me percent of the stal number of positions were revised. At the positions were revised.

3/ The soundings were very well spaced and neatly penciled.

only 1.6% of the sounding platted had to be revised. The soundings were penciled about the correct 4/ The sounding live crossings were famil to be adequate. 5/ The development in channels and on shoals was found to be adequate except there should have been more development around the 18 foot shool in Lax. 38°-22'-13" (approx/ and Longetude 123°-04!-43" (approx) - as shoaler depths are likely to have been found. 6/ Ot was possible to draw the usual depth curves. 7/ The sheet was found to be / clean and very legible. 8/ The field platting was completed to the extent prescribed in the Hydrographic Manual.

9. The junctions with adjacent hydrographic sheets were found to be adequate. The junction with H. 5098 at the north end of this sheet war veny good - The soundings on 4509 & being only from two to four feet shaler beyond the 60 ft Septh curve. The junction with H5169 at the western edge of the work on this sheet was very good in some places - In other places the soundings from H5169 were found to be from two to six feet deeper than those obtained on this survey - This difference on this survey - This difference is not thought to be important at this depth (over 100 ft.) The sheet joining this one at the southern and had not been verified at this time (4.5163)

10.) It is suggested that the 24 ft and 36 ft depth curves shall not be penaled by the field parky when they are not needed by them for study. There depth and one not inked in by the verifier unless they give a better delineation of the bottom than other curves that it is possible to draw or where the soundings obtained where of such a depth that that the other depth curves cannot the other depth curves cannot be drawn. It is also suggested that where a "miss" occurs on a sounding line - that the space be left blank instead of a capital "M" being placed there - as was done in this sheet - The bottom characteristic for a muddy bottom - is a capital "M" and where rounding luies are run close dogether this causes some confusion as to what the M may be.

III/ The black dotted low water line in the lagoon at the worth end of Bodega Bay was taken end of Bodega Bay was taken from the topographic movey T-4596 Whenever the low water time betermined by this hydrographic survey conflicted with the survey conflicted with the survey conflicted with the 1-4596 - the hydrographic T-4596 - the hydrographic determination was used and shown determination.

12/ attention in called to the existence of a 31 ft shoal in V Latitude 38°-16'-47" (approx) and Longitude 123°-01'-50" (approx).

a 35' shoal also exists at V Satisfied 38°-17'-04" (approx) and Longitude 123°-02'-01" (approx)

a 37 ft shoal exists at Satisfied 38°-20'-46" /approx) and Jahlude 38°-20'-46" /approx) and Jahlude 123°-05'-15" (approx) and Jahlude 123°-05'-15" (approx)

encircled by a "dashed" thirty fort depth curve to attract the compuler's attention.

Respect fully Submitted.

Clavnen HBamford

Section of Field Records
Review of Hydrographic Sheet No. 5162
Vicinity of Bodega Head, California.
Surveyed in July - November 1931
Instructions dated April 29, 1930 (L. C. Johnson)
Surveyed by L. C. Johnson
Protracted and soundings plotted by E. B. Lewey
Verified and inked by W. H. Bamford

- 1. The records are clear and legible and legible and generally conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual. The location of the portable tidegauge in Bodega Lagoon was not shown on the smooth sheet.
- 2. The plan and extent of development satisfy the specific instructions except that there is insufficient development of the area in the vicinity of the 8½ fathom on the former survey in approx. lat. 38° 20'.2 long. 123°05'.1.

The hydrography is not carried as close inshore as on the former survey, nor is there any statement in the record about the distance of the inshore line from the line of breakers.

The development of Bodega Lagoon is deficient in that the channel stakes were not located, nor any lines of soundings run across the flats at high water to show the extent to which they bare.

- 3. Soundings are generally consistent. The depths at crossings of lines are in fair agreement. A difference of 12 feet at the junction with H 5169 in lat. 38° 20'.2'long. 123°05'.9 is unexplained. It probably is due to a misreading of the depth by the relieving leadsman but as there is no definite evidence to that effect, the sounding (138 feet) was plotted as recorded.
- 4. Depth curves are shown on the sheet but those less than 5 fathoms are necessarily incomplete.
- 5. Junctions with contemporary survey sheets H 5098 and H 5169 are satisfactory except as noted under paragraph 3. The depths shown in blue are from the sounding records. The verification of H 5163 has not yet been completed.
- 6. Comparison with previous surveys.
 In general the agreement between the former survey (H 1462a of 1879 and H 806 of 1862) and the present survey (H 5162) is

very good. The greater detail on H 5162 shows less water on the shoal spots as noted in the Descriptive Report under "Comparisons with previous surveys".

The $8\frac{1}{2}$ fathom noted in paragraph 2 and the 9 and $9\frac{1}{2}$ fathoms in the vicinity were not adequately developed on the present survey. An indication of this shoaling is shown by the 56 and 58 foot soundings on H 5162.

A number of rocks along shore are shown on the former survey as islands, and on this survey by the rock awash symbol. In such cases the rocks should be charted as shown on H 5162. The original sounding records of H 806 and H 1462 were examined and where the plotting was not obviously in error the rocks were transferred to H 5162 in brown. The 1931 survey does not carry the work as close inshore as the former survey. There seems to be a tendency to slight inshore work when lines are run parallel to an exposed coast.

Depths in the lagoon at the head of the bay are slightly less and the channels have changed. This whole lagoon area must be classed as changeable.

7. The sections of charts 5603 and of 5502 covering this area were compiled from the earlier surveys (1862 and 1869) and paragraph 6 applies to them also.

Chart 5603 shows a small island westward of Bodega Head in lat. 38°18'.3 long. 123°04'. This is shown by the rock awash symbol on H 1462a; the 1931 survey does not show it though a line of soundings passed close by this locality. It should be shown on the chart as a rock awash.

The 18 foot depth 3/10 mile southward of Bodega Rock and the 55 foot depth 7/10 mile east southeastward of Bodega Rock are from erroneously plotted sounding lines on H 806. The 1931 survey sufficiently disproves their location as charted.

8. Recommendations.

Additional surveys are needed as follows: -

- a. The area in the vicinity of the 57 foot spot lat. 38°20'.2 long. 123° 04'.9 to include the 8½ fathom shown on H 1462 a about 2/10 mile to the westward.
- b. The inshore area northward of the northwest point of Bodega Head to within a reasonably safe distance of the line of breakers. The present survey does not show the line of breakers.
- c. The area southeast of Bodega Rock which is now shown in brown from H 806 hydrography. While b and c are not essential for safe navigation they would add to the completeness of the chart and the information may become valuable for other purposes.

d. Locate the stakes marking the channel in the lagoon.

All essential details have been transferred from H 806 and H 1462 a, and these sheets may be disregarded in chart compilation. A possible exception to this statement is the narrow zone bordering the beach to the northward of Bodega Head.

Some of the work on H 806 was erroneously plotted. A revised plotting has been attached to the sheet.

Reviewed by R. J. Christman, Aug. 29, 1932.

approved A.M. Sobieralski

Inspected: E. P. Ellis.

The recommendations for additional work should be considered in case any party is marking in this vicinity. A compilation from both surveys, how empilation from both surveys, how were furnishes all essential information for the chart.

Ch. 5603 most, 5/24/6	7 John P. Weis 1	Fully Applied	
	· · ·		
· ·			
			1

.....