

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

JAN 3 1933

Acc No.

	June,	
NT	OF	· C

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R.S.Patton , Director

State: Massachusetts

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Hydrographic Sheet No. 10 5225

LOCALITY

Cultivator Shoal,

Georges Bank

19 32

CHIEF OF PARTY

Herman Odessey, H.& G.E.

Descriptive Report to Accompany
Hydrographic Sheet No. 16
Cultivator Shoal
Georges Bank, Mass.
U.S.C.& G.S.S.GILBERT,
Herman Odessey, H.& G.E.
Chief of Party,
1932.

Date of Instructions:- May 16, 1932, Project HT-107.

Hydrographers:- Herman Odessey, H.& G.E., W. H. Bainbridge,

Jr.H.& G.E., Jeremiah S. Morton, Jr.H.& G.E.

Control:- Control consisted of three survey buoys, one of which, "C.S.", was tied to the main scheme of buoy R-A-R triangulation, the other two being related to this one by bearings and azimuths, and range finder and double log run distances.

The buoys were planted and the control work done by the Ship HYDROGRAPHER. In adjusting the positions of the buoys "N" and "P" on the smooth sheet, the double log run distances were held fixed, and directions between the buoys given equal weight in closing the triangle C.S.-N.-P.

Limits:- The survey lies between meridians 68°-11' and 68°-13', and parallels 41°-37' and 41°-40½', and covers an area about one mile wide and three and a half miles long running NNW and SSE, and is just south of the Cultivator Shoal whistle buoy. It includes what is shown as a 3-fathom sounding on chart No. 1107, 1.7 miles south of the charted position of the Cultivator Shoal buoy.

Survey Methods:- All positions were determined by three point fixes taken to the three survey buoys. Since the projection for the work was on a 1:10 000 scale and the current decidedly rotary in character, it was considered desirable to take account of the path of each buoy about its anchor when plotting the fixes. The known scopes of the buoys and the predicted direction of the current as shown on chart No. 1107 were used for this purpose.

all soundings were by hand lead. A 16-pound lead was used in order to minimize the effect of the current on the lead line. However, it is believed that in the case of soundings over ten fathoms, this effect may have been appreciable, and that where available, preference should be given to shoaler fathometer soundings from the work of the HYDROGRAPHER in this area. In depths under ten fathoms, the soundings are all reliable.

Discrepancies:- There are no discrepancies requiring adjustment or explanation.

Dangers:- Most of the area covered by this survey has a general depth of only five or six fathoms. The shoalest sounding obtained in the entire area was four fathoms, and this was found 1.4 miles south of the Cultivator Shoal buoy, where the chart shows three fathoms. A 42 fathom sounding was found 1.8 miles south of the same buoy. These were the only two soundingsunder five fathoms obtained in the area covered by this survey.

Crossings: - Crossings of sounding lines are in general very good. With few exceptions, the differences are $\frac{1}{4}$ fathom or less.

Comparison with previous surveys:- This survey covers but a very small area on chart No. 1107. Aside from the fact that the chart shows a 3-fathom sounding, where the least depth obtained in this survey is 4 fathoms, a comparison between the two shows no other discrepancies.

Respectfully submitted,

Herman Odessey, H.& G.E.,

U.S.Coast and Geodetic Survey,

Commanding Ship GILBERT.

Statistics for Sheet No. 10.

Vol.No.	Date 1932	Day	Statute Miles	Soundings No.	Positions No.
1 1	July 6 July 7	4 B	26.3 13.7	507 326	176 92
Total			40.0	833	268

Area surveyed, in square statute miles:- 4.0

This is to certify that hydrographic smooth sheet No. 10, and accompanying records, covering the survey of Cultivator Shoal, Georges Bank, Massachusetts, have been inspected and are hereby approved.

Herman Odessey, H.&.G.E., U.S.Coast and Geodetic Survey,

Commanding Ship GILBERT.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide Reducers are approved in

l volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5225

Locality Cultivator Shoal, Georges Bank, Coast of Massachusetts

Chief of Party: Herman Odessey in 1932
Plane of reference is mean low water reading
3.3 ft. on tide staff at Commonwealth Pier No. 5, Boston, Mass.
18.2 ft. below B. M. 7

Allowance for time and range of tide on the working grounds

Time -lh : range 0.5 as large

Condition of records satisfactory except as checked below:

- 1. Locality and sublocality of survey omitted.
- 2. Month and day of month omitted.
- 3. Time meridian not given at beginning of day's work.
- Time (whether A.M. or P.M.) not given at beginning of day's work.
- 5. Soundings (whether in feet or fathoms) not clearly shown in record.
- 6. Leadline correction entered in wrong column.
- 7. Field reductions entered in "Office" column.
- 8. Location of tide gauge not given at beginning of day's work.
- 9. Leadline corrections not clearly stated.
- 10. Kind of sounding tube used not stated.
- 11. Sounding tube No. entered in column of "Soundings" instead of "Remarks".
- 12. Legibility of record could be improved.
- 13. Remarks.

Chief, Division of Tides, and Curvents.

Section of Field Runds Surveyed in July 1932 Report on H-5225 Surveyed by H. Odersey Chief & Party H. Odersey Soundings plotted by H.O. Protracted by J. J. Morton. Verified & Inhelby Swalky The sounding records were neat and complete The bottom characteristies were not all abbreviates wrectly. Protracting. In order to find the waiting of the Inoyout a particular time, the scope of the busys were shown by a circle about the position of the anchors.

and the directions of the current at intervals of one low after the time of maximum flood at Pollick Rip Channel were transferred from the current diagrams on chart 110% Then, the time of maximum flood at Polick Rip Channel for the particular day was found in the Carrent Tables. This time was subtracted from the time of the boat position and they one hour was subtracted from this realt, because the ament tables are for the 75° yearsain I time and the sounding records are for 60°W. From position 25 to 64 A inclusive two sources were erroneously subtracted by the field party instead of one hour. These 40 positions

therefore had to be revised. Otherwise the proteoching was excellent. The soundings were plotted according, to line. all soundings on the sheet, which rough from 4 to 24 fathome, were plotted to greater fathoms. The crossing were good.
The area surrounding this sheet is atpresent being plottedby my. Trible and will probably not be finished for a north or more. The whiching twoy "ICS" and the 3 and 3½ fathorn sounding shown on chart 1107 were transferred to the sheet and appears over a millout of position. Respectfully submitted

1873 note: not m ed. of 1850 terefore had to been reported times and the services protection was excellent The soundings were plotted, according to time. all someting in the sheet which have get follow, were plotted a fortil fothers. The oracling une yearl. leing plottedby in Tible as well prove by at the finited for a now o nove. the whothing busy "105" with a miles! tempered to the cheet and opposed was a mile gut of position. Respectfully submit

. .

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

Review of Hydrographic Sheet No. 5225.
Cultivator Shoal, Georges Bank, Massachusetts.
Surveyed - July 1932
Instructions dated May 16, 1932 (Hydrographer, Proj. HT-107)

Chief of Party - Herman Odessey (Gilbert).
Surveyed by - Herman Odessey.
Protracted by - J. S. Morton.
Soundings plotted by - Herman Odessey.
Verified and inked by - T. T. Walker.

- 1. The records conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual except for the failure to use the standard abbreviations for bottom characteristics, (see par. 71, Hyd. M.). fne gy S is abbreviated as follows: FGSand, FnGyS, FGRS, GrSan, FGrSa, FGS, Fne Gry Sand, FgyS, FGrSd. (crs bnS is abbreviated Co Br Sa (crsSG " " Co Sa & Gr.
- 2. The plan and extent of development satisfy the specific instructions.
- 3. Soundings are consistent; depths at crossings of lines are good, being generally less than $\frac{1}{2}$ fathom.

The fixed positions depend upon predicted tidal current positions of three surveying buoys. The field plotting was excellent except that for positions 25A to 64A the predicted current time was apparently in error about 1 hour. Depths in pencil were plotted to quarter fathoms instead as prescribed in the Manual. No bottom characteristics were plotted by the field party on the smooth sheet.

- 4. Depth curves can be drawn satisfactorily.
- 5. Junction. This is a special development of Cultivator Shoal, surrounded on all sides by contemporary surveys (Hydrographer) not yet available for comparison.
- 6. Comparison with previous surveys. The relative position of the shoal area to the buoy on chart 1107 were in fair agreement with the present survey but appear to be misplaced geographically.

The present survey shows a least depth of 4 fathoms on Cultivator Shoal. The former survey (H. 1207a of 1872) shows a least depth of $3\frac{1}{2}$ fathoms marked "shoalest spot" but no further development.

In addition to the $3\frac{1}{2}$ fathom spot from H. 1207a, chart 1107 also shows a 3 fathom spot about $\frac{3}{4}$ mile east by south of the $3\frac{1}{2}$. The 3 fathom spot has appeared on our charts as far back as 1864 (see Chart No. 1, edition No. 1, permanent file), but no record could be found as to its source. The earliest authority for the 3 fathoms that could be found in our files is that given on Miscellaneous Map 844 Acc. No. 1051, which is a sketch prepared by the Hydrographic Office on a scale of 1-50,000 and shows the "Track and Soundings obtained by the U. S. S. Don in June 1867." The position as given on this sketch agrees very closely with the position given on our chart in 1864, from which it would appear that two independent examinations found 3 fathoms on the shoal.

H. 5225-2.

7. Recommendation. This survey (H. 5225) should be used for charting purposes of the area within the 10 fathom curve. In deeper water preference should be given to the fathometer depths by steamer Hydrographer, see Descriptive Report. In view of the lack of development on some of the shoal spots on the present survey, it is recommended that the 3 fathom spot be carried on the chart in the position indicated in red on H. 5225. This position has been accepted as the most probable position of the shoal spot. The charted $3\frac{1}{2}$ should be omitted from future charting.

No further surveys are deemed necessary at this time.

Sheet Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

hief, Field Records Section.

Chief, Field Work Section.

Chief, Chart Division.

Chief, H. & T. Division.

Field Records Section (Charts)

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 52.25

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	.268
Number of positions checked	85
Number of positions revised	4.! *
Number of soundings recorded	<i>.</i> 8.3.3
Number of soundings revised	★
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	0

Date: Jan 30,1433

Cartographer: HWalker

* See Writers report in descriptive report.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

REG. NO. 5225

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. ..10.....

REGISTER NO. 5225

State Massachusetts	
General locality Georges Bank	
Locality Cultivator Shoal	
Scale 1:10 000 Date of survey July 6-7,	19 32
VesselU.S.C.&.G.S.S.GILBERT	
Chief of Party Herman Odessey, H.&.G.E.	
Surveyed by Herman Odessey, H.& G.E.	
Protracted by Jeremiah S. Morton, Jr. H.& G.E.	
Soundings penciled by Herman Odessey, H.&.G.E.	. .
Soundings in fathoms KKK	
Plane of reference Mean Low Water	
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by	
Inked by V.T. Walker	
Verified by J.T.W.	
Instructions dated	19 32
Remarks:	