# 5357

5357

Diag. Cht. No. 1220-1.

Form 504

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey Hydrographic

Field No. 7 Office No. H-5357

LOCALITY

State Maryland

General locality Off Maryland Coast

Locality Great Gull Bank to Pope Island

194 33

CHIEF OF PARTY

H. A. Seran

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE Febuary 9, 1934

.......

B-1870-1 (I)

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

# HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. .....7.....

5357

	REGISTER NO. 5357				
	State Maryland				
Small	General locality Off Maryland Coast				
Large	Locality Great Gull Bank to Pope Island				
	Scale_1:20,000 Date of survey June 23, to Octllxk92 1933				
	Vessel S. CILBERT				
	Chief of Party H. A. Spran and Herman Odessey				
	Surveyed by Field Officers				
	Protracted byFLKish				
·	Soundings penciled by F. L. Kish				
,	Soundings in fethers feet				
	Plane of reference M. L. W.				
	Subdivision of wire dragged areas by				
•	Inked by W. R. Mullur				
	Verified by WABaufad x W. C. Tuullun				
ı	Instructions datedApril 27, 1933, 192				
	Remarks:				

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

# Hydrographic Sheet No. 7 Maryland Coast

## Instructions:

The instructions directing the work on this sheet are contained in the Director's instructions to the Commanding Officers of the ships-OCEANOGRAPHER, LYDONIA and GILBERT, dated April 27th, 1933, and covering projects HT-142, HT-143 and HT-144.

Limits:

This sheet covers an area extending from approximately 1/2 mile to between 4 and 6 miles off the Maryland Coast, between latitude 38° 61' and latitude 38° 16'.

#5353

This survey connects with sheet No. 1 on the north, sheet No. 5 on the east, with sheet No. 8 on the south and with sheet No. 1 L on the west.

#5358

## Survey Methods:

The survey of the area was controlled by sextant angles on objects located by triangulation and topography.

All soundings were taken with the handlead, standard methods being employed.

## Discrepancies:

With the following exceptions, the crossings of sounding lines in this sheet were exceptionally good. Lines 11 -/12 L and 136-137 H fail to cross by about 6 feet. Soundings on lime 136 - 137 H appear too deep and it is recommended they be rejected.

Lines 78 - 79 N and 106 - 107 J fail to cross by about four feet. It is recommended that the second and third sounding after position 106 J be rejected.

Lines 125 - 126 N and 121/to 122 J fail to cross by three feet.

Lines 125 - 126 N and 121 to 122 J fail to cross by three feet.

Lines 136 - 139 N and 177 - 178 J fail to cross by four feet.

It is recommended that the second sounding after position 177 J be rejected.

Lines 174- 175 N and 92 - 93 K fail to cross by three feet. It is recommended that the third sounding after position 92 K be rejected.

Lines 193 - 194 N and 148- 149 K fail to cross by three feet.

It is recommended that the sounding before position 144 K be rejected.

The line from 212 - 215 N which crosses four other lines, appears shout 3 feet too shoul. This recommended that it be rejected.

about 3 feet too shoal. It/is recommended that it be rejected.

Lines 107 - 108 T and 70 - 71 P fail toy cross by five feet. It
is recommended that the sounding before nosition 71 P be rejected.

is recommended that the sounding before position 71 P be rejected.

Lines 161 - 162 T and 111 - 112 P fail/to cross by three feet. It is recommended that the sounding after position 111 P be rejected.

The soundings between positions 185 and 186 T appearto be several

Lines 188 - 189 T and 25 - 26 R fail to gross by 6 feet. It is recommended that the third sounding after position 188 T be rejected.

The soundings between position 155 and 156 K fail to check the sounding on three cross lines by several feet. It is recommended that they be rejected.

Lines 78 - 79 U and 192 - 193 T fail to cross by 6 feet. It is recommended that the sounding after position 193 T be rejected.

Practically all the above erroneous crossings are near slopes and could be corrected by a slight displacement of one of the lines.

## Dangers:

There is an 18 foot sounding on the shoal which centers about latitude 38° 61', longitude 75° 08.5. This is three feet less than the sounding appearing in this vicinity on Chart 1220.

The shoal, appearing on Chart 1220, at latitude 380 03.5 has moved to the westward .3 mile to longitude 750 07.6. A least depth of 19 feet instead of 18 feet, was found.

The southwestern end of Little Gull Bank has moved about .1 mile to the eastward. A least depth of 17 feet was found, which corresponds with the sounding on Chart 1220.

# Comparison with Previous Surveys:

The soundings in this area agree well with those obtained by previous surveys.

Several of the shoals have moved slightly and the least depths have varied from one to four feet.

# Reduction of Soundings:

In making reduction for soundings, it was assumed that the tide occurred at the same time as that at Assateague Anchorage, at which point a standard tide gauge was in operation.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert a Earle Robert A. Earle, Lt., (j.g)

U. S. C. & G. S. S. OCEANOGRAPHER

Approved and forwarded:

H. A. Seran, Commdr., C&GS Commanding Ship- OCEANOGRAPHER.

# LIST OF STATISTICS

# Hydrographic Sheet No. 7.

# Maryland Coast

# "GILBERT"

Day	Date	Soundings	Positions	Mileage	
Δ.	Tumo 27 1077	284	60	14.2	
A	June 23, 1933	463	99	25.5	
B	oune ca,	277	56	18.0	
C	June 209		85	24.0	
D	July 11, "	463			
E	July 17, "	356	59	15.2	
F	July 26, "	<b>53</b> 0	102	27.5	
	July, 27, "	415	85	22.8	
G		1245	238	<b>59.7</b>	
H	July 20,	1046	207	58.0	
Ĵ	July 209	1021	205	5 <b>6 • 7</b>	
K .	outh so		35	6.0	
L	Aug. 9, "	156	69	15.0	
M	Sept. 18, "	360		54 <b>.7</b>	
N	Sept. 19, "	1234	236		
P	Sept. 28, "	<b>77</b> 8	133	38 • <b>3</b>	
	Sept. 29, "	<b>60</b> 9	111	28 <b>.1</b>	
Q	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1287	235	61.9	
R	Sept. 30, "	981	195	41.5	,
S	Octo 1 ,	1110	195	47.9	
${f T}$	Oct. 10, -11		120	22.1	
V	Oct. 11, "	532	····		-
	Totals	13147	2525	6 <b>37.1</b>	

March 6, 1934 .

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide Reducers are approved in 7 volumes of sounding records for

> HYDROGRAPHIC SHELT 5357

Locality Great Gull Bank to Pope Island, Maryland Coast

Chief of Party: Herman Odessey in 1933 Plane of reference is mean low water, reading 3.5 ft. on tide staff at Assateague Anchorage, Va 9.3 ft. below B. M. 17

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is about 4 feet

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

hief, Division of Tides and Currents

# PARTIAL REPORT ON H 5357

MARCH 13,1934

1./ The protracting on this sheet was well done and the soundings were neadly penciled. 2/ The dartum the sheet is referred to-was mitted from the sheet by the field party. 3.) The recommendations. the field party in the descriptive report under the paragraph headed to an DISCREPANCIES! - were not adhered to an sufficient reason could not be found for this arbitrary rejection of such une soundings in an area of such une betom boton.

> Respectfully on his Hed Warren HBomford

Section of Fired Records Regard on Hydrographic Sheet no 5357 c Treat Gull Bruk to Pope Island Of maryland Coast maryland Chief of Party - H. a. Seran and Hirman Odessey. Suronged by - Firld Officers. Protosted by I L. Hish Soundings Jewelled by J. L. Kish Orotracting original by to Is. Bamford Protracture orrifered and sheet wheel by w & mullen This sheet was cheeked for protracting and suspected by W. H. Bamford whose partial report precedes this report He records conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic namel dud the protracting and penceling of Soundings was excellently done The Jollowing exceptions are noted in regard to the records. The recorder has used abbreviations for bottom characteristics for which there is no authority in the manual I.E. br. widerly intended for bo. and bl. which might be interpreted so enther black or blue These abbreviations have invariably referred to mud and have been entered on the sheet as much without regard to color. In Not 6 at Jage 8 sur attempt was affarently made in the field to obtain a carbon copy of the notes. The carbon Japan was reversed resulting in an morted copy of the notes bring made we she reards. Fortunately no confusion results from this In Vol. 2 as Jage 6 locations are made of Winter Quarter Shook bury#6 and Red and Black Sea buoy (can) These buryo are not on the area coorned,

by This sheet but appear on sheet 5358 In cheeting These fositions on A-5358 the writer finds that W. Q.S. #6 Checked closely . However the fositions of Red and Black Dea busy do that cheek so that both positions are shown in pencil and are idulified by dates of location Thre are two signals on the sheet with the name Bug. There is no indication in the seconds as to the direction in which fish traps run. The buoy between positions 81-82 P was plated in femal by the firsh party in what seems to be are promous position This has brie changed on privath sheet breause of later location and agreement with book Sheet Is I would appear that the busy Las within drifted or the location Las brew changed. as photos now the busy is in affronwealt Let 38-03.77-Long To-09.66. as shown on aid Proofsher #1220 its affproximate location is Lax 38-03.73-Long N-09.96 The description report of this sheet call attention at all on page 1 to many bad crossings. The positions at all These crossings were cheeked by the writer and found to be substantially correct In Fire of the fact the the depths vary rapidly in this area is doss not seem that in reality thise crossings are very bad, so that none of The peconimendations made as to rejection Lade brew accepted. This meets with the approval of my Bauford who originally checked and unspected Respectfully submitted the sheet W. L. Mullen

## Section of Field Records

# REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. 5357

Off Coast of Maryland
Surveyed in 1933
Original instructions dated April 27, 1933 (OCEANOGRAPHER)
Supplemental instructions dated June 1, 1933 (OCEANOGRAPHER)

# Hand lead soundings and 5 Point Control

Chief of party - H. A. Seran, H. Odessey. Surveyed by - Officers of Steamer GILBERT. Protracted by - F. L. Kish. Soundings penciled by - F. L. K. Verified by - W. H. Bamford, W. L. Mullen. Inked by - W. L. Mullen.

# 1. Condition of Records.

The records conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual.

### 2. Instructions.

The plan, character and extent of the work satisfy the Instructions for the Project except that no recommendation was made.regarding the retention or rejection of the charted wreck in lat. 38.05.6, long. 75.10.6 (Par. 14 of Instructions).

#### 3. Crossings.

The crossings of sounding lines on this sheet are generally very good, although not always within four per cent of the depth in some of the irregular and lumpy areas. The field party submitted a complete list of the worst crossings with recommendations as to their disposition. These places were examined and several adjustments were made. The line between pos. 70P and pos. 72 (lat. 38°04', long. 75°11'.5) was adjusted and the soundings on the line from pos. 155K and pos. 156K (lat. 38°05'.5, long. 75°10'.5) were omitted. In the other cases the recommendations of the field party were sometimes but not always followed because in many cases the rejection of soundings was too arbitrary, not warranted by the general bottom configuration.

### 4. Curves.

The information is sufficient for completely drawing the usual depth curves.

### 5. Junctions.

The junction on the north with H. 5346 is satisfactory.

The junction on the east with H. 5348 will be reported in the review of that sheet after it has been verified.

The junction on the east with H. 5555 is satisfactory.

At the junction on the west with H. 5547 there is no actual overlap and in one or two places the distance between the lines slightly exceeds the 200 meters requirement of the Instructions. A closer junction would have been desirable | About 0.7 miles has been in the vicinity of Lat. 38001.5 and Lat. 38008'. have been noted in the review of that sheet).

accomplished in the Add'l Work done on H-5347(1834). 12/11/34 H.W.M.

The junction on the south with H. 5358 is satisfactory.

#### Comparison with Previous Surveys. 6.

The survey of 1849, H. 215, (Scale 1-40,000) shows only a few widely spaced lines in the area north of Lat. 38013'. There are no shoals of importance on this sheet that fall within the limits of the new survey. It should be superseded by the recent work, H. 5357.

On the survey of 1850, H. 251, the old method of locating the vessel by the intersection of angles taken from two shore stations was used for control. In the areas well off shore, the intersections are not very strong and the control may not be as accurate as the three point fix measure used on the new work. Comparison of the two surveys shows that about the same depths were obtained, but sometimes in different locations, indicating that the same shoals exist but located in different positions. A 24 ft. sounding charted in Lat. 58004'3, Long. 75006.5 is an example of this, as the new work finds a 26 ft. shoal about 4/10 of a mile to the southward. Because of the difference in time between the two surveys as well as the consideration just mentioned, it is recommended that H. 251 should be superseded by the new work, H. 5357. An 18 foot sounding 18 foot side disproved. (See Review of shown on H. 251 in Lat. 38°02'. Long. 75°15'. 26 should be retained on the chart until more information is received. H 5347-Add 1 Work of 1934). 12/11/34 H.W.M.

The survey of 1911, H. 3314, is on a scale of 1 to 200,000. The greater part of this survey depends for control upon a crude type of dead reckoning on which no allowance was made for current or leeway. The position of the soundings is only approximate. For this reason it is recommended that H. 3314 be generally superseded by the late survey, H. 5357. A 24 ft. sounding (actually 25 ft.) is charted in Lat. 58001.2, Long. 75007 . 2, from this survey. As the new work also shows a shoaling at this point (28ft. obtained), it is recommended that the 24 ft. sounding be retained on the chart for the present. Although the control is considered unreliable it noted that at several places H. 3314 checks the new work fairly well. For example a 17 foot shoal at Great Gull Bank. of 1912, H. 3514a is on a scale of 1 to 80,000. Only one sounding line from this sheet crosses the new work. The

control for this survey is also very weak and the position of soundings approximate only. (See verifier's report attached to descriptive report of H. 3314a). H. 5314a, should also be superseded by the new work, H. 5357.

### 7. Wreck.

A wreck symbol is shown on Chart 1220 in approximate Lat. 38°-05'.6, Long. 75'10'.6. The origin and history of this wreck could not be traced, as it has been carried on our charts since about 1900. The field party placed the wreck on their boatsheet and ran sounding lines over the position without finding any trace of it, but failed to make any mention of it in their descriptive report. The existence of the wreck appears to have been disproved, and should be discontinued in future charting.

8. The area within the limits of this sheet has been well covered. With but few exceptions the same or less water has been obtained on the charted shoals. In some cases the development on the shoals does not seem close enough to give assurance that the least depths were found.

# 9. Additional Field Work Recommended.

Inasmuch as work is to be continued in this vicinity this year, it is desirable that the following additional work be accomplished:

a. A further development of the small bank (least depth 39 feet) in approximate lat. 38°09°.5, long. 79°06°.5.

b. A closing of the gap at the junction with H. 5347 in lat. 58°08' to 58°09' and long. 79°10'. A better delineation of the 18 foot curve should be made here.

c. A few short split lines along the ridge in lat. 38 °04'.8, long. 75°09°.2. Chart 1220 shows a 30 foot sounding in this vicinity from H. 251. The depths surrounding the 30 on the old survey seems to agree generally well with the depths on the new survey; hence it is possible that the 30 still exists.

d. The narrow ridge in the vicinity of lat. 38°02'.1, long. 75°13'.3 with 19 and 21 feet on it should be further developed to ascertain the existence of the northernmost of 18 that sdy disproved. two charted 18 foot shoals here. This 18 foot sounding is from H. 251.

(See Review of H-5347(1934) Add'l Work. 12/11/34 H.W.M.

A further examination should be made of the shosling (least depth 28 feet) in lat. 58°01.2, long. 75°07'.1 to determine whether less water exists here. The charted

24 foot sounding in this vicinity (from H. 3314) should be retained unless disproved by the additional examination, and while working in this vicinity additional lines should be run over the shoaling (least depth 29 feet) in lat. 38°01'.4, long. 75°07'.3 and the 28 foot sounding in lat. 38°01'.9, long. 75°07'.3. Less water may exist near the first and the second may be a fathom in error.

10. Reviewed by R. L. Johnston - May 1934.

Sheet inspected and recommendations concurred in - A. L. Shalowitz.

 $\overline{\Delta}$ 

Examined and approved:

K. T. Adams,

Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Section of Field Work.

Chief, Division of Charts.

Chief, Division of H. & T.

applied to Dry. of Chart 1270 after additional work was verified; July 12,1935. HB.