

Form Rev. Det DEPARTMENT O U.S. COAST AND GE R. S. PATTON	D. 1993 F COMMERCE ODETIC SURVEY
DESCRIPTIV	
Topographic - Hydrographic	<i>№8.</i> 8 5525
U	. S. GOAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES
	OCT 12 1934
	Acc, No
State South Carol	ina
LOCA	ALITY
Port Royal Sc	und
Chowan Creek and	Morgan River
19	3 4
CHIEF C	OF PARTY
Lt, I, E, Ritter	aburg.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

OCT 15 1934

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET Acc. No. .

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

> 5525 Field No.

REGISTER NO.

State South Carolina				
General locality C. Port Royal Soundings	River-)		
Locality Chowan Creek and Morgan Rebyer jum	Nion T	M. 130	ngan.	12. v
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey March		,	19 34	
Vessel Shore Party # 15				•
Chief of Party I. E. Rittenburg				
Surveyed by M. D. Cooper, W. C. Huebner				•
Protracted by Wa Ca Huebnar				
Soundings penciled by W. C. Huebner				•
Soundings in fathers feet				
Plane of reference Mean Low Water				
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by				
Verified by			/	•
Instructions dated	L933		19	
Remarks:				•

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT TO ACCOMPANY HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET FIRED NUMBER 8, CHOWAN CREEK (JOHNSON RIVER) SOUTH CAROLINA. PROJECT H. & T. NO. 160

1. INSTRUCTIONS.

This survey was made in accordance with instructions from the Director, dated Nov. 2, 1933.

JUNCTIONS AND AREA COVERED.

This sheet joins hydro. sheet field no. 1 & 2, on the south end and will join the hydrography of the M. V. Natoma 1954 on the north end. This sheet covers Chowan Creek (Johnson River) for its entire length and shows the junction of this creek with Lucy Point Creek and Morgan River.

SURVEY METHODS AND CONTROL

Standard methods were employed throughout this entire survey. The horizontal control was based on the excellent triangulation of C. A. Egner, 1933 Supplemental stations were located by means of the plane table and alidade with at least three intersecting cuts. Several signals were located by means of sextant angles due to the fact that after the signals had all been built and located they either blew down or disappeared.

4 DISCREPANCIES

Mone.

5 CHANNELS

bridge existed There has been considerable shoaling in Chowan Creek, especially in the vicinity of the bridge and causeway across the middle of the creek. This couleve of the bridge is believed to have consed the characteristics. bridge is believed to have caused this shealing. At the present time there area, which is no through channel in this creek at low water. For considerable distance morth and south of this bridge mudflats completely block the channel. As Show same the bridge has a clearance of only three feet at high water this breek is condition o-5 not navigable even at high water for anything but small boats. At station exists new, re-SWEDE, 1933, there are two branches making out from the main creek. The eas shouls and eastern branch is navigable to signal Zel which marks a dock. Vessels Flats, drawing seven feet make this a regular port of call by waiting for the tide. The limiting depth at low water is three feet between signals John and Me.. In the western branch signals Do and Ess show the locations of docks at which vessels unload fertilizer etc. occasionally. Ten feet can 9ft. a be carried to both these docks. Seven feet can be carried almost to the Lat. 32° 22.93 limit of this survey. Long. 803862

6 Dangers

Practically all depth curves were omitted from this sheet to avoid confusing the verifier. In the navigable waterways no dnagers of any consequence were found other than mudflats of more or less extensive area. Vessles will have no trouble in following the channels with the aid of a chart

7 SHORELINE

In general the shoreline is low marsh. This survey was completed before the shoreline was available from the air photo reduction unit. The low water line was obtained wherever possible by running lines as close as possible to the high water line at high tide.

8 TIDES

All soundings north of the bridge were reduced from the records of the portable automatic tide gage operated at the junction of Lucy Point Creek and the Morgan River. Signal Ship is the exact location of this gage. South of the bridge, soundings were reduced from the records of a portable automatic gage operated north of station Swede and shown on the hydro, sheet by a blue circle. In addition a standard automatic gage was operated at the Marine Barracks, Parris Island for the entire duration of this survey.

9 LANDMARKS FOR CHARTING.

There are no landmarks of sufficient prominence for charting in this area with the exception of the Assial Beacon which is already charted.

10 STATISTICS

Statute miles of sounding	lines	166.0
number of soundings taken	•	5544
number of positions taken		1860

I. E. Rittenburg, Lieut., Coast & Geodetic Survey, Chief of Party.

NOTE ON SMOOTH PLOT OF RITTENBURG'S SHEET NO. 8

The location of signal "ZEL" by plane table failed to agree with the radial plot of the photo-compilation. The radial plotting was checked and gave a strong determination of "ZEL" (the S.W. corner of a dock). Because of the fact that this signal is the last one up the creek and was located by very weak cuts, it is believed that its position was incorrectly shown on the plane table sheet.

By using the radial plot position of "ZEL" and replotting the sextant-located signals "WHO" and "WHY", the soundings cross more favorably and agree closely with the photo-compiled shoreline.

Because of the relative unimportance of the end of this stream, the additional expense involved in a closer determination was considered unjustified. With the exception of the area noted above, plotting of positions and soundings was accomplished by the party of Lt. Rittenburg. Shoreline was applied to the smooth sheet by this party.

Respectfully submitted,

Chief of Perty, H. & Engineer

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET No. 5525

The following statistics will be submitted with the eartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	1860
Number of positions checked	200
Number of positions revised	2
Number of soundings recorded	5544
Number of soundings revised	700
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	0

Date: Nov. 19, 1934
Cartographer: Wallace A. Bruder

Peristantion of protracting
Peristantion of testes and about Wolface A. Bruder
Peristantion of testes and about Wolface G. Bruder

Souther by

John S. C. od

Marie 13 1/2 Land

To; Mr. Bacon From L. S. S.

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

Survey	No.	H	55	25

Chart No. 1240

Date. Oct. 25, 1934

SOUTH CAROLINA Names underlined in red approved Oct 25, 1934

Diagram No. 1240-2

- *, Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.
- ¢, Not Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.
- R, Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.

Litus	Name on Survey	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
	Chowan Creek	Same	4 4 4 5 5		-
,					
	St. Helena Island	11		Olipan Milesa est	
	and the second s				
	Ladies Island	11			
	and the same of th				
1/	Morgan River	•			
	The second of th				
	Lucy Point Creek	n		40-7 1-10	
:					
					2
5 700					
•					
				•	(a 10a

November 6, 1934.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide Reducers are approved in 5 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5525

Locality Chowan Creek and Morgan River, South Carolina

Chief of Party: I. E. Rittenburg in 1934
Plane of reference is mean low water reading
3.4 ft. on tide staff at Chowan Creek (Distant Island)
9.6 ft. below B.M. 1

2.9 ft. on tide staff at Lucy Point 10.2 ft. below B.M. 1

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 7.1 feet at thowan Creek (Distant I.) and 6.8 feet at Lucy Point (North Entrance Chowan Creek).

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Acting Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

Chief of party: I. E. Rittenburg. Protracted by: W. C. Huebner. Verified and inked by: W. A. Bruder. Surveyed in March, 1934. Surveyed by: M. D. Cooper, W. C. Huebner. Soundings plotted by: W. C. Huebner.

- The records conform to the requirements of the General Instructions.
- 2. Because of the narrow waters, a selection of the usual depth curves had to be made. The depth curves showing the channel and shoal locations were given preference in all cases.
- 3. The field plotting was complete to the extent prescribed in the General Instructions.
- 4. Approximately 700 field-plotted soundings were corrected by the verifier, due to three reasons:
 - 1. Change of several tide reducers. 2. Plus & was plotted in field as 0. whereas on this sheet all + 1/2 are V
 - 3. Critical sounding was not given preference.
- 5. The junction with H-5518 is satisfactory and complete. This is the only available adjacent sheet at present.
- 6. Remarks.

Lat. 32027.0', Long. 80034.8' - 10 meters north of A HOPE 1933 there is apparently a hydrographic station with no name on the smooth sheet. It is not shown on boat sheet.

shareline agrees Lat. 32°26.7' - The shoreline of H-5525 at A LUCY is identical with air photo compilation .

At the time of verification the air photo, was not available to clarify the following:

Lat. 32025.7', Long. 80037.1' - Pos. 21-23L shows the boat to go across the edge of the swampy island. 22L plots on the island.

0 RING

Lat. 32°25.9', Long. 80°36.5' - The shoreline east of is not shown.

The following signals shown out in the water do not specify what they are nor is this shown in the records or on the boat sheet: (north to south):

GET, TWO, SHIP, TED, TING, COX, NEW, MAN, THE, YES, CUY, LOW, KING.

a description as to the type of the above signals could be found nowhere. The investigation included the plane table sheets and descriptive reports of

7-6091 a and b, 7-6092 a and b; and the descriptive report of H5525.

Les to the low marshy chareline there is the possibility of these above signals being off shore.

12/27/34 W.A.B.

with the low water line of T-6093-a.

Section of Field Records

REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5525 (1954).

Chowan Creek and Morgan River, Port Royal Sound, S. Carolina. Instructions dated November 2, 1933 (NATOMA). Surveyed - March, 1934.

Hand Lead Soundings - 3-Point Fixes on Shore Signals.

Chief of Party - I. E. Rittenburg. Surveyed by - M. D. Cooper; W. C. Huebner. Protracted and soundings penciled by - W. C. Huebner. Verified and inked by - W. A. Bruder.

1. Condition of Records.

The records are neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual with the following exceptions:

- a. No topographic features are shown on the smooth sheet for a number of signals that plot outside the highwater line. They are considered, however, to be on the outer edge of the marsh and of a temporary nature, established for the principal use of the hydrographic party.
- b. The transfer carbon paper used in transferring the shoreline to the smooth sheet left a heavy greasy line which is difficult to remove. (burnished on from print furnished by office exa

2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The survey satisfies the instructions for the project with the exception that no regular system of cross lines was run as called for in par. 14 of the instructions.

3. Sounding Line Crossings.

No regular system of cross lines were run, however those that do occur in the normal development of the area, together with the parallel adjacent lines, are in good agreement.

4. Depth Curves.

The usual depth curves may be satisfactorily drawn, including portions of the zero foot curve.

5. Junctions With Contemporary Surveys.

A satisfactory junction is made with H. 5518 (1934) on the south. The survey on the north has not yet been received.

6. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

a. H. 834 (1862).

This survey which covers a portion of Chowan Creek is in fair agreement with the present survey. In general the latter survey is uniformly deeper by 1 to 3 feet in the channel areas. Since there are no shoals of importance on the old survey that were not located on the present survey, a detailed comparison is unnecessary in this review.

b. H. 962 (1868) and H. 1155a (1872).

These surveys cover the balance of the area of the new survey. Considering the nature of the area and the date of the surveys, they are in fair agreement with the present survey. The differences that do exist, however, are mainly minor, such as would be expected in such areas. There are no shoals of importance that are shown on the old surveys that were not located on the present survey, hence a detailed comparison is not considered necessary.

7. Comparison With Chart 1240.

Within the area of the present survey the chart is based on surveys discussed in the foregoing paragraphs and contains no additional information that needs consideration in this review.

8. Field Plotting.

The field plotting was satisfactory.

9. Additional Field Work Recommended.

No additional field work is recommended.

10. Superseding Old Surveys.

Within the area covered the present survey supersedes the following surveys for charting purposes:

- H. 834 (1862) In part.
- H. 962 (1868) " "
- H. 1155a(1872) " "

11. Reviewed by - John G. Ladd - January, 1935.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

C. K. Green, T. Meen. Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Division of Charts.

Chief, Section of Field Work. Chief, Division of H. & T.

Applied to Cht. 571, Apr. 9, 1935

X. Reynolds
25 Jan 13, 1936
ball