# 5580

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARTHIYES

Jr. 21 1935

Acc. No.

FORM 504
Rev. Dec. 1933

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
R. S. PATTON, DIRECTOR

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT
Hydrographic   Sheet No. 5580
The state of the s
State Georgia
LOCALITY
Sapelo Sound
Sapelo River
·
·
<i>193</i> 4
CHIEF OF PARTY
C. A. Egner

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1934

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

U.	S. COAST	AND A	ETIC SI	UNEY နေ့ဂ
	.DEC	28	19 <b>34</b>	NO.
,	Acc. No		*********	22

# HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. 27
REGISTER NO. 5580
State Georgia
General localitySapelo Sound
Locality Sapelo River
Scale 1/10.0000 Date of survey Oct Nov., 19 34
Vessel Party No. 23
Chief of Party C. A. Egner, H & GE
Surveyed by C.A.B.urmeister
Protracted by W.F.Kiley
Soundings penciled by
Soundings in fathoms feet
Plane of reference M.L.W.
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by
Inked by P.H. Scherr
Verified by
Instructions dated

## DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

#### TO ACCOMPANY

# HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. 27

### AUTHORITY:

This sheet was executed under Instructions dated December 5, 1933 covering operations of Party No. 23 on the Georgia Coast.

## PURPOS E:

To provide a more comprehensive survey than has before been available.

# LIMITS AND JUNCTIONS:

The northern limit of this sheet is the junction of the Broro and Julienton Rivers. The southern limit is the junction of Front and Sapelo Rivers and the most northern reaches of the Mud River. The eastern limit is the Front River-Sapelo River junction. The sheet extended westward to include the entire length of Sapelo River.

Sheet 27 joins sheet 26 at the northeast corner, sheet 14 at the east, and sheet 7 (MIKAWE) at the south.

## CHARACTER OF LOCALITY:

The land within the immediate vicinity of the water areas is flat open marsh. The wooded areas set back some distance from the river except in spots where they come to the rivers edge.

#### METHODS:

The usual standard methods were used working from the launch AGLETHORPE. All positions are controlled by sextant fixes from either triangulation or topographic signals.

# CONTROL HORIZONTAL:

In order to properly control this sheet it was necessary to establish some fifteen new triangulation stations. The tree covered ground at Harris Neck and Creighton Island shuts out of view the established scheme to the east. This triangulation was broken down from the 1932 coastal coordinating scheme. In addition only a few topographic signals were necessary to permit rigid control by sextant fixes.

# CONTROL VERTICAL:

Tidal reducers were obtained from the plane of four gages, Pine Harbor, Julienton River, Sapelo Quarantine, and Mud River. The division of the areas is indicated on the boat sheet.

# COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS:

There exists no satisfactory basis for comparison since the upper reaches of the rivers on this sheet have had no previous survey.

# DANGERS AND CONTROLLING DEPTHS:

No dangers will be encountered by anyone familiar with the navigation of tidal rivers. Channels are not marked but they follow the ebb tide bends. There are two large bars in the area of Julienton River appearing on this sheet. Parts of these bars are as much as three feet above water at low tide and the channel follows very close to the bank on the ebb flow.

Stype obtained on line indicate /to2ff. solve.

HUM.

# GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:

Those charted have been retained as the most desirable.

# TIDAL DATA:

Pine Harbo	r		1.1
Julienton :	River		-1.0
Sapelo Qua	rantine	Tank	2.0
Mud River	(MILLER	Staff)	0.36

# STATISTICS:

Shown on accompanying table.

Respectfully submitted,

Storge Fortune
George Fortune
Surveyor

Approved and forwarded;

C. A. Egner, Chief of Party

# Signals on Sheet No. 27

# Topographic Stations

Front	Pel <b></b> Zo	Grass
Crescent	Gun	Turk
Center of	Boathouse	
Open	Point	Bridge
Piney	Fairhope	Fair
River	Southerla	nd
Four	Harris	Neck
Thorpe		

# Topographic Stations

Car	Ba ${f r}$	Gar
Kit	Crow	${ t Hen}$
Star	Five	Lust
Dock	${f J} \circ {f e}$	Jerry
Tom	R <b>ye</b>	End
Mid	Bee	Dance
Corn	One	Julie
En	Ace	Bob

STATISTICS SHEET NO. 27

Date	Day	Vol.	Miles.	Snd'gs.	Pos.
10/25/34 26 27	a b c	1 1 1	4.3 6.0 11.2	104 145 251	54 37 69
29 30 30	d e e	1 1 2	37.8 9.0 27.5	917 223 713	239 58 194
31 11/10/34 11	f g h	2 3 3	37.5 1.5 4.0	98 <b>6</b> 73 82	242 19 22
15	j	3	14.3	480	186_
Т	Cotals .		153.1	3974	1060

# Section of Field Records

Report on H. 5580

Chief of Porty - C. A. Egner

Chief of Porty - W. F.K.

Protracted by - W. F.K.

Surveyed in October, hovember, 1934.

Surveyed by C. A. Bur meister

Jurveyed by J. G.P.

Soundings plotted by J. G.P.

Verified and inhed by J. H. Scher.

The necords conform to the general Instructions requirements of the General Instructions — in with the following exceptions — in with the following exceptions at the top of the mames were most each page the names were not noted but corried over from the voted but corried over from the preceding page Changes in preceding page not mentioned in that column, which might have that column, which might have been helpful in many doubtful been helpful in many doubtful points on this sheet. Bottom points on this sheet, Bottom characteristics are not noted consistently as called for by the manual.

- The depth curves were drawn. Jingle lines of soundings did want have clives inked 25 per Standard practice.
  - 3. The field plotting was complete to the extent prescribed in the General Instructions with the exception that Station "NOW" was not inked" on the sheet but had been priched through. This was checked & inked.
- The office draftsman changed a few portions of the shore line after the aero photo sheets were examined.
- 5. Rojunctions were made as none of the adjoining sheets had been completely verified,
  - 6. Cemarks.

notes and sketches of islands, snags, are found on the boat sheet, of which no other indication is found, These, listed below, were not transferred to the smooth sheet fending the review of the sheet.

LATITUDE LONGITUDE 31°32,9 31°31,8 Island 81020:1 / Juhil From 81020:1 / Air Photos al Gland 81°20', 3. " Indel home B.S. 31°31.6 spit 8,021. 5 - Inhilfen Obster 31030.3 island. 810 21.6. Sublifum 0.5. 310340 snago 81°22.19 """ 31°32,0 81024.0 31.6 81019. P 3 supported by -2 and hecessary 31,32,8 note-BARE allw. The trangulation station Sutherland, 1932 (the datum station) is given the designation In Sutherland "2" on the smooth sheet,
The adjusted files list this same

Station without the 2" ("") ("") ("") ("")

all the geographic names on the smooth sheet were lettered by the

field party.

The aero-photo shelts covering this area was examined and the topography sheet, compared with that of the smooth sheet, compared with that of the smooth sheet, some discrep ancies were changed by Jones and other referred to B.J. Jones in Aero ophasts the high water line in Aero ophasts the high water line in Aero ophasts line at latitude 31°31'.5; and dashed line at latitude 31°31'.5; longitude 81°18,0 were taken from aero-photo

sheet #5219 and changed on the smooth sheet. Discrepancies concerning the 3 docks and the conflict between hydrograph and Topography at latitude 31°30. 5; longitude 81°21.6; and docks at latitude 31°32'7; longitude 81°22's; the inking of the shore line morth of topo, station GAR which appears as a single line on the aero-photo sheet, were all referred to Above discrepancies disposed of xwyn. Topography for Brown and filienton Olivers, NE corner of sheet, and that, south of latitude 31°39!, east of longitude 81°21! could not be compared with the smooth Theets as sheet covering this is not in the office Tops compared xions. The character of work was only fair, the protraction, had a number of errors, the spacing of soundings were poor. The smooth sheet plotter made the error consistently of plotting - 1/2 soundings, which were greaten than -1, to the next whole foot inked - ½ soundings on the sheet These were not changed but referred to the Shalawiz. Saul H. Scher.

Inorch 2, 1935.

To: H.M. Strong From C.F.M.

Date. Jan. 17, 1935

# **GEOGRAPHIC NAMES**

Survey No	H_	_5580_	

Chart No. 1241

\*, Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. Harlow Bacon

- $\not C$ , Not Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.
- R, Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.

Status	Name on Survey	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
	Harris Neck	Same	1		
	Julienton River	*	,		
	Broro River *	žř			
	Four Mile Island	Fourmile Island			
	Sapelo River	110		/	
-	Back River	H .			
	Front River	n		/	
	Creighton Island	. 11	,	/	
	Mud River	17			
	Note:				
	The Names on this	Sheet were inked on the	Sheet by th	Field	
			White Chimne	y River U.S	Engrs
	*Brewer River U.S.Engra	•			
+7					
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
				1	
<u> </u>					(M 100

# Field Records Section (Charts)

# HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. 5580

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	7060
Number of positions checked	47
Number of positions revised	22
Number of soundings recorded	3914
Number of soundings revised	152
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	<i>V</i>

Date: Mar.	2,1935		
Verification	on by Paul H. Schem	Time:	42 1/2 hrs.
Review by	Ham Telsh and	Time:	13 hrs
	proceeding by "		/2 '' 5 "

#### Section of Field Records

## REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. 5580 (1934) - FIELD NO. 27

Sapelo River, Sapelo Sound, Georgia Surveyed October - November, 1934 Instructions dated December 5, 1933 (C. A. Egner)

#### Hand Lead Soundings.

3 Point Fixes on Shore Signals.

Chief of Party - C. A. Egner.
Surveyed by - C. A. Burmeister.
Protracted by - W. F. Kiley.
Soundings Plotted by - J. G. Pappas.
Verified and Inked by - P. H. Scherr.

#### 1. Condition of Records.

The records are neat, legible, and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual except as follows:

- a. On the cover label and title page of the sounding records, the position numbers and day letters were in black ink. These were changed to the proper color in the office. (Par. 138).
- b. The names of signals were not consistently entered at the top of each page of the sounding records.
- c. Bottom characteristics were not entered consistently as called for in the Hydrographic Manual.
- d. Geographic names were inked on the sheet by the field party instead of being left in pencil as required by Par. 160. Names of islands were inked in slanting lettering instead of vertical lettering, the accepted standard practice. These were not changed in the office.
- e. The character of topographic signal "Rock" (lat. 31° 32.0°, long. Signal on tree at H.W. mark. See letter dated the high water line is not known. The signal falls near the mouth of a small stream and if established on a natural feature, such feature would be of navigational importance. This matter has been referred to the field party.

The "Descriptive Report" is clear and comprehensive and satisfactorily covers all matters of importance.

## 2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The plan, character, and extent of the survey satisfy the instructions for the project. Some reference, however, should have been made in the sounding records or descriptive report regarding the degree of navigability of several small creeks not entered and not closed off by shoal soundings.

## 3. Sounding Line Crossings.

No general system of cross lines was run but those that were, as well as the adjacent lines, show good agreement.

## 4. Depth Curves.

The usual depth curves may be satisfactorily drawn within the limits of the survey, including a large percentage of the low water line.

# 5. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys.

- a. The junctions with H-5586 (1934) on the north and H-5633 (1934) on the south are entirely satisfactory.
- b. The junctions on the east with H-5583 (1934) is satisfactory except that the 11 and 13 foot soundings (line 36 37f, red) on the present survey in lat. 31° 31.9°, long. 81° 17.8° fall in general depths of about 18 feet on H-5583 (1934). While there are indications of rapid changes in bottom here, additional development should have been made in the field in order to clearly define the hydrographic features in this vicinity.

## 6. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

## a. H-660 (1858).

This is the only prior survey, and covers the general Sapele River area as far west on the river as long. 81° 20.8°. A study of this survey shows a close agreement in some areas but general changes in others and a detailed comparison will serve no useful cartographic purpose. In addition, this survey contains no important information that is not adequately covered on the present survey. The only exceptions are two shoal soundings obtained on oyster rocks; one with a least depth of 11 feet (charted) in lat. 31° 32.4°, long. 81° 18.5° and one with 10 feet (not charted) in lat. 51° 32.2', long. 81° 18.2'. The first sounding falls close to similar depths on the present survey and should be superseded by depths on that survey. The other sounding is not borne out by depths on the present survey and may be 1 fathom too shoal. In view of the lapse of time between the 1858 survey and the present survey, together with the uncertainty regarding the accuracy of the 10 foot sounding, the latter should be disregarded in future charting.

# 7. Comparison with Chart No. 547 (Corrected to January 19, 1934).

#### a. Hydrography.

Within the area of the present survey the chart is based on surveys discussed in the foregoing paragraphs and contains no additional information that needs consideration in this review.

b. The source of the charted feature in lat. 31° 33.6°, long. 81° 18.8° is not known. It was first shown on Standard 574 (1927) but not on the preceding superseded Standard 444 (1921) and furthermore, has never been charted on the small scale chart No. 1241 covering the same area. A line of 5 foot soundings was run very close to the feature on the present survey and no mention made in the sounding records. The feature is probably non-existent at the present time and should be disregarded in future chartings.

### 8. Field Plotting.

The field protracting and plotting were accurate and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual, except that a number of positions were incorrectly protracted and numerous soundings were incorrectly spaced with respect to time interval. These were corrected in the office.

9. Additional Field Work Recommended.

This survey is complete and no additional field work is required.

10. Superseding Previous Surveys.

Within the area covered, the present survey supersedes the following survey for charting purposes:

H-660 (1858) in part.

11. Reviewed by - Harry T. Kelsh, March, 1935, and Harold W. Murray, September 19, 1935.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Section of Field Work.

Chief, Division of Charts.

Chief, Division of H. & T.

POST-OFFICE ADDRESS:

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey Ship "HYDROGRAPHER", Station F, New Orleans, Louisiana.

TELEGRAPH ADDRESS:

EXPRESS ADDRESS:

EXPRESS ADDRESS.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

October 14, 1935.

TO :

The Director,

Coast and Geodetic Survey,

Washington, D. C.

FROM:

Clarence A. Burmister, Lieut. (jg)

Coast and Geodetic Survey

Ship HYDROGRAPHER.

SUBJECT:

Signal "ROCK", H-5580(Field 27)

Sapelo River.

REFERENCE:

Director's letter 80-DRM - Sept. 30,1935.

Signal "ROCK" was a small pine tree growing on an out-cropping of very hard mud which on first sight appeared to be rock. This out-cropping was about at the **ordin**-way high water mark, of fairly large extent, but not particularly conspicuous. It was not considered of value as either a land mark or as a land feature worthy of charting.

Clarence A. Burmister,

Lieutenant (j.g.)

Coast and Geodetic Survey.

Tufomation

applied bolief

80-DRM

September 30, 1935.

To: Lieutement Commander G. A. Egner, U. S. Coast and Geodetie Survey, P. O. Box 908, Tallahassee, Florida.

From:

The Director.

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.

Subject:

Signal "Rock", H 5580 (field number 27), Sapelo River.

There is inclosed a photostat of a section of your survey H 5580 (field number 27), Sapelo River, on which is indicated in yellow signal "Rock". Since the records contain no information regarding the feature on which signal "Rock" is located, you will please advise as to whether there is a natural feature at this location in order that it may be properly charted.

(Signed) R. S. PATTON

Inclosure.

Director.

January 29, 1935.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts: Attention: Mr. E. P. Ellis.

Tide Reducers are approved in 3 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5580

Locality Sapelo River, Georgia

Chief of Party: C. A. Egner in 1934

Plane of reference is mean low water, reading

2.0 ft. on tide staff at Sapelo Sound Quarantine

8.4 ft. below B.M. 1

1.1 ft. on tide staff at Pine Harbor

17.7 ft. below B.M. 1

0.4 ft. on tide staff at Mud River

8.1 ft. below B.M. 1

-1.0 ft. on tide staff at Julienton River

18.3 ft. below B.M. 1

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 6.9 feet at Sapelo Sound quarantine; 8.0 feet at Pine Harbor; 8.1 feet at Mud River; 7.5 feet at Julienton River.

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

Applied to Chart 840, Dec. 1935 W. N.B.

Applied to drawing of new compilation of Charl 574 - Nov. 2, 1936 - J. T. U.