# 5670

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

MAR 4 1935

Acc. No.

FORM 504
Rev. Dec. 1933
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
R. S. PATTON. DIRECTOR

# **DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

-Topographic-
Hydrographic

Sheet No. \_\_\_\_2\_\_\_\_

State New York

# LOCALITY

HUDSON RIVER
Burden Dock to Brandow foint and

Hudson River Linlithgo to Hudson

City Lighthouse.

Rondout, Esopus and Catskill Creeks

193 4

CHIEF OF PARTY

John A. Bond

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 193

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

	ᄁ
U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURV	60
LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES	•
	Ö
MAR 4 1935	۲

# HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

Acci	No.	approximate the second

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. \_\_\_2

REGISTER NO. 5670
StateNew_York
General locality Hudson River Dock to Brandow Point And Hudson River - Einlithgo to Hudson City Lighthouse.  Locality Rondout, Esopus, and Catskill Creeks.
1:5000  Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey SeptNov. , 1934
VesselLaunch MIKAWE
Chief of Party John A, Bond
Surveyed by
Protracted byA.G. Turner and A. van Reuth
Soundings penciled byA. van Reuth
Soundings in * 10 thoms feet
Plane of reference Datum (Mean Low Water at lowest river stages)
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by
Inked by A.H.YEOMANS
Verified by AHX
Instructions datedJuly 25, 1934, 19
Remarks:

#### DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

## To Accompany Hydrographic Sheet No. 2

#### HUDSON RIVER - NEW YORK

Hudson River from Linlithgo to Hudson City Lighthouse, Rondout Creek, Esopus Creek, and Catskill Creek.

## **AUTHORITY:**

This work was executed in accordance with the Director's Instructions dated July 25, 1934 to the Commanding Officer, Launch MIKAWE: Project No. HT-190.

## LIMITS:

Sheet 2 is divided into 4 sections, each surveyed independently of the other. Rondout, Esopus and Catskill Creeks are on a scale of 1:5,000. The section of Hudson River from Linlithgo to Hudson is on a scale of 1:10,000.

Rondout Creek - Joins U. S. Engineer's survey on the east and extends to O.1 mile west of N.Y.C.R.R. bridge across Rondout Creek.

Esopus Creek - Joins sheet 3 at Saugerties lighthouse (entrance to Esopus Creek) and extends westward (upstream) to power dam.

Catskill Creek - Joins Hudson River section (1:10,000) of sheet 2 at the entrance of Catskill Creek and extends upstream to the railroad bridge.

Hudson River - Joins sheet 3 on the south and the U. S. Engineer's surveys 700 yards south of Hudson City Lighthouse.

#### SURVEY METHODS:

Standard Coast Survey methods of hand lead soundings and sextant fixes were generally used. Along the shoreline, near docks, between lighters, tugs, etc, where sextant fixes were impracticable the positions were carefully plotted on the boat sheet, in the field, in relation to nearby topographic detail.

In Rondout Creek especially, the large number of lighters, barges and towboats alongside the docks and seawalls made an even spacing of soundings impossible. Soundings were taken between the obstructions as conditions would permit.

The leadline was No. 8 Samson mahogany tiller rope graduated in fathoms and feet. The sounding boat was a 24 ft. skiff with outboard motor.

## DISCREPANCIES:

No discrepancies are known to exist. Errors in the sounding records, principally in recording of angles, have been adjusted during the smooth plotting and noted in red in the sounding records.

#### DANGERS:

In Rondout Creek at position 36 b (Lat. 41-54.9, Long. 73-59.1) is a sunken pile, which at the time of the surgey was marked with an electric light and a line of stakes from the shoreline which support the light cord.

The bottom of Esopus Creek west of signal "Had" (Lat. 42-04.4, Long. 73-56.7) is strewn with large boulders. Small craft with local knowledge use this area as an anchorage but it should be avoided by strangers.

Several wrecks along the shoreline, which bare at low water, are shown on the topographic sheets which cover this area.

# CHANNELS:

Rondout Creek - Nine feet can be carried into Rondout Creek past the white spar buoy, 150 meters south of signal "Que", by favoring the south shore. Caution must be used in this area because of the many wrecks that border the south edge of the channel.

Esopus Creek - Twelve feet can be carried into Esopus Creek to Lat. 73-56.7

Catskill Creek - Eight feet can be carried to a point 200 yards south of the railroad bridge. The channel is very narrow south of the highway drawbridge and is near the east side of the creek.

# COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS:

Rondout Creek - The area near the white spar buoy, Lat. 41-54.8, Long. 73-59.3, has shoaled from 10 feet as shown on Chart 283 to 8 feet.

Catskill Creek - The entrance of Catskill Creek has widened and deepened. The area south of the highway bridge over the creek has shouled from 10 feet, as shown on chart 283, to 6 feet.

Esopus Creek - A shoal, which bares at low water, lies on the west side of the creek near signal "Eye". The shoal is not shown on the chart.

Hudson River - The depth curves, in general, check well The 6ft. sounding with those shown on chart 283. All shoal soundings shown on chart 283 were investigated with the exception of the 6 foot from H799 omitted. The shoalest depth shown in this area by our sounding see Review lines is 11 feet. It is recommended that the 6 foot sounding for the retained on the chart pending further investigation.

#### MISCELLANEOUS:

The unsurveyed areas outside the high water line are covered with a heavy grass, which would foul the propeller of the motor. Sounding lines were run into the grass area as far as possible. The limits of the grass are shown with a broken line on the smooth sheet, and so noted.

# DATUM:

The datum used is North American, carried forward through the unadjusted third order triangulation of C. A. Egner, 1933, and J. A. Bond, 1934. At station Terry, near Kingston, there is a discrepancy of about 4 meters between the third order work and the 1858 second order triangulation. At station Mt. Merino, near Hudson, the discrepancy between the third order and 1858 second order triangulation is about 8 meters. As a scheme of first order triangulation is contemplated over the area in the near future, which will permit adjustment of the third order triangulation as well as establishing the N.A. 1927 datum, projection lines have been left in pencil, (their extremities indicated by short inked lines) the final projection on the N.A. 1927 datum to be supplied by the Office.

See review

Approved & Forwarded:

John A. Bond

H. & G. Engineer

thief of Party

D. E. Sturmer Deck Officer Smooth sheet No. 2 was plotted under the immediate supervision of the Chief of Party. The sheet and accompanying records have been inspected and are approved.

> John A. Bond Lieut. U.S.C.& G.S. Chief of Party

# STATISTICS FOR FIELD SHEET NO. 2

Day Letter	Vol.	Pos.	Soundings	Sta. Miles
a	1	126	472	7.1
ъ	1	99	258	4.0
c	1	106	437	6.1
đ	1	20	54	0.8
е	1 & 2	166	646	20.7
f	2	91	341	10.1
g	2	149	608	20.6
h	2 & 3	126	415	9.8
j	3	208	786	9.0
k	3	22	83	0.8
	Totals	1113	4100	89.0

# Field Records Section (Charts)

# HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. .5670

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	1113.
Number of positions checked	33
Number of positions revised	.0
Number of soundings recorded	.4100.
Number of soundings revised	0
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	Q

Date: APRIL 16,1935

Verification by A.H.YEOMANS Time: 41hrs
Review by John G.Ladd Time: 17hrs

Surveyed in Sept. nov. 1934 Surveyed by D.C. Sturmer Reporton H 5670 Chief of Party J. Q. Bond Protracted by a.G. Jurner, a.van Reuth Soundings plotted by a.v.R. Verified and inked by a. H. yeomane If The records conform to the requirements of the General Instructions 2. The usual depth curves were completely drawn. 3, The field plotting was completed to the extent prescribed in the hydrographic manual. 4 The drafting done by the field party was satisfactory. 5 The only adjacent sheet 45623 (1934) has not been completed. 6. at lat 42-13.8 long 73-50.9 (150-15/2) a five foot sounding were recorded, This position was investigated later at 129 g day and showed that there was nothing less than sleven feet at this position which was plotted on the smooth sheet. The 5 tt was disp by investigation of po Submitted by, a.H. Geomana for weed.

<b>GEOGRAPHIC</b>	NAMES
NRW YORK	

Survey	No	H	670
--------	----	---	-----

Chart No.\_\_\_\_28

Diagram No. 28.3

Date. Mar. 7, 1935

- \*, Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.
- ¢, Not Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.
- R Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.

Status	Name on Survey	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
V	Hudson River	Same			•
	Esopus Creek	•			
	Catakill Creak	11			
	Setexi	Cat skill one word			· .
	Roundout Creek	Same	<u> </u>		Y
· · ·	Brandow Point	* .			•
V	Corlear Kill	-			
		Hamburg			•
- V	Rogers Island	Settre			
	Hallenbacks Crack	17	,		
V	Burden Dock	#			
				:	
**				•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· **				V 2	
:	<u> </u>	,			
THE STATE OF THE S		·			(M 100)

March 27, 1935.

F.E

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts: Attention Mr. E. P. Ellis

Tide Reducers are approved in 3 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5670

Locality Burden Dock to Brandow Point and Rondout-Esopus and Catskill Creeks, Hudson River, N. Y.

Chief of Party: John A. Bond in 1934 lowest river stages)
Plane of reference is (mean low water reading
1.4 ft. on tide staff at Kingston
8.8 ft. below B.M. 7

1.2 ft. on tide staff at Tivoli

7.8 ft. below B.M. 1

0.5 ft. on tide staff at Linlithgo 8.7 ft. below B.M. 1

0.4 ft. on tide staff at Hudson

10.8 ft. below B.M. 1

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 3.8 feet at Kingston and Tivoli; 3.9 feet at Linlithgo; 4.0 feet at Hudson.

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Acting Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

Ramer

#### Section of Field Records

# REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5670 (1934)

Hudson River, Burden Dock to Brandow Point, and Rondout, Esopus and Catakill Creeks, New York
Surveyed in 1934

Instructions dated July 25, 1934 (MIKAWE)

## Hand Lead Soundings

3 point fixes on shore signals

Chief of Party - John A. Bond.
Surveyed by - D. E. Sturmer.
Protracted and soundings penciled by - A. G. Turner, A. Van Reuth.
Verified and Inked by - A. H. Yeomans.

#### 1. Condition of Records.

The records are exceptionally neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual, with the following exceptions:

- a. The projection lines were left in pencil by the field party pending the adjustment of triangulation. (See D. R. page 3, "Datum"). The projection lines have been inked in by the office.
- b. No topographic features are shown for a number of signals which are cutside the high water line. However, they are all inside the low water curve and are probably of a temporary nature located on bars or sand banks that are bare except at high water.

#### 2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The survey satisfies the instructions for the project, except for the failure to investigate the 6 foot sounding described in paragraph 6 c (par. 11).

#### 3. Sounding Line Crossings.

The cross lines together with the parallel adjacent lines are in good agreement.

## 4. Depth Curves.

Within the limits of the survey the usual depth curves may be satisfactorily drawn.

# 5. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys.

The junction with H-5623 (1934) on the south in the Hudson River and at the eastern end of Esopus Creek will be considered in the review of that survey. On the north in the Hudson River and on the east in Rondout Creek satisfactory junctions are made with U. S. Engineer's Surveys.

# 6. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

# a. H-665 (1858) and H-978 (1862).

These surveys of Rondout Creek are in satisfactory agreement with the present survey, with the following exception:

The two 6 foot soundings (uncharted) from H-665 (1858) at lat. 41°54.76°, long. 73°59.48° and the 5 foot sounding (charted) from H-978 (1862) about 200 meters to the east fall on the present survey in depths of 8 to 9 feet. The water front south of this area has been built out considerably since the old surveys and the inshore marsh areas eliminated. This has resulted in narrowing the waterway at this point. From the development on the present survey it is evident that the channel has deepened in this general area and the 5 and 6 foot soundings are considered as now non-existent and should be disregarded in future charting.

## b. H-666 (1858).

This survey of Esopus Creek is in radical disagreement with the present survey. The changes are due to dredging operations and construction of long dikes at the mouth of the creek by the U. S. Engineers. A discussion of these changes would serve no usefull navigational purpose. The present survey within its limits should supersede H-666 (1858) for charting purposes.

# c. H-799 (1862) and H-800 (1862).

These two surveys which cover the Hudson River are in general good agreement with the present survey, with the following exception:

(1) The 6 foot sounding (charted) from H-799 (1862) at lat. 42°13.8°, long. 73°50.8° falls on the present survey between depths of 11 to 13 feet. The failure to verify this sounding, together with recommendations that it be retained on the chart is discussed on page 3 of the D. R. The area is sparsely developed and the 6 foot sounding is not disproved. It has been carried forward to the present survey in red and should be continued on the chart until disproved.

#### 7. Comparison with Chart No. 283.

## a. Hydrography.

Within the area of the present survey the chart is based on surveys discussed in the foregoing paragraphs, together with U. S. Engineers' surveys in the various creeks. These surveys are in fair general agreement but should be superseded within the area of the present survey because there appear to have been some natural changes as well as artificial ones.

# b. Aids to Navigation.

- (1) The beacons are in substantially the same positions as shown on the chart, with the following exceptions:
  - (a) The lighted beacon at lat. 42°13.75°, long. 73°51.16° (triangulation sta. "Hanburg Lt. 1934") is about 50 meters south and 50 meters west of the position shown on the chart.
  - (b) The lighted beacon at lat. 42°12.88°, long. 73°51.3° (triangulation sta. "Catskill W. Flats Lt. 1934") is about 90 meters south of the position shown on the chart.
- (2) The positions of the buoys on the present survey are from 50 to 100 meters different from the positions shown on the chart. However, in the positions shown on the new survey they adequately mark the features intended.

# 8. Field Plotting.

The field plotting was satisfactory, however the subplans of Catskill Creek and Rondout Creek should have been criented in the opposite direction to be in conformity with the balance of the sheet.

## 9. Additional Field Work Recommended.

This survey is adequate and satisfactory. No additional work is required, except that the 6 foot sounding carried forward from H-799 (1862) at lat. 42°13.8°, long. 73°50.8' should be investigated when work is again resumed in this locality.

Attention is called to the fact that the survey of Rondout Creek, as originally contemplated, is not entirely complete. Suspension of this work was authorized by this office.

# 10. Superseding Old Surveys.

Within the area covered, the present survey, with the indicated additions from previous surveys, supersedes the following surveys for charting purposes:

H-665 (1858) In Part. H-666 (1858) Entirely. H-799 (1862) In Part. H-800 (1862) " " 11. Reviewed by - John G. Ladd and R. L. Johnston, April, 1935.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

# Examined and approved:

C. K. Green, S. J. Oxen. Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Division of Charts.

Chief, Section of Field Work

Chief, Division of H. & T.

	applied &	25 Jan 7, 1936
		N : : : : :
		25 / 7, 1936
		MA
y : 10 -		
	and the second s	
,		
The second secon		
		en anna de la companya del la companya de la compan
		And the state of t
	The second secon	The second secon
-		
		The second secon
		The second secon
		a program. The contractions described that are the section of the
A SAME AND A STREET OF THE STR		The second secon
Marries, allegand reserve in control and control and		
***************************************		
<u> </u>		
, 4		
		• 1, 1
S 7 1		