DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R. S. PATTON, DIRECTOR

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Hydrographic

State NEW YORK

South Shore - Long Island

1934

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. ____2

REGISTER NO. 5732
State New York
General locality South Shore - Long Island
Locality Broaf Channel to East Rockaway Inlet
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey June 5 to Oct. 9 ,1934
Vessel Sachem Shore Party No. 2
Chief of Party M. O. Witherbee
Surveyed by G. A. Stanton
Protracted by
Soundings penciled by
Soundings in Sathon s feet
Plane of reference M. L. W.
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by
Inked by M. Silverberg
Verified by S.
Instructions dated Feb. 25. 1933, To R. P. Egman, 192 (Basic Instructions) Remarks: Project HT 178 assigned to M. W. Mitherbee
Remarks: Project HT 178 assigned to M. O. Nitherbee
also see letter to MON dated June 27, 1934 and Supplemental Instruction & aug. 16, 1934
and Supplemental Infraction of aug. 16, 1934

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET

No Descriptive Report received todate: May 1, 1935.

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5738

Smooth Sheet 1	_
Boat Sheet 1	
Sounding Records Vols	
Descriptive Report No	
Title Sheet	_
List of Signals 70	
Landmarks for Charts (Form 567)	
Statistics <u>Mo</u>	
Approved by Chief of Party	
Recoverable Station Cards (Form 524)	
Special Chart for Lighthouse Service (Circular Nov. 30,1933)	
Remarks No Descriptive Report received todate: May 1, 19	35
•	

Field Records Section (Charts)

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. ..5.732

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet Number of positions checked Number of positions revised Number of soundings recorded Number of soundings revised Number of signals erroneously	/073
Number of positions checked	20
Number of positions revised	
Number of soundings recorded	4603
Number of soundings revised	26
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	

Date: June 13, 1935

Verification by M. Silverberg
Review by R.J. Christman

Time: 35 hrs.

Time: 10 2 hrs

GEOGRAPHIC	NAMES
New York	

Survey No	H5732	
Chart No	1215	

Diagram No. 1215-2

Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. R

Under investigation. Q

Date. May 1, 1935

Status	Name on Survey	Name on Chart	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
		Broad Channel			140
		Post Lead			, 40
	Reynolds Channel				240
	Atlantic Beach	Same			
	East Rocksway Inlet	1	-		175
		Hicks Beach Bannister Creek 579			
		Bannister Creek 679			190
			·-		,
				1	
······································					
			· ·		
•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
· .			۲.		
		approved names Underlined in Red H-L-Flomes			
		H'L TEMEN			
	•	:			
•	·				Çu.

FORM 719
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
Ed. Feb. 1935

TIDE NOTE FOR HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET

May 27, 1935.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts: Attention Mr. E. P. Ellis

Tide Reducers are approved in 3 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5732

Locality Broad Channel to East Rockaway Inlet, South Shore Long Island, N. Y.

Chief of Party: M. O. Witherbee in 1934
Plane of reference is mean low water reading
4.3 ft. on tide staff at Far Rockaway (East Rockaway Inlet)
10.2 ft. below B.M. 4

0.7 ft. on tide staff at Long Beach 12.9 ft. below B. M. 1

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 3.9 feet at Long Beach; 4.1 feet at Far Rockaway.

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1. CONFORMITY TO HYDROGRAPHIC MANUAL

The sounding records are neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the hydrygraphic manual. The position numbers and day letters are carelessly drawn. The colors on the volume covers do not agree with the records. Insufficient bottom characteristics were taken and those that were recorded had to be placed on the smooth sheet. The hydrographic and topographic signals were not shown as being shecked and had to be verified. The hydrographic signal LOT could not be verified since no cuts were recorded. The list of signals were not recorded on the first page of volume one.

2. DEPTH CURVES

500

The usual depth curves could be drawn exdept in places where the spacing between soundings were such as to permit only the representative curve to be shown. In the streams only portions of the curves can be drawn. In general it was possible to drawn smooth curves.

3. FIELD PLOTTING

The field plotting was sufficient to the extent required for the moundings. All buoys and dangers were located satisfactorily. The execution of the buoys however had to be improved for clarity. One position was corrected 200 meters.

4. OFFICE PLOTTING

The office plotting consisted af corrections as listed above. Black barrell buoy No. 1 was plotted. The high water line was compared with the photocopilation south of 40-36 and all necessary changes made. There are however differences in this suvey north of 40-36 as shown on 1-4225 (1926) but no changes were made because they could not be verified with the compilation not yet received in the office. There are however no conflicts with the hydrography.

5. JUNCTIONS

Respectfully submitted.

MSilverberg

June 13,1935

Section of Field Records

REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5732 (1934)

Broad Channel to East Rockaway Inlet, South Shore - Long Island, New York
Surveyed in October, 1934

Instructions dated February 25, 1933 (R. P. Eyman)

Hand Lead Soundings.

3 Point Fixes on Shore Signals.

Chief of Party - M. O. Witherbee. Surveyed by - G. A. Stanton. Protracted by - L. C. A., P. W. S., S. T. Y. Soundings penciled by - J. J. M., M. O. W., G. M. Verified and Inked by - M. Silverberg.

1. Condition of Records.

The records do not conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual as follows:

- a. No list of signals was entered in the sounding record.
- b. Abstract of position number and day letters was not entered on the cover and title page of the sounding records in color. This was corrected in the office.
- c. An insufficient number of bottom characteristics were recorded.
- d. Evidence of checking by the field party of topographic and hydrographic signals is lacking in that the initials of the plotter and checker do not appear on the sheet. The checking was accomplished in the office.
- e. No Descriptive Report was submitted.

2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The plan and extent of the development are in accordance with the instructions for the project.

3. Sounding Line Crossings.

Depths at sounding line crossings are in satisfactory agreement and depths generally are consistent.

4. Depth Curves.

Within the limits of the survey the usual depth curves may be satisfactorily drawn, including portions of the low water line.

5. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys.

The junction with H-5731 (1934) to the eastward will be considered in the review of that sheet.

The survey overlaps the U. S. Engineer's survey of 1934 (EP. 27530 and 27531) in East Rockaway Inlet. The agreement is good as indicated by the 6 foot curve, although there are indications of deepening in the channel. This is of small importance, as the channel has now been dredged, see EP. 28715-16 (1935).

6. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

a. H-47 (1835), H-1437 (1879), H-54 (1840), H-1538 (1882).

Three of these surveys carry soundings on the outside coast into depths of 12 feet; the other, H-1437 (1879), is a survey of East Rockaway Inlet, which, however, on the present survey, is shown about 3 miles farther to the west. Only in recent years have steps been taken to protect this shore and the changes since the above surveys were made have been so extensive that no useful cartographic purpose would be served by making a detailed comparison with the present survey.

b. H-4792 (1927), H-4793 (1927).

These surveys are on a scale of 1-10,000. The area is very changeable and many natural, as well as artificial, changes have taken place since these surveys were made. A jetty has been constructed at East Rockaway Inlet and the controlling depth at the entrance shown by the present survey is 8 feet instead of 7 feet as shown on H-4792 (1927). The deep holes in Reynolds Channel have shoaled in some cases as much as 10 feet, and the positions of some of the shoal areas have shifted to the eastward. Similar changes are indicated in other channels common to the two surveys, though the 1934 survey does not fully develop the area, showing only one line of soundings in part of Bannister Creek. There is nothing in the records to indicate the condition of the water areas outside of the channel line.

Because of the many changes, the above surveys should be super-seded by H-5732 (1934) for future charting purposes.

7. Comparison with Chart No. 542 and No. 579.

a. Hydrography.

Within the area of the present survey the chart is based on surveys discussed in the foregoing paragraphs and on surveys by the

U. S. Engineers. BP. 27530 and 27531 of 1934 are the latest complete survey of the Inlet and are the authority for the two charted wrecks. These wrecks are not mentioned in the records of H-5752 (1934) but the sounding of 7 feet agrees with the depth over the wreck in the channel noted on the blueprint. The two wrecks should be retained on the chart.

Channel wreck in the figure of the chart.

Channel wreck in the channel noted on the blueprint. Since the charter of the charter of

The short breakwater or jetty charted in lat. 40°35.45°, long. 73°44.95° originates with U. S. Engineer's Survey BP. 24096 (1930), where it is shown as a line of piles. These piles are not mentioned in the 1934 survey records nor do they show on the air photos taken in March, 1934, but in the absence of definite proof of their non-existence at the present time, this feature should be shown on the chart by a broken line.

b. Aids to Navigation.

A post light has been established on the end of the jetty and the buoys have been shifted after the field work on H-5732 (1934) was completed (see N to M 46 of 1934). Buoys in this Inlet are not charted due to the frequent shifting made necessary by changing conditions in the channel.

8. Field Plotting.

Protracting of positions and penciling of soundings were well done, only one position being found in error.

9. Additional Field Work Recommanded.

The survey is complete and satisfactory. No additional work is necessary.

10. Superseding Old Surveys.

Within the area covered, the present survey supersedes the following surveys for charting purposes:

H- 47 (1835) in part. H- 54 (1840) " " H-1437(1879) " " H-1538(1882) " " H-4792(1927) " " H-4793(1927) " " 11. Reviewed by - R. J. Christman, June, 1935.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

C. K. Green, J. Buew. Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Section of Field Work.

Chief, Division of Charts.

Chief, Division of H. & T.

applied to Chart 579 3. M. a. July 25, 1935 25 Jm 2, 1936