# 5862

Form 504 Rev. Dec. 1933 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R. S. PATTON, DIRECTOR

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

########## Hydrographic Sheet No. 5862 WIRE DRAG

State California

LOCALITY

California Coast

San Gregorio Creek to Bt. Miramontes Pt.

193 4

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1934

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

# HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVE LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES AUG 24 1935

Acc. No. .

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

> 5862WIRE DRAG Field No. ...

> > REGISTER NO.

State	California
General locality	California <b>C</b> oast
Locality One Mile	North of North 65 San Gregorio Creek to Point Miramontes Pt.
Scale 1: 10,000	Date of survey Aug. 24 to Sept. 22 1934
VesselChartered I	aunches PT. REYES (Guide launch) & FLORENCE (End launch.)
Chief of Party	F. H. Hardy
Surveyed by	G. C. Jones
Protracted by	T. A. Renton and R. H. McCarthy Jr.
Soundings pencile	d by
Soundings in fath	oms DRAG DEPTHS IN FEET.
Plane of reference	eM.L.L.W
Subdivision of wi	re dragged areas by R. H. McCarthy Jr.
Inked by	R. H. McCarthy Jr.
Verified by	g.a.Mc Comick
Instructions date	d, 1934
Remarks: Dual Cor	atrol Wire Drag, Positions by Visual Fixes.
	······································

# DESCRIPTIVE REPORT to accompany WIRE DRAG SHEET FIELD NO. 3 Project H. T. 184 Coast of California U.S.C. & G.S.S. GUIDE 1934

INSTRUCTIONS: Instructions for the wire drag on this sheet are dated March 31, 1934, and Office Letter Dated April 2, 1934.

CHARACTER OF WORK: Control for the wire drag on this sheet was by means of visual fixes.

Dual control was used for the work on this sheet. Because of fog closing out the signals, the latter part of "G" day, this part was plotted with great care and all checked. Penciled cuts on either side of signal "Mex" are left on the smooth sheet to help in verification. Notes have been made so that the plotting of this work can be followed. You will note that in the area in which this occurs there are large overlaps. This is also plotted on the side of safty.

The effectivedepth range is from 13 to 84 feet.

The position interval was usually five minutes, with supplemental positions at radical changes of course and speed.

The scale of this sheet is 1: 10,000.

This work includes that portion from approximately 1 mile north of San Gregorio Creek to Point Miramontes, and from approximately 1/3 mile of the shore to beyond the 20 fathom curve.

The area of the work on this sheet is 26.0 square statute miles.

CONTROL: Control for the work on this sheet consisted of hydrographic signals over triangulation stations of the 1931 scheme executed by Lieutenant C. D. Meany and triangulation station "Mex 2" located by the ship GUIDE 1932, plotted on the North American 1927 Adjusted Datum.

Shoreline and Topographic signals "Pod" to "Two" were transferred from a photostat of Topographic Sheet T 4786 and Shoreline and Topographic signals "Ban" to "Dip" were transferred from a photostat of Topographic Sheet T 4793.

DATES OF SURVEY: Work on this sheet began Augaust 24, 1934 and was completed September 22, 1934.

arbitrarily been applied to this days work for swell. The plotted depth is the sounded depth of 4 1/6 fathoms.

The drag was taken up after two unsuccessful attempts to clear it. It was put out again and this grounding was cleared with an effective depth of 19 feet.

#### COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS:

Comparison with H.5365A: The southern part of H 5365A was dragged on this survey. The Descriptive Report of that sheet does not mention any shoal falling within the limits of this survey. The fallowing shoals were cleared as follows.

The 10 fathom shoal in Latitude 37 26.4 and Longitude 122 27.8 was cleared with an effective depth of 39 feet.

The uneven bottom with depths from 8 3/4 fathoms to 9 1/2 fathoms in Latitude 37 26.040 to 37 26.08 and Longitude 122 27.5 to 122 27.6 was cleared with an effective depth of 40 feet.

The 9 1/2 fathom shoal in Latitude 37 25.8 Longitude 122 27.35 was cleared with an effective depth of 34 feet.

The shoal extending from Latitude 37 24.0 to 37 24.28, Longitude 122 25.9 to 122 26.15 was cleared with an effective depth of 14 feet. depths are shoal

The grounding on this survey plotted as 3 4/6 fathoms falls in depths of 5 5/6 to 6 1/2 fathoms as shown on H 5365A.

Comparison with H 5294: The one shoal mentioned in the Descriptive Report of H 5294 that falls within the limits of this survey is the 6 5/6 fathom sounding in Latitude 37 23.2 and Longitude 122 25.85. This was cleared with an effective depth of 35 feet. The ridge upon which the above is the shoalest sounding was cleared with effective depths ranging from 34 to 37 feet.

Inshore 0.3 of a mile from this ridge and from Latitude 37 23.2 to 37 23.58 are four shoals 4 4/6 to 5 fathoms in depth. These were cleared with an effective depth of 19 feet.

TIDAL REDUCERS: Tidal reducers for the work on this sheet were obtained from the Princeton and Monterey Portable Automatic Tide Gages.

For further information on this subject the reader is referred to the season's Tidal Report.

OVERLAPS: The overlap of buoy path lines is more than sufficient throughout this sheet.

The overlap of drag lines at the beginning and ending of days work, on this sheet are good.

JUNCTIONS: The overlapping junctions with Wire Drag Sheet Field No.2 on the north and Wire Drag Sheet Field No. 4 on the south are more than sufficient. Several lines are continued from one sheet too the other. Common position numbers are noted in pencil on this sheet.

#### GROUNDINGS:

Pos. No. Letter Day	Latitude & Longitude	Grounded Eff. Depth.	Least Sounding Depth.	Cleared Eff. Depth.	Depth Plotted.
31 B	37 26.1 122 27.0	Sloping section 22 - 28ft.	4 4/6fms.	20 <b>f</b> t.	3 4/6 fms.
31 C	37 21.97 122 24.9	Sloping section 19 - 25	4 1/6	19	4 1/6

On position 31B day the drag grounded on a sloping section with effective depths of 22 and 28 feet. The least depth obtained with the lead was 4 4/6 fathoms. However when plotting the buoy path lines it appears that the drag apparently started grounding between #1 and #2 buoys, if there was a normal bight, and it seems there should be. The Guide launch evidently towed the ground wire about the obstruction to the sloping section. The depth plotted is the upright length of the shoaler upright, with an effective depth of 3 4/6 fathoms.

The drag tested shortly before the grounding showed no lift, however, I foot lift has been arbitrarily applied for swell, thus the upright effective depth might be somewhat deeper than plotted.

The grounding was cleared with an effective depth of 20 feet.

On position 31 C day the drag grounded on a sloping section between buoys # 2 and # 3 with effective depths of 19 and 25 feet. The grounding was near buoy # 3. The least sounding depth obtained was 4 1/6 fathoms. One foot lift has

Comparison with H 5294 Cont.: The 4 1/6 fathom grounding. falling within the limits of H 5294, on this survey is in depths of 6 4/6 to 7 1/4 fathoms.

COMPARISON WITH CHART 5402: Corrected to March 21, 1935.

The grounding on "B" day plotted as 3 4/6 fathoms is approximately 0.1 miles further offshore and slightly north of the sunken rock shown on this chart in this vicinity. Because of the scale of this chart it is probably impracticable to plot.

The 4 1/6 fathom grounding on "C" day is charted.

PERSONNEL AND LAUNCHES: Lieutenant Commander G.C. Jones was in charge of this work and also in charge of the Guide launch. Lieutenant (j.g.) W.J. Chovan was in charge of the End launch.

The launches used were the chartered launches POINT REYES (Guide launch) and FLORENCE (End launch).

Respectfully submitted,

. W. Swayon L. W. Swanson Jr. H & G. E.

C & G Survey.

See general note Occompanying des. report Forwarded, for Sheet #4 Approved.

Lieutenant Commander. C & /G/Survey.

F. H. Hardy

Chief of Party, C & G Survey.

Commanding Ship GUIDE.

# LIST OF SIGNALS to accompany WIRE DRAG SHEET FIELD NO. 3

# TRIANGULATION

	THE TOTAL OF THE TOTAL	•
Hydrographic	c Name	Location
Moon		Moon 2, 1931
Gate		Moon South of, Gate Post, 1931
Ate		Water Tank North of Mex, 1931
$\mathtt{Mex}$	•	Mex 2, 1932
Ιs		Isima, 1931
Gor		Gregorio, 1931
	TOPOGRAPHIC	
Loc	cated on Topographic S	Shee't T 4786
Pod	Top	Rat
0 <b>n</b>	Pole	Two
	Doe	
_		
Loc	cated on Topographic S	Sheet T 4793
Ban	Barn	Slant
Sot	Pat	Tub
Sin	Gay	Die
Bel	-	Dip

# STATISTICS

	DRAG	<del>}</del>				TENDER	•
DATE 1934	DAY	VOL.	STATUTE MI LES	NO.POS	DRAG LENGTH	SOUNDINGS	POSITIONS
Aug.24	A	1	3.7	.81	8500		_
25	В	1	2.4	60	4800	2	2
26	C	1	6.4	96	4800	2	2
27	D	1	3.7	65	8500	-	_
Sept.11	E	1	4.6	73	4800	_	_
20	F	1	4.4	<b>6</b> 8	9000	_	_
21	G	2	3.5	55	10000	-	_
22	H	2	4.0	71	10000		_
	TOTA:	LS	32.7	573		4	4

AREA 26.0 SQUARE STATUTE MILES.

# STATEMENT to accompany WIRE DRAG SHEET FIELD NO. 3

The protracting and plotting of buoy positions was done by Mr. T. A Renton and Mr. R. H. McCarhty Jr. draftsmen, drag areas were subdivided and inked by Mr. McCarthy, under the direct supervision of Lieutenant (j.g.) L. W. Swanson.

The completed smooth sheet has been inspected and is approved.

F. H. Hardy,

Chief of Party, C & G. S. Commanding Ship GUIDE.

Oakland, California.

# HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5862 W.D.

Smooth Sheet
Boat Sheets 2
Sounding Records 5 Vols. Drag
Descriptive Report yes
Title Sheet yes
List of Signals
Landmarks for Charts (Form 567) no
Statistics <b>yes</b>
Approved by Chief of Party
Recoverable Station Cards (Form 524)
Special Chart for Lighthouse Service no (Circular Nov. 30,1933)
Remarks

# Field Records Section (Charts)

# HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. 5862 W. D.

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	577
Number of positions checked	10
Number of positions revised	0
Number of soundings recorded	••••
Number of soundings revised	<i>o</i>
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	

Date: Oct. 26, 1935.

Verification by J.a. me Corncele

Review by R.L.Johnston

Johnston Time: review 11 hrs

verification 13 hrs.

GEO	GRAP	HIC .	<b>NAMES</b>
-----	------	-------	--------------

Date. Sept. 7, 1 <b>93</b> 5	GEOGRAPHIC NAME
------------------------------	-----------------

Survey No	5862 W.D.	
Chart No	5402	
Diagram No	5402-2	

Approved by the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior.  $\bigstar$ Referred to the Division of Geographic Names, Department of Interior. R Under investigation. Q

Status	Name on Survey	Name on Chart 🗸	New Names in local use	Names assigned by Field	Location
	San Gregorio Creek	Same (not on surve	. <sub>y</sub> )		
	Pt. Miramontes Pt	" (Miramontes	s Pt on Chart 5	520)	
		Tunitas Creen (Chart	54.2)		
•					
74.4					
		•			
				1	
<u></u>					
		:			
	`				
		names approved 9/9/35- K.T. Adams			(M-136)
	·	K.I. Hdans			(m-136)

Quaras are in excellent condution

Drafting was excellent. Verifier found it necessary to change color reheme for E day. Italk platter had made a mustake in his colors.

Sur note on Oraction 310. End of line shown on their wheat is evidently on interpolation. As the adjuncing sheet has multipolation cannot be cleaked. Note on interpolation cannot be cleaked. Note on interpolation cannot be cleaked. Note on interpolation of line " but if a straight line is drawn between busy forestons at line is drawn between busy forestons at line so of a split will be the result, providing that penalis strafe from adjuncing wheat are correct. Dueld garty evidently changed their muchs concerning notes at and of I day after platting the smooth sheet.

End launch control for G day in very your due to forgy weather. Herefree

Oct. 26, 1935

Submetted. Jamelormick

\* When guide launch pos. 30 D is connected by a straight line with the last end launch position which falls on the shoot, as the note in the record directs, there is no split. Possibility of a split was eliminated by towing the drag well into the area of H-5872 (1934) W.D.

R. Johnston

#### Section of Field Records

# REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5862 (W. D.) FIELD NO. 3

One Mile North of San Gregorio Creek to Miramontes Pt. California Coast

Surveyed in Aug. - Sept. 1934 Instructions dated May 31, 1934 (GUIDE). Office letter dated April 2, 1934.

# Wire Drag, with Hand Lead Soundings.

3 Point Fixes on Shore Signals.

Chief of Party - F. H. Hardy.
Surveyed by - G. C. Jones.
Protracted and soundings penciled by - R. H. McCarthy, Jr.
Subdivision of dragged areas by - R. H. McCarthy, Jr.
Inked by - R. H. McCarthy, Jr.
Verified by - J. A. McCormick.

### 1. Condition of Records.

The records are neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual and S. P. 118, except as follows:

- a. No bottom characteristics were recorded on the critical soundings at drag groundings.
- b. Position angles on shoals were not checked by taking an angle to a fourth object. (page 33 S. P. 118).
- c. At position 31B a cut to the grounding was not recorded, nor was the nearest buoy to grounding recorded. (page 32 S.P.118)
- d. The drag position number at time of grounding was not entered in the remarks column opposite sounding position number in sounding record on B day. (3rd par. page 36, S. P. 118).

The Descriptive Report is clear and comprehensive and adequately covers all matters of importance.

#### 2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

a. The plan, character and extent of the survey comply with the instructions for the project. This is a well executed survey which shows careful consideration of such matters as overlaps and proper speed. However, from a study of the depths on the last hydrographic surveys it would appear that a deeper drag could have been used in some of the inshore areas. For clearances over the principal shoal areas see page 2 of the descriptive report.

b. No description of equipment was contained in this descriptive report but the descriptive report of H-5712 (1934) states that a report on equipment used will be forwarded. It is assumed that standard drag equipment was used.

# 3. Junctions with Wire Drag Surveys.

The junction on the north with H-5872 (1934) W. D. is satisfactory. The overlap on the strip ending at position 30D does not appear large. However, the drag was towed well into the area of H-5872 (1934) W. D. to insure sufficient overlap. The portion of the strip falling on H-5872 (1934) W. D. not being plotted because the control was weak and the area was covered with a deeper drag strip on H-5872 (1932) W. D. The junction on the south with H-5854 (1934) W. D. is satisfactory. Most of the drag strips continue from one sheet to the other.

4. Comparison with Latest Hydrographic Surveys. H-5245 (1932-3), H-5294 (1932), H-5365a (1932), H-5395 (1932-4).

The present survey covers portions of the above hydrographic surveys and the effective drag depths are consistent with the depths shown on these surveys.

5. Comparison with Chart No. 5402 (corrected to Aug. 6, 1935), and Chart No. 5520 (corrected to Feb. 8, 1935).

The area of the present survey falls within chart No. 5402 which is on too small a scale to afford a comparison of much value. The survey covers only a narrow strip on the southern limits of Chart No. 5520. None of the charted soundings on either chart conflict with the effective depths of the drag.

The 3-4/6 fathom grounding in latitude 37° 26.1', longitude 122° 27.0', was reported as 4-4/6 fathoms, the actual sounding, in the advance report. (Chart letter No. 708 (1934)). It has not been charted on the small scale Chart No. 5402 because of its close proximity to a 3 fathom sounding from H-5365a (1932), which falls inshore from the drag limits.

The 4-1/6 fathom sounding charted in latitude 37° 21.96', longitude 122° 24.9', originates with advance information from the present field party. The charted position checks with the position given on the present survey.

## 6. Field Plotting.

The prescribed amount of field plotting was neatly and accurately done.

# 7. Results of Survey.

The survey shows the area to be clear of offshore dangers to navigation. Only two shoals (described in par. 5) were located on the survey in areas close inshore.

# 8. Additional Field Work Recommended.

This survey is complete and no additional work is required.

9. Reviewed by - R. L. Johnston, November 4, 1935.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

C. K. Green, C. J. Breen. K. T. Adams Chief, Section of Field Records. ActingChief, Division of Charts.

Chief, Section ofField Work.

1 .

Chief. Division of H. & T.

applied to Chart 5402- Febri, 1936 R.M.z.