U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBBARY AND ARCHIVES

DEC \$4 1935

Form 504
Rev. April 1935
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TOPEGRAPHIX | Sheet No.8......

Project H. T. 191

State FLORIDA

LOCALITY

FLORIDA KEYS

CUDJOE KEY TO SUGARLOAF KEY

193 5

CHIEF OF PARTY

W.H.BAINBRIDGE

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

<u>/14</u> 1.5

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

U. S. COAST & SEMPLE U. UN LIBRARY AND ACCHIVES	ñ
DEC 23 1935	G. NO

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No8
REGISTER NO. H5922
StateFLORIDA
General locality FLORIDA KEYS
LocalityCUDJOE KEY TO SUGAR LOAF KEY
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey July 10 - Aug. 1 , 19 35
VesselPARTY_NO. 22
Chief of Party
Surveyed by C.L. ROSHORE & C. B. HARVEY
Protracted by L. G. KING
Soundings penciled byC.G.LEVIN
Soundings in fathous feet
Plane of reference
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by
Inked by C.F. Mc.Kenney
Verified by W.R. Jackson
Instructions dated July 20, 1934, Supp. Aug. 6 , 19.3
Remarks:

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

H-5922 5**X**-pp.

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

to accompany

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. 8

AUTHORITY:

Director's Instructions dated July 20, 1934. Supplemental Instructions dated August 6,1934.

LIMITS:

Rever Lake and the Sugar Loaf Channel between Sugar Koaf Key and Cudjoe Key, northward from the railroad bridge to the Inside Route.

SURVEY METHODS AND EQUIPMENT:

The soundings were made from a flat bottomed barge or punt, propelled by 13 H.P. outboard motors, with sounding pole and lead line.

The control was three point fixes on signals located by tringulation, and by sextant angles on triangulation stations.

Exact delineation of channels and the location of the sounding lines between positions 72b & 73b, located about 300 meters east of signal "Bet"; between positions 19d & 20d, and between 43r and 44r in Gandalfo Creek, located about 600 meters north of triangulation station "Gandalpho"; will depend upon the photo-topographic sheet of this area.

DISCREPANCIES:

The crossings of sounding lines and the junctions with sheets 6 & 76 were satisfactory. The maximum difference was one foot as shown on the sheet which, in many cases, was within $\frac{1}{2}$ foot (the $\frac{1}{2}$ foot was not plotted). The difference of one foot is to be expected in such a bottom, mud and rock.

DISCREPANCIES (CONTINUED):

The sheet is about 30% covered but that covered is considered to be completed.

DANGERS: (Obstructions)

- 1. As the draft of craft using the waters covered by this sheet is usually less than three feet the dangers to be avoided are the extensive shallow areas. Practically the entire bottom is rock, covered in spots with a grayish-white mud of undetermined thickness.
- 2. The piling of an abandoned railroad trestle, used in the construction of the railroad, intersects the highway bridge at signal "Road" (the eastern end of the bridge) and extends northwestward in Sugar Woaf Channel from this point to Lat. 24-40.45/Long. 81-31.9. Some of the piling have rotted off at the low water line, but many project 1½ to 2 feet above high water.
- 3. The headroom and horizontal clearance of the multiple arch concrete railroad bridges are given for the following points: at high water:

 (a) 300 meters east of signal "Bet" 6' headroom, 20' horizontal clearance. (b) 30 meters east of signal "Bet" 5½' headroom, 16' horizontal clearance. (c) Between Cudjoe Key and Sugar Yoaf Key, south of signals "Road", "Span", and "Sig" 8' headroom, 25 feet horizontal clearance.
- 4. The highway bridge on which signals "Road", "Span", and "Sig" are located has a vertical clearance of 6 feet and a horizontal clearance of 20 feet at signal "Span", the opening prepared for boats. However, the spans on both sides of the wide opening have a head room of 7 feet and a horizontal clearance of 92. The spans adjacent to the main opening and alternate span are blocked by diagonal bracing.

CHANNELS:

1. Sugarloaf Channel is the channel between Cudjoe Key and Sugarloaf Key connecting Hawk Channel on the south with the Inside Route.

The part of the channel covered by the sheet is from the railroad bridge south of signals "Road", "Span", and "Draw" to the Inside Route, north of triangulation station "Sugar".

The controlling depth of this channel is 2 feet. This limiting depth is found between beacons Nos. 17 and 18 near Lat. 24-41/Long. 81-32.4.

CHANNELS: (CONTINUED)

2. Gondolfo Creek is the name sometimes used in referring to the canal dredged through the southeastern part of Sugarloaf Key from Sugarloaf Sound to Sugarloaf Channel. This channel is located about 600 meters north of triangulation station "Gondolpho".

The eastern entrance is awash at mean low water. Work on this channel was begun with the intention of furnishing a passageway for boats between the Sound and the Ocean, but a hurricane wrecked the dredge and the canal was not completed; according to local information.

COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS:

The agreement between the soundings shown on Chart No.1251 and this sheet is very satisfactory except that the deeper water in the barrow pits on Wither side of the string of piling in Sugarloaf Channel (Piling described under "Dangers") is not indicated on the chart.

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES:

1. Sugarloaf Channel is the generally accepted name of the marked route between Cudjoe Key and Sugarloaf Key, connecting Hawk Channel and the Inside Route.

This is the name given in the Local Light and Buoy List, Cape Lookout to Dry Tortugas, 1935, for the channel.

2. The shallow water area area enclosed by Sugarloaf Key proximately between the triangulation stations, "Gind lpho", "Sweet", "Perky", "S.W.Base", "Tip", and "Lake") is known as Sugarloaf Sound by old residents. This name was used in an act passed by the State Legislature in closing the sound for a sponge farm. The act was later repealed.

Since a Mr. Perky has established a sponge farm in the area, the name Perky Lake has been applied, presumably, by those interested in the project.

- The small tidal watercourse through the southeastern part of Sugarloaf Key between the Ocean and Sugarloaf Sound, is known as Gondolfo Creek. However, this name has also been applied to the dredged canal which begins at the northwest end of the natural creek and runs slightly north of east to and through the east shore of Sugarloaf Key.
- The small cove in the vicinity of triangulation station "Flag Pole" on the eastern shore of Sugarloaf Key has been given the name of Pirates Cove by the builder of the Pirates Cove Fishing Camp. The camp was built about 1926.

LANDMARKS:

For landmarks and Aids to Navigation see Report on Form 567, dated October 23, 1935. Chart letter 944

TIDAL NOTE:

The tidal control was furnished by portable automatic tide gauges at stations:

Gondolfo Creek	Lat.	24-37.8	Long	81-30.9
Johnston Key	11	24-42.65/		81-35.67
Perky Lake	11	24-39.3 /	11	81-32.3 90
Pirate	**	24-39.75/	. 11	81-30.75
Ruf	11	24-42.1 /	E1	81-31.6~
Key West Std.	ŧŧ	24-33.2 /	'n	81-48.5

The area of the sheet is divided into zones for tidal reducers. The zones are indicated in purple ink each with its gauge, time, and range.

The gauge, time, and range for each zone is shown in the index of each sounding record.

Curves were drawn only when the reducers could not \checkmark be taken directly from the marigrams.

STATISTICS:

Statute miles of sounding lines	107.4
Number of soundings	4,296
Number of Positions	805
Number of days of sounding	15
Area in square miles (statute)	11.6

Report written from notes made by hydrogaphers, C.L.Roshore, Surveyor, and C.B. Harvey, Observer.

Respectfully submitted,

December 19, 1935

Name and maker of paper was not shown on sheet because it was / not known.

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO. H5922

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	89.5
Number of positions checked	!/.
Number of positions revised	!.
Number of soundings recorded	.4.296
· Number of soundings revised	17.
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	•••••

Date: Dec. 8, 1936

Verification by W.R. Jackson
Inked by C.F. McKenney
Review by P.J. Consistence

Time: 18 hrs. Time: 14½ " Time: 16½ "

Report on H-5922 1. The recorde conform to the General Instructions. 2. No shouline a control has been received in the office. 3. Somding live crossing agree. The zero curre could not be completly drawn because of the lack of show !! line. The 6 ft. cure was completely drawn. 5. Aids to navigation are the bracons which were located the things on the sheet by the field party except No. 19 and 20. 1 These locations however should be checked by topo or shoto signals were registed by field party by topo or shoto when topography organism however is needed when topography organism available. 6. no junction were made. H-5925 have not been completed and it is doubtful if they will make a junction. 7. The field plotting was satisfacting. 8. Between jos. 3 and 4 "h" a off. sounding falle in deger water. This appears to be a recording

The 6's has keen retained, a similar sounding 300 meters to the southeast was marked OX in the sounding record. Fill material was removed from this area while the rachway was being built and it is possible that some lumps remain. These spots are not dangers to ravigation" as the controlling depth for this hannel is only 2 Just. Effe

The commence was an investment of the commence of the commence

o the to rangelly as the name that

The second secon

if is similarly if they will make in

for the time to the second of the second of

The said was the state of the said the

The second the second second second

2 1/2 to -3-4

The property of

sun 11 ft. The counting thin would riduce to 10 ft. and would be in perfect agreement.

It is suggested that this sanding be rejected.

Lat. 24-40.05 61/2 sounding retainers.

In two places the sometings was not inhed because it was felt that their I location would depend considerably the on the topographic oletail. See below Lat. 24-38.9 and Lat. 24-37.8 Long. 81-30.5

AEN ress might HESD or los of

Respectfully submitted

William R. Jockson

12/8/36

Shoreline, low water line, bridge clearances, a poneiled soundings) all inked from T-5545. This sheet to date has not been reviewed. There is only one conflict between shoreline & hydrography, that at \$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 27.5' \cdot 81° 31.0' which will be straightened out at time of review.

W. A.B. 6/28/38 (OVER)

After a thorough search it was decided to. show the bridge clearances in \$240 39.71 1 81031.01 as follows: horizag stand enget the the The search included finding this conflicting information: Sound Rec. Vol. 1, p.69 Des Ret R. R. BRIDGE 7-5545 to orgine with 45922

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. H5922

oat Sheet 1	• •		•
, wo 511000			
ounding Records 3	Vo:	ls.	
scriptive Report Yes			
tle Sheet	788		
st of Signals	Vol 1		
ndmarks for Charts (Fo		char	· lettu 94
			<i>f</i>
tatistics		yes	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
proved by Chief of Par	ty	no from n	up, written by with he
ecoverable Station Card	 ls (Form 524)	none	
,007012010 00001011 0011	(2 0000 000 0		
pecial Chart for Lighth (Circular Nov. 30,	ouse Service 1933)	no	no floatu
emarks			
•			

MEMORANDUM IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

		received December 23,1935
HURYEY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT PHOTOSTINGFOF	No H 5022	registered Jan. 2, 193 6 verified reviewed approved

This is forwarded in order that your attention may be directed to the matters as indicated below. Please initial in column 3 as an acknowledgement that your attention has been thus directed. The complete original records are available if desired. If you cannot give this your immediate attention, please initial, note, and forward to the next section marked, calling for the records at your convenience.

DOUTE	• 1	A
ROUTE	Initial	Attention called to
20		
22		
24		
25	v Ento	D. R. pages 2 93 - "Chennela"
26		
30		
40		
62		
63		·
82		
83		
88		
90		7
RETURN	N TO	

82

C.K.G. - Jan 4 - 36

6. Cripe of Mad **GEOGRAPHIC NAMES** J.S. Jakillet Hydrographic Survey No. H5922 Name on Survey Sugarloaf Key 1251 2 3 7 8 169 Cudjoe Key 1251 9 169 10 ✓ Sugarloat Channel 11 12 164 Sugarloaf Creek 1251 13 14 Rattlesnake Lumps Dreguez Key 15 16 Tarpon Creek V **✓** ✓ Pirates Cove 17 1 Perky 18 -19 Hames underlined in red approve 20 L. Heck on 6-30-3 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 M 234

Remarks **Decisions** Net recommended by T-55 45 used only by railroad Not on H-5922 Douglas Key on chart 169. Also on mil. 796 16 T-5545 says older name than Gandolfo Creek. M 234

TIDE NOTE FOR HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

January 16, 1936

✓ Division of Charts: Attention: Mr. E. P. Ellis

Tide Reducers are approved in 3 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 5922

Locality Cudjoe Key to Sugar Loaf Key, Florida Keys

one word

W. A. B.

Chief of Party: W. H. Bainbridge in 1935 Plane of reference is mean low water reading

4.7 ft. on tide staff at Gandolfo Creek

TARPON 4.5 ft. below B.M. 1

2.4 ft. on tide staff at Johnston Key

Sugarloaf Key 2.0 ft. below B.M. 1

3.9 ft. on tide staff at Perky Lake

9.4 ft. below B.M. 1

3.0 ft. on tide staff at Pirates Cove

14.8 ft. below B.M. 1

2.0 ft. on tide staff at Michael Key

3.7 ft. below B.M. 1

Allowance made when necessary for difference in time and height of tide at place of sounding.

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 0.4 ft. at Candolfo Creek and Perky Take; 1.0 ft. at Pirates Cove; 1.4 ft. at Johnston Key; 2.3 ft. at Michael Key.

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

Section of Field Records

REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 5922 (1935) FIELD NO. 8

Cudjoe Key to Sugarloaf Key, Florida Keys, Florida Surveyed in July - August 1935, Scale 1:10,000 Instructions dated July 20, 1934 (W. H. Bainbridge)

Hand Lead and Pole Soundings.

3 Point fixes on shore signals.

Chief of Party - W. H. Bainbridge.
Surveyed by - C. L. Roshore, C. B. Harvey.
Protracted by - L. G. King.
Soundings penciled by - C. G. Lewin.
Verified and inked by - C. F. McKenney and W. R. Jackson.

1. Condition of Records.

The records are neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual.

The Descriptive Report is complete and satisfactorily covers all items of importance.

2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The plan, character and extent of the development are in accordance with the instructions for the project, except that fewer cross lines were run than were specified in the instructions (see pare 14 of Instructions to H. A. Cotton, dated Nov. 17, 1933).

3. Shoreline and Signals.

The shoreline originates with 1935 Topographic Maps T-5545, T-5546 and T-5547.

The locations of signals were determined by triangulation and by sextant fixes recorded in the sounding records.

4. Sounding Line Crossings.

The agreement in depths at the crossings of lines are satisfactory.

5. Depth Curves.

Within the area surveyed the usual depth curves can be satisfactorily drawn.

6. Junction with Contemporary Surveys.

The junctions with H-5925 (1935) and H-5923 (1935) to the north and west will be considered in the reviews of those sheets.

The boat sheet has been returned to the field for continuation of surveys to the south and east.

7. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

H_2006 (1890).

This survey on a scale of 1:40,000 shows widely spaced zigzag lines over the entire area covered by the present survey. The agreement in depth is good but depths of 1/2 to 1 foot greater are found in some places. The channel west of Cudjoe Key was the source of fill material while the railway was under construction and depths in this locality are from 3 to 7 feet deeper than on the above survey. Because of the larger scale and closer development of the present survey, H-5922 (1935) should supersede the above survey for charting purposes.

8. Comparison with Chart 1251 (New Print dated April 21, 1936).

a. Hydrography.

Within the area of the present survey the chart is based on the survey discussed in the foregoing paragraph and contains no additional information that needs consideration in this review.

b. Aids to Navigation.

The aids to navigation (beacons and channel markers) were charted from advance information given by the present field party (Chart letter 944 of 1935) and are in agreement with the positions given on the survey.

9. Field Plotting.

The field plotting was very satisfactory.

10. Additional Field Work Recommended.

The survey is satisfactory and no further work is required in the area surveyed.

11. Superseded Old Surveys.

Within the area surveyed the present survey supersedes the following survey for charting purposes:

H-2006 (1890) in part.

12. Reviewed by - R. J. Christman, Dec. 21, 1936.

Inspected by - A. L. Shalowitz.

Examined and approved:

T. B. Reed, Chief, Section of Field Records.

Chief, Division of Charts. Glude

Chief, Division of H. & T.

ì						25	811010
	Residence		4 4/ 10-6			0	6, 1936
Che	applied applied	applicato a	854 854	1251 g	-1936 ene 195 25/56 10/2.7/-	2 Mil	
				J 7 - 0			
						! .	
			: .				
				-1		: : :	
·							
	: • :						
	,	-					
		:					
. !					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		