6315

0

Form 504 Rev. April 1935 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic \
Hydrographic

Sheet No. 23

State Texas

LOCALITY

Galf of Mexico

Choctaw Lake to Brown Cedar Cul

193 7

CHIEF OF PARTY

F. S. Borden

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No.23......

REGISTER NO. H-6315

State TEXAS
General locality Gulf of Mexico
Locality Choctaw Lake to Brown Cedar Cut
Scale 1:20,000 Date of survey Sept. 24-Oct. 13,1937
Vessel HYDROGRAPHER (Launch FARIS)
Chief of Party F. S. Borden
Surveyed by W. Gibbens and R. A. Gilmore
Protracted by Charles W. Clark
Soundings penciled by L. A. McGann
Soundings in KATHANA feet
Plane of reference M.L.W. :
Subdivision of wire dragged areas by
Inked by H. F. Stegman
Verified byH. F. Stegman
Instructions dated February 17 , 19 37
Remarks: Plotted to 1/2 feet at critical points and at depth
curve units

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE. 1931

DECRIPTIVE REPORT

To Accompany Hydrographic Sheet No. H-6315

INSTRUCTIONS -

This survey was made in accordance with the Director's instructions for Project No. 214 dated February 17, 1937.

GENERAL STATEMENT

This sheet is an inshore survey of the area between Choctaw Lake and Brown Cedar Cut, Texas. It adjoins the inshore survey H-6314 (1937) 1:20,000 and extends offshore to the vicinity of 30 foot depth curve. The general locality and limits of the survey, together with its relation to adjacent contemporary sheets is shown on a sketch included in the Descriptive Report of H-6305 (1937).

SURVEY METHODS

Sounding lines were run by the Launch Faris excepting one line close to the beach which was run by the launch's skiff with outboard motor attached. The launch (twin screw) was run on one engine while sounding, usually at a speed of approximately five knots.

Launch soundings were made with leadline, and skiff soundings were made with a sounding pole. Leadlines were tested at the beginning and end of each day's work. No corrections were found to be necessary.

All sounding lines were controlled by three point fixes on shore objects located by topography of the same reason or on recovered triangulation stations. Standard methods as outlined in the Hydrographic Manual were used throughout.

Tide reducers were entered to the nearest half foot. Soundings were plotted to the nearest foot except at depth curve units where they were plotted to the nearest half foot.

DISCREPANCIES

Sounding line crossings on this sheet are very good with discrepancies of one foot or less at most crossings. A maximum discrepancy of two feet was noted at Lat. 28° 41.7', Long. 95°42.2'.

DANGERS

There are no dangers in the area covered by this survey.

CHANNELS

There are no channels in the area covered by this survey.

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES

No new place names have been used on this sheet.

COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS SURVEYS

Comparison of this sheet with the April 1938 edition of Chart 1283 shows depths in general one to six feet deeper on the present survey. As this chart is based on surveys of 1856 and 1879 it is assumed that this discrepancy is caused by a change in depth.

Due to the transfer to other duties of the field officer detailed to plot this sheet it was forwarded to the office without a Descriptive Report and without soundings penciled on the smooth sheet. The penciling of soundings was accomplished in the office. The sheet was verified and inked by the writer of this report.

Respectfully submitted

Harold F. Stegman
Harold F. Stegman

(in office)

Approved

Chief. Field Records Section

STATISTICS

H-6315

Statute Miles of Sounding	Lines	220
Number of Positions		843
Number of Soundings	•	4851

Field Records Section (Charts)

H6315

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET NO.

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the sheet:

Number of positions on sheet	04 5
Number of positions checked	/3
Number of positions revised	None
Number of soundings recorded	4,851
Number of soundings revised	/4
Number of signals erroneously	
plotted or transferred	None

Date: October 25,1938

Verification by H.F. STECMAN

Review by Harold W. Murray

Time: 5 days 2 hours

Time: 0 " 2'2 "

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. **E-6315**

Smooth Sheet Yes
Boat Shoet Yes
Records; Sounding 3 Vols., Wire Drag Vols., Bomb Vols.
Descriptive Report Not yet received (Oct, 18, 1938)
Title Sheet u u u " "
List of Signals Vol. #1 Lie D.R., T-16/2 for discussed
Landmarks for Charts (Form 567) None
Statistics
Approved by Chief of Party
Recoverable Station Cards (Form 524)
Special Chart for Lighthouse Service (Circular Nov.30, 1933)
Hydrography: Total Days 9; Last Date October 13, 1938
Remarks

Surv	ey No. H631 5	Κ	/.	One sil	diagre	\ \$\display \text{.65}	Had	*/ de d	. Kali	'\ arti	5 /
	TOOT.	, /	Ho. Or	de do or	D D D	St. local side	Or Ico Hat	o Cuide	Hed McKell	J.S. Light	
Name	e on Survey	/A,	B ,	, c,	/D	E	o F	G.	*/н	/ _K	
 	f Mexico								1		1
CL											2
Chocka	w Lake		-				 				
Drown	Cedar Cut			·							3
	*						7	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	4
								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		5
							<u> </u>	<u> </u>			6
	(A) 1 10			1							7
	Cames underline			 - 			<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		8
1	by L. Heck	011 10	/26/38	1	``		ļ		ļ		9
	<u>.</u>					-	<u> </u>				10
				. :							11
								ļ			12
				-,							13
	•				,	·		٠			14
											15
											16
				r		•					17
											18
;		e.									19
	,										20
											21
					-	j			 	†	22
									<u> </u>		
						-		<u> </u>			23
·							,			·	24
					:		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		25
				· 	,	• 4	ļ				26
					•				ļ		27 M 234 /

FORM 712
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
Ed. Feb. 1935

TIDE NOTE FOR HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET

September 8, 1938.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

/ Division of Charts: Att: Mr. E. P. Ellis

Plane of reference
Tide Reducers are approved in
3 volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 6315

Locality Choctaw Lake to Brown Cedar Cut, Gulf of Mexico, Texas coast.

Chief of Party: F. S. Borden in 1937
Plane of reference is mean low water reading
2.4 ft. on tide staff at South Jetty, Galveston Entrance
6.2 ft. below B.M. 2

Height of mean high water above plane of reference is 1.3 feet.

Condition of records satisfactory except as noted below:

Chief, Division of Tides and Currents.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

MEMORANDUM

IMMEDIATE ATTENTION

		(received July 19, 1938
SURVEY DESCRIPTIVE REPORT REPORTOSTATION	No. H -6315	registered August 29, 1938 verified reviewed approved

This is forwarded in order that your attention may be directed to the matters as indicated below. Please initial in column 3 as an acknowledgement that your attention has been thus directed. The complete original records are available if desired. If you cannot give this your immediate attention, please initial, note, and forward to the next section marked, calling for the records at your convenience.

ROUTE	Initial	Attention called to
20		
22		
24		
25		
26		
30		
40		
62		
63		
82		
83		
88		
90		

RETURN TO

82 T. B. Reed

Carra,

Venfustion Report on H-6315 (1937) Fillwork: Sept 24 - Oct. 13, 1937 Surveyed by: VM. Libbens, R.C. Gilmore. Boat: Lewnen Faris and dingry. 14-6315 is an inshore survey between Choctaw rake and Brown Cedar cut, Deparcoast, and extends to the vicinity of the that foot curve. There was no descriptive report for this abeet at the t The field drafting and the periling done on the sheet in the ffice were There are no daugers to manigation within the area of this, inities records. The only obstruction is the pipe near the low water los Larald F. Stegman Och 25, 1938

Section of Field Records

REVIEW OF HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 6315 (1937) FIELD NO. 23

Choctaw Lake to Brown Cedar Cut, Gulf of Mexico, Texas Surveyed in Sept. - Oct. 1938, Scale 1:20,000 Instructions dated Feb. 17, 1937 (HYDROGRAPHER)

Hand Lead and Pole Soundings.

3 Point fixes on shore signals.

Chief of Party - F. S. Borden
Surveyed by - V. M. Gibbens and R. A. Gilmore
Protracted by - Charles W. Clark
Soundings plotted by - L. A. McGann
Verified and inked by - H. F. Stegman

1. Condition of Records.

The records are neat and legible and conform to the requirements of the Hydrographic Manual.

The Descriptive Report which was written in the office satisfactorily covers all items of importance.

2. Compliance with Instructions for the Project.

The plan, character and extent of the survey satisfy the instructions for the project.

3. Shoreline and Signals.

The shoreline and signals originate with T-6611 (1937) and T-6612 (1937).

4. Sounding Line Crossings.

General agreement of sounding line crossings is within 1 foot or less.

5. Depth Curves.

The usual depth curves may be satisfactorily drawn. Only a portion of the 6 foot curve, however, was delineated between long. 95°37.5' and long. 95°44.1'.

6. Junctions with Contemporary Surveys.

- a. The junction on the northeast with H-6314 (1937) is satisfactory.
- b. The junctions on the south and southwestward will be considered when that work is received from the field.

7. Comparison with Prior Surveys.

a. H-539 (1856), Scale 1:20,000

This sparsely covered survey covers most of the present survey and contains no shoreline. The depths vary 1 to 6 feet shoaler than the present survey. The present survey should supersede the 1856 survey in the common area in future charting.

b. H-1427a (1879), Scale 1:40,000.

Several soundings from this sparsely covered survey fall just within the southwest limits of the present survey and consistently vary 1 to 3 feet shoaler. The shoreline shown on the old survey between long. 95° 42.9' and long. 95° 46.4' has receded 100 to 200 m. inland. BROWN CEDAR CUT shown on the present survey in long. 95° 41.6' is also subsequent to the 1879 survey. The present survey should supersede the old survey in the common area in future charting.

8. Comparison with Chart 1283 (New Print dated April 11, 1938).

Hydrography shown on the chart originates entirely with surveys discussed in previous paragraphs of this review and no further consideration is necessary.

9. Field Plotting.

Field protracting and plotting were exceptionally accurate. No soundings were plotted on the sheet by the field party, this portion of the work being done entirely in the office.

10. Additional Field Work Required.

This is an excellent survey and no additional field work is required.

11. Superseded Prior Surveys.

Within the area covered, the present survey supersedes the following surveys for charting purposes:

H- 539 (1856) in part H-1427a(1879) in part

Reviewed by Harold W. Murray, October 29, 1938. 12. Inspected by J. A. McCormick,

Examined and approved:

Thos. B. Reed

Chief, Section of Field Records

Chief, Division of Charts

Chief, Division of Hydrography

and Topography.

appeira & Cht. 1283- april 1934- DX 26.

e de la companya de l

and the second of the second o