Diag. Cht. No. 9380.

FORM C&GS-504

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey Hydrographic Field No. OPR-483 Office No. H-9025
LOCALITY
State Alaska
Ceneral locality North Bering Sac
Locality Western Norton Sound
•
19 68-69
CHIEF OF PARTY
H. D. Nygren & E. W. Richards
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES
DATE Aug. 1970

Charts 9302 9370 9380 USCOMM-DC 37022-P66

FORM	Civil	3-24
1 x - 1 5 - 5		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

REGISTER NO.

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

H-9025 Mc0020xx09022

INSTRUCTIONS - The Hydrographic	Sheet should be accompanied by this form,
filled in as completely as possible,	when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

FIELD NO. OPR-483

Alaska State_ North Bering Sea General locality___ Western Worton Sound Locality__ Date of survey 19 June-18 Sept. 1968 Scale_1: 100,000 21 May 1968; amended 24 July Instructions dated modified 26 July, 1968, 2Augroject No. 1968. Fodified 13 Aug. 1968, & 29 Aug. 1968

Vessel USC&GSS SURVEYOR Harley D. Nygren, CAPT, USESSA and Eugene W. Richards, CAPT, USESSA Chief of party_ Ship's personnel Surveyed by____ DE-723 Soundings taken by echo sounder, hardxicodicholat Ship's Personnel Graphic record scaled by _ Ship's Personnel Graphic record checked by ____ Smooth Sheet Boat sheet PMC Ship's Personnel Automated plot by ... Protracted by ____ Soundings peneiled-by Ship's Personnel - Smooth sheet soundings automated by PMC NLW MLLW_ Soundings in Mathoms fect Velocity corrections are less than one half percent of REMARKS: the depth and are therefore not applicable. Tide and TRA corrections are to be applied by PMC for smooth sheet. Soundings inked on boat sheet are uncorrected (fathometer initial set at 18 feet).

USC&GSS SURVEYOR

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT


HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY H-1-9020-9027 SCALE 1:100,000


> OPR-483 SUMMER 1968


> > H. D. Nygren Commanding

Eugene W. Richards Commanding

Annex G.







PROJECT

This survey was accomplished pursuant to Project Instructions for OPR-483, issued by the Director, Pacific Marine Center, dated 21 May 1968, and amended on 24 July 1968. Further correspondence modified these instructions in letters from C.O. SÜRVEYOR to Director, Pacific Marine Center on 26 July and 13 August 1968, and in letters from the Director, Pacific Marine Center to C.O. SURVEYOR on 2 August and 29 August 1968.

AREA SURVEYED

The project area surveyed occupies the portion of the Bering Sea lying between St. Lawrence Island, Tin City, and Sledge Island. The western limit of the first priority area of the Project Instructions lies approximately parallel to, and 15-25 miles east of, the United States - Russian Convention Line of 1867. Eastern limits of the project area can be approximated by a line drawn between Sledge Island and Northeast Cape. St. Lawrence Island.

The survey conducted in 1968 made junctions with the following prior surveys:

H-8558 and H-8559, 1:160,000 - 1960

H-7840, 1:40,000 - 1950 H-7849, 1:20,000 - 1950 H-7835, 1:20,000 - 1950 H-7912, 1:20,000 - 1951

As all sheets covered in OPR-483 are considered one survey, there are no contemporary surveys.

A reconnaissance survey, SU/SP-1-68, was run in an area one mile ENE of Sledge Island in search of the wreck described on page 271 of the Coast Pilot 9.

C. SOUNDING VESSEL

The sounding vessel for 95% of the survey was the USC&GSS SURVEYOR, whose work is identified by purple position numbers. The OCEANOGRAPHER's work is shown in red on the original sheet.

Launch Number 4 of the SURVEYOR ran the reconnaissance survey off Sledge Island; purple position numbers were used for this work.

D. SOUNDING EQUIPMENT

Sounding equipment was DE-723 Fathometers Numbers 138, 243, and 147. Fathometers were switched as necessitated by paper changes, sheet changes or failure of one of the units. The two common problems with the fathometers during OPR-483 were double traces and bad paper drive. Occasionally the records were spotty.

DE-723 Number 937 was used in Launch 4.

Corrections to echo soundings fall into three categories. Velocity corrections were determined from three series of Nansen casts and calculated by the method described in Section 5-117 of the Hydrographic Manual. They were found to be less that one half percent of the depth and therefore not applicable. TRA corrections were compiled from draft, initial, leadline comparisons, fine arc and A and F scale check corrections. All tide corrections will be applied at Pacific Marine Center after determination of reference planes by the Rockville Office.

E. SMOOTH SHEET

'n

Boat sheet projections were made at Pacific Marine Center. Points were printed by the Gerber Plotter, latitude and longitude lines and Raydist arcs were hand drawn on the sheets. After the final computation of Raydist calibration data, the maximum probable error in positioning should not exceed a 1/2 lane width.

Boat sheets furnished by Pacific Marine Center were used aboard the SURVEYOR for this project during this survey. The positions were plotted and soundings inked without any corrections applied to the soundings. The corrections applied to the Raydist positions were determined as the work progressed when possible. Sometimes, because of inexperienced Raydist operators, small corrections in lanes lost were missed. If a position didn't vary over four lanes, it was not replotted. These areas were all checked and a

F. CONTROL

Raydist control was used for the entire survey. Calibrations were made with three-point sextant fixes and a check angle when possible. The Raydist control is the subject of a Special Report - Raydist Corrections, Norton Sound, Alaska, OPR-483, 1968, which is a section of this Annual Report.

Three Raydist stations were set up around the project area. The red master station, PERRY 1968, was located on Sledge Island. The green remote station, OOSIK 1968, was at Tin City, and the purple remote station, DEL 1968, was set up at Northeast Cape, St. Lawrence Island. All three stations were located by second order, Class II, triangulation. Exact methods of triangulation are described in detail in the Speccial Report: Triangulation and Reconnaissance; which is also included in this overall report.

Hydrographic signals used for calibration were located by second order triangulation and third order traverse.

Visual control was used for the Sledge Island Reconnaissance survey.

G. SHORELINE

No inshore work was done on OPR-483 this season. While running hydrography close to King Island, radar distances and visual bearings taken off the island did not agree with distances from Raydist plot. These discrepancies were investigated; the layouts of King Island on Charts C&GS 9369 and 9380 were compared with Army Map Service air photo #13148. The comparison with the photo shows that the shape and orientation of King Island as shown on the above charts is incorrect. This discrepancy is the subject of a Memorandum from C.O. SURVEYOR, to Chief, Marine Chart Division, through Director, Pacific Marine Center, dated 14 September 1968.

The two small islets or high water rocks depicted on Charts C&GS 9369 and 9380 lying just southwest of King Island were not observed when the SURVEYOR was within a third of a mile of their charted position. It is very probable that they do not exist as charted, but could be rocks awash or sunken. They are not on the air photo of King Island.

H. CROSSLINES

Approximately 20% of the hydrography completed was run as crosslines. Comparison of uncorrected soundings at line crossings of the SURVEYOR's work was good. The maximum difference in soundings was three feet, although it was rarely more than two feet. The differences in soundings are most pronounced just prior to and just after fueling trips to Dutch Harbor. Fueling increases the draft of the SURVEYOR by over two feet. It is expected that when the TRA corrections are applied to soundings that the discrepancies at line crossings will be minimal.

Lines run by the SURVEYOR crossing the OCEANOGRAPHER's work produced more serious discrepancies. On the average, the SURVEYOR's soundings were five feet deeper than those of the OCEANOGRAPHER. There are two possible reasons for these differences. The first is that neither ship applied corrections to the raw soundings prior to plotting them on the boat sheets. A larger part of the discrepancies should be resolved when TRA and tide corrections are applied to soundings. The second reason is that the differences in control between the two ships could be responsible. The OCEANOGRAPHER was forced to rely upon Loran C and satellite navigation for much of her work, as it was impossible for both ships to use Raydist at the same time. Loran C accuracy in the area the OCEANOGRAPHER was working is 1/3 of a mile at best and probably closer to 1/2 mile. The SURVEYOR's Raydist accuracy in the same area was within 1/2 lane. fact, coupled with the knowledge that when the two ships were lying alongside each other in Port Clarence, their soundings were exactly the same, leads to the conclusion that discrepancy in soundings at the crosslines arise from both a control problem and difference in TRA and tide corrections.

I. JUNCTIONS

Lines were run continuously during the survey without regard to sheet boundaries. An overlap of one fix interval, five minutes, was plotted whenever sheets were changed. Thus, the last two or three soundings on a given line prior to a sheet change were always the same as the first two or three soundings on the next sheet. This practice was responsible for the excellent junctions among the several sheets.

J. COMPARISON WITH PRIOR SURVEYS

Comparison of the OPR-483 work with the classified surveys H-8558, and H-8559, 1:160,000, 1960, is good.

Comparison with the work done in 1950; H-7835, 1:20,000, H-7840, 1:40,000 and H-7849, 1:20,000, indicates that the SURVEYOR's soundings range from 0 to four feet deeper than those indicated on the old surveys. Comparison with H-7912, 1:20,000, 1951, shows that the SURVEYOR's soundings average about two feet deeper than the smooth plotted soundings of the PIONEER.

The main reason for the differences in soundings is that the SURVEYOR's work at the time of comparison had not been corrected for tides or TRA corrections. Minor differences could be due to control, and to changing bottom configurations, although control for all surveys was good.

The wreck protruding 44' shown on H-7835 as being about one nautical mile east-northeast of Sledge Island was searched for on a special reconnaissance survey, SU/SP-1-68. No evidence of the wreck was found; a least depth of 18 feet was found in the general area. This information has already been published in the "Notice to Mariners". The wreck should be delted from Charts 9303 and 9380 as shown and an 18 foot shoal sounding with submerged wreck symbol shown.

K. COMPARISON WITH THE CHART

No new dangers to navigation were found during the course of the project. The only differences from the charts discovered have to do with King Island, discussed in section G and the wreck off Sledge Island, discussed in section J. The affected charts for King Island are C&GS 9369, 1st edition 11/25/57, revised 4/30/62 and 9380, 8th edition, 9/18/67. Charts showing the wreck near Sledge Island are C&GS 9380 and 9302, 20th edition 6/13/66.

L. ADEQUACY OF SURVEY

The first priority area was the only area surveyed during the summer of 1968. Although not entirely covered by the one-mile spacing required by the Project Instructions, the survey

is considered adequate for normal charting. One mile spacing was carried from the northeastern limits of the project area to a line between 64 39.3 N, 169 46.5 W, and 63 45.7 N, 167 39.0 W, comprising approximately 60% of the first priority area. An area roughly equivalent to 10% of the survey lying just north of St. Lawrence Island was also covered at one mile spacing through a combination of the SURVEYOR's and OCEANOGRAPHER's work. The remaining area was run at two mile spacing. One three mile split was left along a portion of the southwest limits of the one mile spacing. Crosslines were run throughout the entire area, except the portion lying between Sledge Island, King Island and Cape Rodney, and Cape Douglas.

M. AIDS TO NAVIGATION

Two aids to navigation were located during the summer of 1968; the Point Spencer Light and the new Sledge Island Light. The new Sledge Island Light, 64 29 4905 N, 166 11 46.21 W, is located near the old light and sits on the standard frame base with orange and white checkered sides. The Point Spencer Light, 65 16 40.67 N, 166 50 47.04 W, also sets on a frame structure with three sides covered by orange and white checkered wood and the south side open.

N. STATISTICS

Nautical miles of hydrography Nautical miles of magnetics Nautical miles of gravity Positions Square miles of hydrography Tide stations established Current stations	H H H	8805 7676 6014 8079 8931 4 4(2 lost, 1 found adrift)
Oceanographic stations Launch statistics	· !	22 16

O: MISCELLANEOUS

Several unusual submarine features were found in the project area. Sheet 70038-A contains a group of three submarine canyons with two intervening ridges, and part of a delta.

Two of the canyons flow north or northwest along either side of King Island. The third canyon flows northwest along the eastern limits of hydrography, then turns west, deepens, and joins the large canyon running just east of King Island. There are several places in the canyon bottoms favorable to the concentration of heavy mineral and the formation of placer deposits. A delta-like feature extends southwest into the project area from the approximate location of York, Latitude 65°30'N, Longitude 167°40'W.

On sheet 70058-C, the major canyon lying east of King Island continues uphill, bifurcating near the top center of the sheet. One arm of the canyon runs southeast towards Sledge Island, the other continues south-southeast to the south edge of the sheet. The canyon west of King Island runs south and joins with the west arm of the other canyon. Contours indicated that the submarine canyon split to run on either side of King Island. Another canyon appears on the western edge of the sheet, also draining northward. A fifteen foot depression located eight miles southwest of Sledge Island might be favorable to the concentration of heavy minerals.

Other sheets show a fairly regular bottom with no unusual features, except on 70078-E. The area east of Savoonga and north of Stolbi Rocks, St. Lawrence Island contains a sharp ridge jutting east-northeast from the old village Kookoolik. Two miles southeast of the ridge is a depression over thirty feet deep, and there is a small mound rising thirty feet above the surrounding area. The depression is the most likely place for heavy mineral concentration discovered along the north shore of St. Lawrence Island.

P. RECOMMENDATIONS.

This survey is considered adequate for charting in its present form. Additional work could be done in the areas of two-mile line spacing and inshore areas favorable to the concentration of heavy minerals should be sampled and, if deposits are found, developed further.

Placing a single whip antenna atop the forward mast for Raydist reception solved the reception problem experienced

by the SURVEYOR in 1960. Other problems exist with the Raydist, however. The SURVEYOR's Electronics Officer reports that: "Contrary to previous statements by Hastings-Raydist Company, dual ship operations were found to be impossible using present range-range Raydist equipment. The second ship transmitter-receiver could not discern its 450 hertz signals from the 350 hertz signals of the SURVEYOR".

The Raydist Printout rarely worked well. Considerable time and effort could be saved if the lane count could be punched directly on a tape every minute, along with the lane corrections. If this portion of the survey was automated, only one QMS instead of the present two would be necessary to the operation. Minute by minute lane counts could be read directly into the computor instead of having to be transferred from the "grocery tape". It is realized that a new unit to put Raydist lane counts directly onto the tape would have to be designed and built, and that the computor at Pacific Marine Center would need a new program to handle the data. The effect involved in these operations would be well spent in order to save time, problems, and money aboard ship.

If Raydist stations are set up in the same places next year, back up generators should be supplied at Tin City and Northeast Cape. Calibration buoys should be lighted, better anchored and used more extensively for calibration purposes. Calibration areas should be remerceted at Pt. Spencer, Gambell, Northeast Cape, Sledge Island, Niyrakpak Lagoon and possibly Nome. Sheets on a 1:20,000 scale should be provided for all calibration areas.

In order to facilitate geodetic work in the 1969 season, both levels and one of the T-2's on board should be re-worked. Three operational electrochains should be obtained. The remaining T-sheets of St. Lawrence Island, as well as those east and west of Nome Should be acquired.

Three of the four current buoys planted evidently broke free of their moorings. In the future, heavier wire, at least 3/8 inch cable, should be used for anchoring. Two of the buoys and three current meters were lost. There is a possibility that Buoy #3 sank, and it should be dragged for.

The present Coast Survey vehicle in Nome, a 26 year old Jeep stored with the Weather Bureau, cannot be relied upon to last another season. A new four wheel drive, four-door pick-up truck should be obtained from GSA for use in the Nome area.

Finally, the importance of a helicopter to the SURVEYOR's work in 1969 cannot be overemphasized. A helicopter would save many thousands of dollars in ship time during the season.

O. REFERENCES TO REPORTS

Special Reports:

Triangulation and Reconnaissance. Correction to Echo Soundings. Magnetics and Gravity. Raydist Corrections. Helicopter support OPR-483. SU/SP-1-68.

List of Records:

Forwarded to Alaska Field Director, Anchorage, Alaska, 6/24/68, Transmittal Letter SU-59-68:

- 1 Special Report: Inspection and Servicing of Tide Gage and Seismic Sea Wave Detector, Unalaska, Alaska, June 19-20, 1968.
- 1 "Leveling Record-Tide Station" (Form 258). Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 7/27/58, Transmittal

Letter SU-71-68:

1 Magnetics effects of USC&GSS SURVEYOR, graph on tracing cloth.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 7/27/68, Transmittal Letter SU-72-68:

8 Packets of correspondence on magnetometer test results.

Forwarded to U.S. Geological Survey, Nome, Alaska, 8/5/68, Transmittal Letter SU-73=68:

21 Top and bottom samples.

- 1 Plastic bag with sample.
- 1 Cloth bag with sample.

Forwarded to Currents Division, C&GS, Rockville, Md, 9/20/68, Transmittal Letter SU-77-68:

- 2 Film, Geodyne current meter, station 5.
- 2 Film, Geodyne current meter, station 1.
- 4 Current meter data log sheets.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/18/68, Transmittal Letter SU-83-68:

- 32 Rolls, magnetics and gravity punch tape.
- 32 Magnetics printouts.
- 1 Roll, magnetometer test reading.
- 1 Roll, gravity anchor reading.
- 9 Rolls, magnetic analog records.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/18/68, Transmittal Letter SU-84-68:

- 6 Bundles, gravity graphic records.
- 6 Bundles, gravity short period hams.
- 6 Bundles, gravity long period hams.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/18/68, Transmittal Letter SU-86-68:

8 Bundles fathograms.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/18/68, Transmittal Letter SU-87-68:

- 8 Corrector tape printouts.
- 14 Rolls, raw data punch tape.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/18/68, Transmittal Letter SU-88-68:

10 Boat sheets; A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,J,K

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/18/68, Transmittal Letter SU-89-68:

- 4 Bundles Raydist printouts.
- 8 Rolls corrector tapes.
- 1 Roll TRA tape.
- 1 Bundle rejected data.
- 383 Raydist plotting abstracts.
 - 1 Calibration record book.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/23/68, Transmittal Letter SU-90-68:

- 16 Rolls marigrams
 - 9 Leveling Records (Form 258).
- 12 Tide Station Reports (Form 681)
- 22 Tide Hourly Heights (Form 362)

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/23/68, Transmittal Letter SU-91-68:

- 1 TRA printout.
- 4 Bundles, raw data tape printouts.

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 10/23/68, Transmittal Letter SU-92-68:

1 Leveling record, Unalaska (Form 258)

Forwarded to Pacific Marine Center 11/12/68, Transmittal Letter SU-99-68:

2 Folders, Special Report - Raydist Correctors.

TIDE NOTE

Upon arrival at Dutch Harbor, 19 June 1968, the tide gage was checked and found to be operating satisfactorily. Levels were run. The seismic Seaway System was repaired. On 9 September 1968, the tide staff was re-established due to construction on the pier and levels were run. The tide gage was then removed, later to be replaced by the Alaska Field Director.

Four bubbler 0-20 foot tide gages were established around the periphery of the project area. Locations of the stations are as follows:

Niyrakpak Lagoon	67° 37.6' N
St. Lawrence Island	171° 23.1' W
Northeast Cape	63° 19.7' N
St. Lawrence Island	168° 55.0' W
Port Clarence	65° 15.4' N
Point Spencer	166° 50.8' W
Nome	64° 30.0' N 165° 25.8' W

			Days of
<u>Gage</u>	<u>Established</u>	Re-Established	Removed Operation
Nome	23 Jun 168		19 Sep '68 62
N.E. Cape	30 Jun 168	2 Sep '68	6 Sep 168 56
Niyrakpak Lagoon	11 Jul '68	3	20 Sep 168 44
Point Spencer	3 Jul '68	23 Jul '68	25 Aug 168 54

Because of clock malfunction, the gage at Nome was replaced. The orifice or staff was not moved. The tide staffs at Northeast Cape and Point Spencer were replaced due to storm action.

The gage at Nome was attended by ship's personnel. The gage at Northeast Cape was attended by shore party personnel and the gage at Point Spencer by Coast Guard personnel. The gage at Niyrakpak Lagoon was attended by ship's personnel when convenient. The gage at Niyrakpak Lagoon was never checked during a complete cycle. After removal, the clock was tested aboard ship and the results were forwarded with the marigrams.

All tide stations are in the 150°W, +10 time zone. Datum levels have yet to be determined by the Washington Office, Pacific Marine Center will decide where to use the information from each gage and will apply all tide corrections to soundings.

Tide data was sent to Pacific Marine Center on 23 October 1968 under cover of Transmittal Letter SU-90-68.

CURRENT NOTE

Under the Project Instructions, 5 current stations were assigned. Four were two meter stations with a meter at 20 feet and at near bottom and one with a single meter at 20 feet.

Four current stations were observed; they were:

Station	No. of Meters	Latitude	Longitude	Establi	shed	Rei	no v e <u>đ</u>		ays per.
Station									
1	2	63 ⁰ 24.71	-			1 1	Aug	.00	-20
` 2	, i 1	64 ⁰ 12.41	168 ⁰ 05.51	15 Jul	168				
3	2	65 ⁰ 02.51	167 ⁰ 43.71	14 Aug	168				
- - 5	2	64 ⁰ 22.01	165 ⁰ 281	16 Aug	168	6	Sep	168	22

Buoy number 1 was recovered 26 miles from where it had been planted. The anchor wire had parted, possibly due to storm action. Buoy's number 2 and 3 were never recovered. They either sank or broke loose.

The 120" current buoys were anchored with 200 pound Danforth anchors. thirty feet of 17 inch wire with a scope at 1.5.

It is recommended that for future use, the buoys be anchored with wire larger that in. Better radar reflectors on the buoys would aid in their recovery. A small radio transmitter might be installed on the buoy, activation upon parting of the anchor wire to enable the ship to home in on the buoy with the RDF.

Exposed film and meter records were sent to Pacific Marine Center on 19 September 1968 under cover of Transmittal Letter SU-77-68.

ABSTRACT OF CORRECTIONS TO ECHO SOUNDINGS

Velocity corrections are less than one half percent of the depth and are therefore not applicable.

The Special Report on Corrections to Echo Soundings, OPR-483, Summer 1968, describes the computations of all corrections to echo soundings.

An abstract of the TRA corrections is included in this report.

TRA CORRECTIONS - OPR-483

Date	GMT	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship <u>Draft</u>	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Julian <u>Date</u>
7/23	2025 2047 2119	· 21 25 32	18.0 17.9 18.0	18.4 18.4 18.4	+0.4 +0.5 +0.4	-0.4	0.0 +0.1 0.0	205
7/24	0000 1310 1620 2118 2121 2125 2129 2130	69 172 215 246 247 249 249	18.0 18.1 18.0 17.8 17.7 18.0	18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3	+0.3 +0.1 +0.3 +0.4 +0.5 +0.6 +0.3		-0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.1 0.0 +0.1 +0.2 -0.1	206
7/25	0510 0519 0528 0537	276 279 281 282	18.0 18.1 18.2 18.3	18.2 18.2 18.2	+0.2 +0.1 0.0 -0.1	:	-0.2 -0.3 -0.4 -0.5	207
	0546 0555 0604 0612 0835 1023	284 286 288 290 291 311 312	18.3 18.5 18.7 18.7 18.9 17.8	18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2	-0.2 -0.3 -0.4 -0.5 +0.2 +0.3 +0.4		-0.6 -0.7 -0.8 -0.9 -0.2 -0.1	
7/26	0655 07453 07554 2002 2122 2252 2342	320 3333 3334 468 496 496 504	18.0 17.8 17.8 18.0 17.3 18.1 18.3 18.4	18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1 18.1	+0.1 +0.2 +0.3 +0.1 +0.8 +0.1 0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3		-0.3 -0.1 -0.1 -0.3 +0.3 -0.5 -0.7	208
7/27	0032 0103 0108 0210	512 517 518 528	18.5 18.6 18.0 17.9	18.0 18.0 18.0	-0.5 -0.6 0.0 +0.1	-0°1+	-0.9 -1.0 -0.4 -0.3	209

<u> Date</u>	G <u>MT</u>	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship <u>Draft</u>	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Julian <u>Date</u>
7/27	030555550 07074050 07074050 07155550 07155550 071550 07150 0	55888255555555555555555555555555555555	0 9 8 7 6 5 4 0 1 2 1 0 9 8 0 1 2 3 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	0.0 +0.1 +0.2 +0.4 +0.5 +0.6 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 +0.2 -0.1 +0.2 -0.1 -0.3	-0.4	4321012456543245674 -000000000000000000000000000000000000	209
·//28	0008 00015 00015 00015 00015 10115 1015 10	7146 77394440 774440 888991127 937	18.1 18.0 18.2 18.1 18.3 18.5 18.7 17.8 17.8 17.8	17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9	-0.2 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.1 -0.2 -0.5 -0.6 +0.1 +0.2		-0.65675678905432	21:0
7/29	0055 0115 0122 0735 0815 0824 0910	940 945 945 1021 1029 1031 1040	17.7 17.6 18.0 18.1 18.2 18.0	17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8	+0.1 +0.2 -0.2 -0.3 -0.4 -0.2 -0.1	-0,1+	-0.3 -0.2 -0.6 -0.7 -0.8 -0.6 -0.5	211

<u>Date</u> 7/29	GMT 0925 0940 0955 1030 1037 1044 1116 1127	Pos. 1043 1049 10557 10657 1067	Initial Setting 17.8 17.7 17.6 17.5 17.4 17.3 18.0 18.1 18.2 18.0	Amid-ship Draft 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8	Draft vs Initial Correction 0.0 +0.1 +0.2 +0.3 +0.4 +0.5 -0.2 -0.3 -0.4 -0.2	Instrument Correction	Total Correction -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0.0 +0.1 -0.6 -0.7 -0.8 -0.6	Juliar <u>Date</u> 211
7/30	0538 0642 071236 077226 07726 07726 079552 1016 1058 1112	1143 1159 1168 1168 1199 1199 1204 1213 1213	18.98 17.65 17.65 17.65 17.65 18.17.65 17.65 18.09 17.65 17.65 18.09	17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7	-0.3 -0.1 +0.1 +0.3 +0.3 +0.3 -0.4 -0.3 -0.1 -0.1 -0.3 -0.1 -0.3		-0.7 -0.5 -0.32 -0.1 -0.7 -0.9 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.4 -0.32 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5	212
7/31 8/1	2250 0000 0800 1300 1312 1550 1835 2047 21128 2217 2221	13 13 14 14 15 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	18.1 18.2 18.0 18.1 17.8 18.0 17.8 18.1 18.3 18.0 17.8 18.0	17.7 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6	-0.4 -0.4 -0.0 -0.0 -0.0 -0.0 -0.0 -0.0	-0 4	-0.8 -0.8 -0.9 -0.9 -0.6 -0.8 -0.9 -1.0 -1.8 -0.6 8	213 214

*

•

Date	<u>GMT</u>	Pos.	Initial Setting	<u>Draft</u>	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Julian Date
8/2	0000 0004 0008 0012 0016 0020	1575 1576 1577 1577 1578 1579	18.0 17.9 17.8 17.7 17.6 17.5	17.555555555555555555555555555555555555	-0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.1 -0.5	-0.4	-0.9 -0.7654 -0.9	215
·	0022 0730 0745 0800 0803 0804 0828 0907 0930	15633990538 1664538 166558	18.0 18.3 18.3 18.0 18.0 17.0 16.0 16.0	17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4	-0.8 -0.64 -0.64 -0.64 -0.66 +0.66		-1.1 -1.2 -1.3 -1.4 -1.0 0.0 +0.1 +0.2	
	0903 1004 1540 232 2335 3355 22335 2335 2335 2335 233	1662 1665 1729 1734 1817 1817 1821 1821 1822	16.7 18.6 18.0 17.8 18.1 18.3 18.3	17.4 17.4 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7	+0.7 -0.2 -0.5 -0.5 -0.4 -0.8 -0.8 -1.6		+0.3 -1.6 -1.9 -1.2 -1.2 -1.4 -1.0	
8/3	0000 0050 0106 1010 1150 1350 1404	1823 1833 1836 1927 1947 1972 1975	18.0 17.9 18.0 17.9 17.8 17.7	17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4 17.4	-0.6 -0.5 -0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.6		-1.0 -0.9 -1.0 -0.9 -0.8 -0.7 -1.0	216
8/6	0545 0715 0745 0756 0840 0920 1004	1985 2003 2009 2011 2020 2028 2037	18.0 17.9 17.8 18.0 18.1 18.2 18.0	17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2	-0.8 -0.7 -0.6 -0.8 -0.9 -1.0	-0-1+	-1.2 -1.1 -1.0 -1.2 -1.3 -1.4 -1.2	219

1 1		Pos.	Initial	Amid- ship	Draft vs Initial	Instrument	Total	Julian
Date	GMT	No.	Setting	<u>Draft</u>	Correction	Correction*		Date
8/11	2045	2062	18.0	18.9	+0.9	-0.4	+0.5	224
8/12	0205 0237	2108 21 1 4	18.1 18.0	18.9 18.9	+0.8 +0.9		+0.4 +0.5	225
¹ 8/13	0235 0325 0415 0555 0637 0637 0812	2189 2199 2209 2219 2237 2237 2256 2256	17.9 17.6 17.4 17.3 18.1 18.2	18.9 18.9 18.9	+1.0 +1.1 +1.3 +1.5 +1.6 +0.8 +0.7 +0.9		+0.6/7 +0.9 +1.1 +1.2 +0.4 +0.4 +0.4	226
8/14	0829 0000 0100	2260 2388 2400	18.0 18.0 18.1	18.9 18.8 18.8	+0.8 +0.7		+0.5 +0.4 +0.3	227
8/16	01125550 07415550 07415550 07415550 074156450 074156450 074156450 074157 07415	222556 01456 3951 2884 456 778 93356 7882 889 93356 7882 889 93356 7882 889 93356 7882 889 93356 7882 889 93356 7882 889 93356 9332 9332 9332 9332 9332 9332 9332 933	7.0980987010980123450 17.098098701188.0123450 17.0980123450	18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3 18.3	+0.3453456323453210123 +c.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00		+0.2 -0.1 0.0 +0.1 -0.1 -0.1 +0.2 -0.1 -0.2 -0.1 -0.2 -0.1 -0.2 -0.1 -0.2 -0.1 -0.2 -0.1	229
8/17	0000 0435 0440 0445	3057 3094 3095 3096	18.0 18.1 18.2 18.3	18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3	-0.4	-0.4 -0.5 -0.6 -0.7	230

. ...

<u>Date</u>	<u>GMT</u>	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship <u>Draft</u>	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Juliar <u>Date</u>
8/16	0710 0745550 0845550 12420 14522 16450 17725 17733	2866 2877951 2889335570 289935570 2998889 29998899 222222222222222222222	18.77.0 177.7.0 18.77.0 18.0 177.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18	1888333333333333 1888333333333333333333	+0.4 +0.4 +0.6 +0.6 +0.3	-0.4	-0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.3 -0.1 -0.3 -0.1	229
8/17	005 04345 0445 045 04825 08823 0883 0883	3057 3094 3096 3098 3098 3144 3143 3145	18.0 18.1 18.3 18.5 18.0 17.8 17.7 18.0	18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.5 0.0 +0.1 +0.2 +0.3		-0.4 -0.5 -0.6 -0.7 -0.9 -0.4 -0.3 -0.1 -0.4	230
8/19	0740 0820 0840 0900 0935 0950 1004 1013 1037 1725	3337158 2222158 2222222222222222222222222222	18.0 17.8 17.6 17.6 17.5 17.6 17.0 18.1 18.0 18.1	17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.1 17.1	-0.2 -0.1 0.0 +0.1 +0.2 +0.3 +0.4 -0.3 -0.3 -0.2	-0.4	-0.6 -0.4 -0.4 -0.2 -0.6 -0.6 -0.6 -0.6 -0.6 -0.7 -0.7	232

Date	GMT	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship Draft	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Julian Date
8/19	1733 1807 2010 2012 2225 2241	3336 2243 3362 3362 3389 3392	18.0 18.3 17.9 18.0 18.1 18.0	17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8	-0.2 -0.5 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.2	-0.4	-0.6 -0.5 -0.6 -0.7	232
8/20	0000 0050 0105 0109 0638 0955 1000 1011 2355	3411455 3411455 34175 34175 355 355 355 364 364 364 364 364 364 364 364 364 364	18.0 17.8 17.8 18.0 17.6 17.6 17.9	17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7 17.7	-0.3 -0.1 -0.3 -0.1 -0.3 -0.1 -0.0 +0.1 -0.3 -0.2		-0.7 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.6 -0.6	233
- 21	01002290000550405025050 10022241220550405050 110024512345144555050 1100505050 1100505050 1100505050 1100505050 11005050 11005050 110050 10050	35777779888887777779888887777888888777768888873333333333	18.0 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.6 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8	17.666666666666666666666666666666666666	42024324321043201234564 -0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	-0.4	86428768765487643210128	234

V .

_			·						
_				i					
•	(. •						
; -	<u>Date</u>	<u>GMT</u>	Pos.	Initial <u>Setting</u>	Amid- ship <u>Draft</u>	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Julia Date
-	8/22	00550555055595540310 005612345002504031 1121111111223	39677113567905136924 44444444444444444444444444444444444	18.77.8.09.8.09.8.09.8.09.8.09.8.09.8.09.	17777777777777777777777777777777777777	654654365465465606	-0.4	1008098709809809040 -10010001000000000000000000000000000	235
	('23	0000 1000 1003 1415 1425 1429 1442 1444	41 58 4269 4270 4322 4322 4325 4326	18.0 18.1 18.0 17.9 17.8 18.0 18.4 18.0	17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2	-0.8 -0.9 -0.8 -0.7 -0.6 -0.8 -1.2 -0.8		-1.2 -1.3 -1.2 -1.1 -1.0 -1.6 -1.6	236
_	8/24	1235 1245 1249 1251 2350 2359	4397 4399 4400 4400 4519 4521	17.9 17.8 17.7 18.0 17.6 18.0	17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2	-0.7 -0.6 -0.5 -0.8 -0.4 -0.8		-1.1 -1.0 -0.9 -1.2 -0.8 -1.2	237
-	8/25	0000 0400 0911 1103 1106	4521 4568 4630 4652 4653	18.0 17.0 18.0 18.1 18.0	17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0	-1.0 0.0 -1.0 -1.1 -1.0		-1.4 -0.4 -1.4 -1.5 -1.4	238
	8/27	2140 2150	501 <i>5</i> 501 <i>7</i>	17.9 17.8	17.0 17.0	-0.9 -0.8 -8a-	-0.4	-1.3 -1.2	240
-	2**<	,						•	

: :		•	·					
<u>Draft</u>	<u>OMT</u>	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship Draft	Draft vs Initial Correction		Total Correction	Juliar <u>Date</u>
. 8/27	2200 2205 2210 2218 2218	5018 5019 5021 5022 5023	17.7 17.6 17.5 17.4 18.0	17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0 17.0	-0.7 -0.6 -0.5 -0.4 -1.0	-0.4	-1.1 -1.0 -0.9 -0.8 -1.4	240
· 8/28	0000 1010 1025 1036	5043 5156 5159 5161	18.0 17.9 17.8 18.0	16.9 16.9 16.9	-1.1 -1.0 -0.9 -1.1		-1.5 -1.4 -1.3 -1.5	241
8/29	1740 1750 0035 0045 0055	5225 5227 5308 5310 5312	18.1 18.0 17.9 17.8 17.7	16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9	-1.2 -1.1 -1.0 -0.9 -0.8		-1.6 -1.5 -1.4 -1.3 -1.2	242
	0059 0101 0600 0720 0830	5313 5313 5373 5389 5403	17.6 18.0 18.1 18.2 18.0	16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9	-0.7 -1.1 -1.2 -1.3 -1.1		-1 • 1 -1 • 5 -1 • 6 -1 • 7 -1 • 5	•
	0930 0933 1241 1309 1325	5415 5415 5433 5459 5462	18.1 18.0 17.0 18.0	16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9	-1.2 -1.1 -0.1 -1.1 -1.2	:	-1.6 -1.5 -0.5 -1.5 -1.6	· ·
	1331 1720 1734 1840 1847	5464 5508 5511 5524	18.0 17.9 18.0 17.9	16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9	-1.1 -1.0 -1.1 -1.0		-1.5 -1.4 -1.5 -1.4	
	2030 2055 2155 2202	5525 5546 5555 5556 5556	18.0 17.9 17.8 17.7 18.0	16.9 16.9 16.9 16.9	-1.1 -1.0 -0.9 -0.8 -1.1		-1.4 -1.3 -1.2 -1.5	
8/30	0000 0200 0225 0310 0327	5580 5604 5609 5618 5621	18.0 18.1 18.2 18.3 18.0	16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8	-1.2 -1.3 -1.4 -1.5 -1.2		-1.6 -1.7 -1.8 -1.9 -1.6	243
	0830 0850	5682 5686	17.9 17.8	16.8 16.8	-1.1 -1.0 -9a-	-0.4	-1.5 -1.4	:

	<u>GMT</u>	Pos.	Initial <u>Setting</u>	Amid- ship Draft	Draft vs Initial <u>Correction</u>	Instrument Correction*	. Total Correction	Juliar Date _
8/30	0910 0935 09950 1100 1110 1115 1156	56994 56998 57114 57114 57121 5723	17.6 17.4 18.0 18.1 18.3 18.0 17.8 17.8	16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8 16.8	-0.8 -0.7 -0.6 -1.2 -1.4 -1.5 -1.6 -1.0 -1.0	-0.4	-1.2 -1.1 -1.0 -1.6 -1.7 -1.8 -1.9 -1.6 -1.4	243
9/2	0825010406550533505553 1314450115240134 147778991122 222	78333449123444049205 78888449123444049205 55555555555555555555555555555555555	18.0 177.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 17.0 18.0 17.0 18.0 17.0 18.0 17.0 18.0 17.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18	16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7	-1.53.453.631.8393 -1.53.453.631.8393 -1.53.453.631.8393		-1.7 -1.278978970752737 -1.1.1 -1.1.1 -1.1.1 -1.1.1 -1.1.1	246
9/3	0143 02448 02248 02353450 03383452 08855 09950	5945 5955 5955 5963 5970 6002 6015	18.0 17.8 18.0 17.8 18.0 17.8 17.8 17.8	16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6 16.6	-1.4 -1.3 -1.4 -1.4 -1.3 -1.4 -1.3 -1.4 -1.3	-0-1+	-1.876848768768768768768	2 ¹ +7

<u>Date</u> 9/3	GMT 1000 1013 16456 17010 1712 2023 223350 22558 2301	Pos. 79099134424502 660666666666666666666666666666666666	Initial Setting 17.7 17.6 18.0 17.8 18.0 17.8 18.0 17.8 18.0 17.8 18.0 17.8 18.0	Amid- ship 16.66.66.66.66.66.66.66.66.66.66.66.66.6	Draft vs Initial Correction -1.1 -1.0 -1.4 -1.5 -1.4 -1.3 -1.2 -1.4 -1.3 -1.2 -1.4 -1.3 -1.2 -1.4 -1.5 -1.4	Instrument Correction	Total * Correction -1.5 -1.4 -1.8 -1.9 -1.6 -1.7 -1.6 -1.8 -1.7 -1.6 -1.8 -1.7 -1.8	Julian <u>Date</u> 247
9/4	00055205000000000000000000000000000000	61897 61191236 61191236 6127780 612778	18.098.7098.7098.7098.7098.7098.7098.7098	166.33333333333333333333333333333333333	76578976547654327 876589 a-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	-0.4	-2.1.0.9.8.1.0.9.8.7.6.1.2.1.0.9.8.1.0.9.8.7.6.1.2.1.0.9.2.3.1.0.9.8.7.6.1.2.1.0.9.2.3.1.0.9.8.7.6.1.2.1.0.9.2.3.1.0.0.0.2.2.3.1.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	248

<u>Date</u> 9/5	<u>сит</u> 0510	Pos. No. 6465	Initial Setting	Amid- ship Draft 16.2	Draft vs Initial Correction -2.0	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction -2.4	Julia Date 249
	0519 0950 0958 1330 1400 1418	6467 6517 6555 6569	18.0 17.3 18.0 18.1 18.2 18.0	16.2 16.2 16.2 16.2	-1.8 -1.1 -1.8 -1.9 -2.1		-2.5 -1.5 -2.3 -2.4 -2.4	217
9/6	0000 1150 11558 1201 1500 1635	6684 6617 6618 6819 6867 6875 6875	18.0 17.8 17.7 18.0 17.8 17.8 18.0	16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1 16.1	-1.9 -1.6 -1.6 -1.8 -1.9		-2.3 -2.1 -2.0 -2.3 -2.1 -2.3	256
- 9/12	19903501255555 1990350123450245 19222222222222222222222222222222222222	482601468027825 999999999999999999999999999999999999	188.40987650120 188.40987650120 177.1788.18	188.0 188.0	0012340123450120 -0000123450120 -0000123450120		456784321014564 	
9/13	100550 100250 100250 1003330 14505	7085 7088 7088 7089 7091 7144 7147	17.9 17.7 17.6 17.5 18.0 17.8 17.8	18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	+0.1 +0.2 +0.3 +0.4 +0.0 +0.1 +0.2 +0.3	-0.4	-0.3 -0.2 -0.1 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1	257

-1:28-

•							•		
<u>Date</u>	<u>GMT</u>	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship Draft	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*			
9/13	1513 1650 1725 1755 1839	7149 7168 7175 7182 7189 7191	18.0 18.1 18.2 18.3 18.4	18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	0.0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.4 0.0	-0.14	-0.4 -0.5 -0.6 -0.7 -0.8 -0.4	257	
9/14	50500057555555058 888889912222233333 50000022222222222	7249 7249 72255 7225 7225 7407 7407 7409	17.8 17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.17.1	18.00 18.00	+0.2 +0.4 +0.6 +0.8 +1.0 +0.2 +0.4 -0.1 +0.1 +0.2 +0.4		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	258	
	2355 2355 2310 23118 2331 2335 2335 2335	7411 7413 7414 7415 7416 7419 742	17.5 17.4 17.3 17.2 18.0 18.1 18.2	18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.0	+0.5 +0.6 +0.7 +0.8 -0.1 -0.2		+0.1 +0.2 +0.3 +0.4 -0.4 -0.5		
9/15	0007507588820500 011128820500 1112840500 11161	7443337479120324 74443555566011 7777777777777777777777777777777777	18. 17. 18. 17. 17. 17. 17. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18	17779999999999999999999999999999999999	-0.4 +0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 -0.1 +0.3 +0.3 +0.4 +0.6 -0.1	-0.4	85435432150505 	259	

Date	GMT	Pos.	Initial Setting	Amid- ship Draft	Draft vs Initial Correction	Instrument Correction*	Total Correction	Julian Date
9/16	0000 0005559 000559 001550 003350 2053	7705 7711 7714 7716 7717 7739 7831 7834 7835	18.0 17.8 17.8 17.0 18.0 17.8 18.0	17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9	-0.1 0.0 +0.1 +0.2 -0.1 -5.1 -0.1 0.0 +0.1 -0.1	-0.4	00.432555435 -00.5555435	260
9/17	0945 1045 1055 1110	7960 7962 7964 7966 7967	17.9 17.8 17.7 17.6 18.0	17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9	0.0 +0.1 +0.2 +0.3 -0.1	-0 4	-0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1	261

^{*}Instrument Correction determined by lead-line comparison = all fathometers have =0.4' correction.

LIST OF SIGNALS

1:100,000 Boat Sheets

	Signal CAB DEL NASKOK NASKOK OOSIK PERRY				Sheet 70068D 70118J 70068D 70068D 70038A 70058C		naskok naskok	Author Traver DEL, 1 EAST WEST OOSIK, PERRY	968 BASE, BASE,	1950 1950	
				Calibi	ation Sh	<u>eets</u>					
				Niyrakpak	Lagoon,	1 120	,000			•	
9	Signal CABIN, CABIN, NASKOK NASKOK	1950 EAST	BASE	· ,			naskok Naskok	Author Traver T-957 EAST WEST	se	1950 1950	
į				Point Spe	encer, i	20,0	00				•
	ASTRO AZ CON LOR TOW				CLARE		astonon oran-c	T-96 ¹ T-96 ¹	+8 +8		
			Nor	theast Cap	e, 1:40,0	000 (70138L)				
	DEL HOLM PINNAC REIM STOKE VOO				·		STO	REIM, OKE RM	1951 E, 195	51	
			Nome -	Sledge Is	land, 1:1	+0,00	0 (7.01	58n)			
	EAST J NOME C PERRY SLEDGE SLEDGE SLEDGE SUB BE	ETTY AA RA A.M. AZIM ISLA	LIGHT DIO RA S. TUTH MA	.nge .rk		, N	OME CAL SLEDO LEDGE L	C&GS A RADI PERRY, GE A.M AZIMUT ISLAND	0 RANG 1968 .S., 1 H MARK	949 , 1949 , 1950)

ABSTRACT OF CORRECTIONS TO DISTANCE MEASUREMENTS

The following list, copied from the Special Report on Ray-dist Corrections, OPR-483, Summer 1968, tabulates corrections to distance measurements:

From	To		Corrections	
Pos.#	Pos.#	PERRY	OOSIK	DEL
20 127 276 292 320 701 940 1041 1062	125 274 290 317 698 937 1040 1061 1106	000000000		0000001+1+1+
1143 1168 1193 1218 1219 1243 1268 1296 1298	1167 1192 1217 1242 1267 1293 1297 1301 1322	000 01 23345000	0000111034	
1324 1334 1335 1335 1337 1378 1592 1624 1984 2087 2174	1333 - - 1375 1591 1617 1842 1981 2049 2086 2172 2282	0001 mg 000000 mg.	04000070	10 mmm

From	To		Corrections	
Pos∙#	To Pos.#	PERRY	OOSIK	DEL
22333333333333333333333333333333333333	23-647861:3962523333333333333333333333333333333333	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	0+000000000000000000000000000000000000	

בינו אבר אבר על עם יונים עם אבר בעם בים יודים.

From	To		Corrections	
Pos.#	Pos.#	PERRY	OOSIK	DEL
6323 6333 6368 6538 6548	6332 6366 6537 6547 6733	+17 +17 0 0		-3 ⁴ -37 0 -2 -7
6735 6765 6771 6913	6764 67 70 6911 694 7	0 0 0 -2	0 +1 +3 +1	
6949	6988	- 2	640	· 0
6989 7208 7718 7734 7855 7881 7883 7895 7920 8 042	7205 7717 7733 7854 7880 7882 7894 7919 8041 8080	-2 03 +3 +2 +1 53 +36 0	+1 0 -6 -5 -7 +23 0	

PERRY -	DEL
20 -	1107
1325 -	1375
6323 🛥	6733
6949 -	6988

FORM C&GS-504

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey HYDROCRAPHIC
Field No. OPR-483 Office No. H-9025
LOCALITY
State ALASKA
General locality BERING SEA
Locality NORTON SOUND
19.68 & 169
CHIEF OF PARTY
E. W. Richards
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

DATE 14 SEP 1970

Processing Office Notes - OPR-483, Norton Sound, Alaska

H-9020

The Ship SURVEYOR worked on this sheet in 1968 and 1969.

This sheet was relatively free of major discrepancies. Crosslines were within allowable limits and junctions were satisfactory.

There is a series of ridges running in a Northwest to Southeast direction and approximately Lat. 64°57'30", Long. 167°45'00".

This sheet junctions with H-9021 and H-9022 (1968-1969).

H-9021

Work on this sheet was by the Ship SURVEYOR in 1968 and 1969.

The 1968 work on this sheet had differences of up to 3 feet in deeper water (D scale), most of which were eliminated by adjustment in depths. The 1969 work appears to agree quite well within itself. Generally the differences between the 1968 and 1969 work is a maximum of two feet, which is about the maximum in each year's work by itself.

This sheet junctions with H-9020, H-9022, H-9024 (1968-1969).

H-9022

This is the inshore sheet that had the R. Station on it. Not much trouble was encountered on the sheet, other than the normal things. Both baselines were on this sheet and there were some instances when the positions were computed on the wrong side of the baseline. Very little difference in the 1968 and 1969 soundings was found. Only the Ship SURVEYOR worked on this sheet. This sheet joins H-9020, H-9021, H-9024, H-9025 and H-9026 (1968-1969).

H-9023

This survey was accomplished by the Ship SURVEYOR in 1968 and 1969.

No particular difficulties were encountered on this sheet. The soundings are in good agreement, with a maximum discrepancy of about two feet.

This sheet junctions with H-9021 and H-9024 (1968-1969).

This sheet is an incomplete survey.

H-9024

The work on this sheet was accomplished by the Ship OCEANOGRAPHER and SURVEYOR in 1968 and by the SURVEYOR in: 1969.

No adjustments were made to the SURVEYOR'S 1969 soundings. Up to four feet was added to the SURVEYOR 1968 work to get agreement with the 1969 soundings. One to five feet was added to the 1968 OCEANOGRAPHER soundings to get agreement with the 1968 and 1969 soundings by the SURVEYOR.

Corrections to the soundings on this sheet were applied as outlined in the memorandum from the Chief of the Chart Division, dated 5-4-70 and referenced C324.

This sheet junctions with H-9021, H-9022, H-9023, H-9025, and H-9027.

H-9025

The work on this sheet was by the OCEANOGRAPHER and SURVEYOR in 1968 and by the SURVEYOR in 1969.

The same adjustments were made on this sheet as were mentioned for H-9024.

This sheet joins H-9022, H-9024, H-9026 and H-9048.

H-9026

The work on this sheet was accomplished by the Ship SURVEYOR in both 1968 and 1969.

The 1968 work consisted of only about 40 positions which were in satisfactory agreement with the 1969 work.

The 1969 work agree very well with itself with the maximum difference at crossing only one foot.

This is an incomplete sheet and will be finished in 1970.

This sheet joins H-9022 and H-9025.

· H-9027

This sheet was accomplished by the OCEANOGRAPHER and SURVEYOR in 1968 and the SURVEYOR in 1969.

Adjustments were made to the 1968 soundings as were made on H-9024 and H-9025.

There is a series of ridges east of Northeast Cape at about Lat. 63°15'N and Long. 168°20' and 168°30'W. that lie in a N.E., S.W. direction. This area was not thoroughly developed and it was recommended, by the Hydrographer, that it be done later.

This sheet junctions with H-9024 and H-9048.

This is an incomplete survey.

H-9048

All the work on this sheet was done by the Ship SURVEYOR in 1969.

The soundings appear to agree very well, at crossings, with the maximum difference being about one foot.

This is an incomplete sheet and makes junctions with H-9025 and H-9027.

JUNCTIONS

The junstions on all sheets were butt junctions because the whole project was run as though it was one sheet. We believe all junctions to be in satisfactory agreement.

SHORELINE

No shoreline was applied to these sheets because there was no inshore hydrography.

CONTROL

The control for the Ship OCEANOGRAPHER in 1968 was mostly Loran-C with some Raydist, when the SURVEYOR wasn't on the working area. The control for the Ship SURVEYOR in both 1968 and 1969 was Raydist. There was, however, a change of frequency from 3281 Khz in 1968 to 3300.4 Khz in 1969.

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Mar William M. Martin

Supervisory Carto. Tech. Pacific Marine Center

Approved and Forwarded,

K. William Jeffers, CVII, USESSA Chief, Processing Division

Pacific Marine Center

FORM COULT (11-43) (FHC5, RY 4.0, SV-19) UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

TO

Chief, Processing Division

Pacific Marine Center

DATE: May 4, 1970

In reply refer to: C324

FROM :

Chief, Marine Chart Division

SUBJECT:

Norton Sound Survey Processing

The smooth sheets H-9020, 9021, 9022, and 9023 have been examined with special attention to crossing differences between the SURVEYOR's 1968 and 1969 work.

Although we were without benefit of the original sounding records our examination disclosed general agreement within \pm two feet as you report, together with a few discrepancies of about three feet. In many crossings the addition of a plus two-foot correction to the 1968 work would improve the crossings and very few would have suffered by this revision.

During our verification of the 1960 surveys we have attempted to bring the soundings on surveys H-8558 and H-8559 into reasonable agreement with the SURVEYOR'S 1969 overlapping work. To a large extent this was possible by applying a plus four-foot correction to the 1960 soundings. On some lines, however, the plus four foot did not adequately satisfy conditions and where this occurred an additional plus or minus correction was applied. The reason for this variation has not been determined but in general the crossings between the 1960 and 1969 work will hopefully be + two feet which under the circumstances we will consider as acceptable.

The examination of the preliminary sounding overlay for H-9025 revealed some crossing differences of two feet within the 1969 work but approximately 95 percent of the crossings are within one foot. Crossing differences within the 1968 work were within the same range and ratio and similarly between the 1968 and 1969 work. No definite pattern of disagreement is apparent and as exact agreement occurs in such a large percent of the crossings, no constant can be applied to advantage.

One section of a line of 1968 soundings identified by annotation on the position overlay is deeper than the 1969 soundings by three feet and should be verified in the records. From a comparison with H-9024 it appears that end sections of some sounding lines on H-9025 have been adjusted. The adjustments required on H-9024 will generally bring the junctional soundings into better agreement.

It is recommended that no general adjustment of soundings be made on H-9025 inasmuch as the crossing discrepancies are within + two feet.

Assuming the 1969 work to be of better quality and more rigidly controlled than the 1968 work, a comparison of the sounding lines for the two seasons on H-9024 reveals some consistency in crossing differences. On the preliminary position overlay of H-9024, there has been annotated corrections for the 1968 lines applicable in reducing crossing differences within + two feet. Some variation in the correction must be accepted to do this but the trend is apparent. An examination of the records may justify changing some of the annotations. It is recommended that this type of study be extended to complete this sheet and that correctors so obtained be applied to the soundings. The maximum additional corrector probably will be about plus four feet and the minimum will be plus two feet. The OCEANOGRAPHER's work should be corrected as necessary to bring it into line.

This solution to the problem does not indicate the reason for the discrepancies and it may be considered arbitrary but at least it will bring about a relative consistency in the data that is desirable for our main purpose in making the survey.

John O. Boyer رح

FORM 197 (3-16-55)

GEOGRAPHIC NAMES Survey No. H-9025	/	Chair Or	Serious Serios	D D	St. loca itor	St. local Mode	O Guide of	A SO WE LOW	2. Line	
Name on Survey	A	B	C	/D	E (F	G (Н	/K .	_
Bering Se Norton So	7									1
Norton Son	100	<u> </u>								2
	<u> </u>					<u> </u>				3
	1									4_
,								<u> </u>	<u> </u>	5
										6
						ļ <u>.</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		7_
				ļ <u>-</u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		8
										10
	1			,			 			11
-						,				12
										13
	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>		,			ļ			14
				<u> </u>	ļ					15
							<u> </u>		<u> </u>	16
	<u> </u>			: 						17
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>					18
								<u> </u>		19
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ 				20_
					PREP	ARED	BY		- 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	21
	 · -			B	and	Day	1	cha	/	23
·					CART	OGRAP	ніс ті	CHNIC	IAN	24
					A	PPRO	VED_B	K		25
				a.	losy	40	ra	ight		26
			·	<i>J</i>			GEOGR	APHEI		27

FORM C&GS-946 (REV. 11-65) (PRESC. BY HYDROGRAPHIC MANUAL 20-2, 6-94, 7-13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY STATISTICS HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. 9025

RECORDS ACCO	MPANYING SUR	VEY: To	be compl	leted whe	n survey	is registered.				
RECORD DESCRIPTION AMO			UNT		RECORD DESCR	RIPTION	AMOUNT			
SMOOTH SHEET & 1-PNO 1					BOAT SHEETS					
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT				2						
DESCRIPTION	DEPTH RECORDS	HORIZ.		PRINTOUTS		TAPE ROLLS	PUNCHED CARD	ABSTRACTS/ SOURCE DOCUMENTS		
ENVELOPES			··· = 1 ···							
CAHIERS										
VOLUMES										
BOXES				2	}					
T-SHEET PRINTS	(List)						•			
SPECIAL REPORT	S (List)									
	The following st					TIVITIES artographer's repo	rt on the survey			
				AMOUNTS						
PRO	DCESSING ACTIV	'!TY		PRE- VERIFICATION		VERIFICATION	REVIÉW	TOTALS		
POSITIONS ON SH	EET									
POSITIONS C	CHECKED									
POSITIONS F	REVISED									
DEPTH SOUNDING	G\$ REVISED									
DEPTH SOUNDING	SS ERRONEOUSLY	SPACED					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SIGNALS ERRONE	EOUSLY PLOTTED	ORTRANS	FERRED							
				TIME (MANHOURS)						
TOPOGRAPI	HIC DETAILS									
JUNCTIONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
VERIFICAT GRAPHIC RI	ION OF SOUNDING	\$ FROM								
SPECIAL ADJUSTMENTS										
ALL OTHER WORK										
TOTALS						200				
PRE-VERIFICATION BY							GDATE			
VERIFICATION BY	10 p 1 . c 25 t .						G DATE			
REVIEW BY	REVIEWBY PERMITS TIME DES							G DATE		
	DESIMAING DATE ENDING									

USCOMM-DC 36271-P65

FORM C&GS-946A (REV. 11-65) (PRES. BY HYDROGRAPHIC MANUAL, 6-24)

VERIFIER'S REPORT HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY, H =9025

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ESSA COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

USCOMM-DC 36272-P65

INSTRUCTIONS - This form serves to identify items of a check list in verification together with items which are separately reported to the Reviewer. The form is not to be forwarded to the Reviewer. A report, which is prepared for the Reviewer, should identify items by number and letter and will be filed in the Descriptive Report until the survey is reviewed.

- CL Check List Items: should be checked as having been completed during the verification processes.
- R Report Item: This column refers to those items reported to the reviewer and is used to indicate the items discussed.

		1			
Part I - DESCRIPTIVE REPORT	CL	R	Part III - JUNCTIONS (Continued)	CL	R
Note: The verifier should first read the Descriptive Report for general information and problems.		 	10. Junctions with contemporary surveys were satisfactory except as follows:		
 The Descriptive Report was consulted, paragraphs checked if found satisfactory, and notations were made in soft black pencil regarding action taken. Remarks Required: None 			Remarks Required: Consider conditions after adjustments have been made; note adjustments made. Make special notes of Butt junctions and areas which are SUPERSEDED.		
 Soundings originating with the survey and mentioned in the Descriptive Report have been verified and checked in soft black pencil, including latitude and longitude, together with position identification. Remarks Required: None All reference to survey sheets mentioned in the Descriptive Report should include registry 			Port IV - VOLUMES 11. All items affecting the plotting of the survey which are entered in the remarks columns of the sounding records were noted and check marked. In all cases appropriate action was taken and exceptions noted in the volumes. Remarks Required: None		
number and year. Remarks Required: None			12. Condition of sounding records was satisfactory	_	
Part II - SHORELINE AND SIGNALS 4. Source of shoreline signals Remarks Required: List all surveys		·	except as follows: Remarks Required: Mention deficiencies in completeness of notes or actions for the following:		
 Give earliest and latest dates of photo- graphs 			(n) rocks (b) line turns		
b. Field inspection date c. Field Edit date			(c) position values of beginning and ending of lines	i	
d. Reviewed-Unreviewed 5. The transfer of contemporary topographic information was carefully examined and reconciled with the hydrography. Remarks Required: Discuss remaining		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(d) bar check or velocity correctors (e) time recording (f) notes or markings on fathograms (g) was reduction of soundings accurately		
differences. 6. The plotting of all triangulation stations, topographic stations and hydrographic signals has been checked and noted in processing stamp No. 42 on the smooth sheet. Remarks Required: None			done? (h) was scanning accurate? (i) were peaks at uneven intervals missed? (j) were stamps completed? (k) references to adjacent features		
 Objects on which signals are located and which fall outside of the high-water line have been described on the sheet. Remarks Required: List those signals still unidentified. 		·	Port V - PROTRACTING 13. All positions verified instrumentally were check marked in color in the sounding records, and verifier initialed the processing stamp. Remarks Required: None		
Part III - JUNCTIONS Note: Make a cursory comparison preliminary to inking soundings in area of overlap.			14. The protracting and plotting of all unsatis-		
8. All junctions of contemporary or overlapping sheets were transferred in colored ink and overlapping curves were made identical.			factory crossings were verified. Remarks Required: None		
Remarks Required: None 9. The notation in slanted lettering "JOINS H (19)" was added in colored ink for all veri- fied contemporary adjoining or overlapping sheets. Those not verified are shown in pencil.	<u> </u>		15. All detached positions locating critical soundings, rocks, buoys, breakers, obstructions, kelp, etc., were verified and the position numbers are legible.		
Remarks Required: None			Remarks Required: None		

Part V - PROTRACTING (Continued) 16. The protracting was satisfactory except as follows: Remarks Required: Refers to protracting in general except for specific faults repeated often, or faults in control information, which required considerable replotting or adjustments.	CL	R	Patt VIII - AIDS TO NAVIGATION 26. All fixed aids located together with those on the contemporary topographic sheets, have been shown on the survey. Remarks Required: Conflicts of any nature listed.	CL	R
17. The protractor has been checked within the last three months. Remarks Required: Date of check, type of protractor and number.			27. All floating aids listed in the Descriptive Report should be verified and checked in soft black pencil, including latitude and longitude and position identification.		
Port VI - SOUNDINGS 18. All soundings are clear and legible, and critical soundings are a little larger than adjacent soundings. Remarks Required: None	. "		Remarks Required; None Part IX - BOAT SHEET 28. The boat sheet was constantly compared with the smooth sheet with reference to notes, position of sounding lines and supplemental information.		
 Sounding line crossings were satisfactory except as follows: 			Remarks Required: None		
Remarks Required: Discuss adjustments.			29. Heights of rocks awash were correctly reduced and compared with topographic information.		
20. The spacing of soundings as recorded in the records was closely followed; Remarks Required: None			Remarks Required: Note excessive con- flicts with topographic information.		
21. The scanning, reduction, spacing, plotting of questionable soundings have been verified.			Port X • GENERAL 30. All information on the sheet is shown in accordance with figures 82 and 83 in the Hydrographic Manual (Pub. 20-2).		
Remarks Required: None			Remarks Required: None		
22. The smooth plotting of soundings was satisfactory except as follows:					
Remarks Required: — Refer to legibility, errors in spacing, and errors in numbers - but not to errors in scanning.			31. Unnecessary pencil notes have been removed from the sheet. Remarks Required: None		
			Remarks Required: None		
			32 Degree, minute values and symbols have		
Part VII - CURVES 23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the penciled curves inspected.			been checked; also electronic distance arcs have been properly identified and checked on the smooth sheet.		
23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the pen-			have been properly identified and checked on		
 23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the penciled curves inspected. 24. The low-water line and delineation of shoal areas have been properly shown in accordance with the following: c. From T-Sheet in dotted black lines b. From soundings in orange 			have been properly identified and checked on the smooth sheet.		
 23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the penciled curves inspected. 24. The low-water line and delineation of shoal areas have been properly shown in accordance with the following: a. From T-Sheet in dotted black lines 			have been properly identified and checked on the smooth sheet. Remarks Required: — None 33. The bottom characteristics are adequately		
 23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the penciled curves inspected. 24. The low-water line and delineation of shoal areas have been properly shown in accordance with the following: c. From T-Sheet in dotted black lines b. From soundings in orange c. Approximate position of sketched curve is 			have been properly identified and checked on the smooth sheet. Remarks Required; — None 33. The bottom characteristics are adequately shown.		
 23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the penciled curves inspected. 24. The low-water line and delineation of shoal areas have been properly shown in accordance with the following: a. From T-Sheet in dotted black lines b. From soundings in orange c. Approximate position of sketched curve is dashed orange d. Approximate position of shoal area not 			have been properly identified and checked on the smooth sheet. Remarks Required: - None 33. The bottom characteristics are adequately shown. Remarks Required: None		
 23. The depth curves have been inspected before inking. Remarks Required: By whom was the penciled curves inspected. 24. The low-water line and delineation of shoal areas have been properly shown in accordance with the following: a. From T-Sheet in dotted black lines b. From soundings in orange c. Approximate position of sketched curve is dashed orange d. Approximate position of shoal area not sounded in black dashed 			have been properly identified and checked on the smooth sheet. Remarks Required: — None 33. The bottom characteristics are adequately shown. Remarks Required: None Part XI - NOTES TO THE REVIEWER 34. Unresolved discrepancies and questionable		

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO. H-9025

INSTRUCTIONS

- A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

 1. Letter all information.

 2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

 3. Give reasons for deviations, if any, from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.

CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	REMARKS
9380	9/20/71	O.S. Forker	Fatt Part Before Man Verification Review Inspection-Signature
		DIKENNON	Drawing No. Examined - no critical corrections
			Added 14 sags to fill youd area-
9380	2/18/73	James Graha	Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	/ /		Drawing No. 12 Reused mise snows 2nd
			curves and added fill snows.
9302	2//5/73	James Graha	CUIVES and added fill snots. Pull Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No. 19 Hpp of MICS Corrections
			thru cht. 9380 dwg *12
9310	10/30/13	E Frey	Full Part Before Afeer Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
		<u> </u>	Drawing No. Revised mise sogs and added
			sdgs in holiday area
9370 (1624	10/26/77	KAUIS	Full Past Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No. 9 FINAL Application of Cat 1 Survey
			EXAM - NO COCK
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	1		Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			
		<u></u>	Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
	 		District Control of the Control of t
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			
··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		
			
····			·
 .			
			
	[<u> </u>	

