9266

Diag. Cht. No. 902

NOAA FORM 76-35A

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

(HYDROGRAPHIC)

ey H YDROG RAPHIC	
MT_20_1_72	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
H-9266	7

	,
LOCALITY	
PUERTO RICO	
מטאשע מווויים	
FFSHORE - PUNTA PETRONA	
TO PUNTA CARENERO	••••
19 72	
, (1)	
the state of the s	
Company of the Compan	
LIBRARY & ARCHIVES	
2-17-77	•
	LOCALITY PUERTO RIGO cality SOUTH COAST FFSHORE - PUNTA PETRONA TO PUNTA CARENERO 19 72 CHIEF OF PARTY E. K. McCAPFREY LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

☆ U.S. GOV. PRINTING OFFICE: 1975-,668-353

FORM C&GS-537 5-66)	REGISTER NO.	
	HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET	н-9266
	e Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, by as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.	FIELD NO. MI-20-1-72
State	Puerto Rico	
General locality_	South Coast	
Locality		a Carenero JD 073 145 Leep) 2 veyMar.13,1972-May 24,1972
Scale	January 5, 1972 Project No.	ί.
		1
Vessel		
Chief of party	•	
Surveyed by	Ship's Personnel (LTJG S.L. Wood	, NOAA, Officer-in-Charge)
Soundings taken b	y echo sounder, hand lead, ¥88	
Graphic record sca	oled by Ship's Personnel	
Graphic record che	cked byscanned Ver	rification Branch, AMC
Protracted by	Automa	sted plot by CALCOMP-GIB
Soundings pencile	d by Verified	by B.J. Stephenson, AMC
Soundings in 4		graph "D" of this report
D		
REMARKS:		
	applied to all	6/16/17
	V 0	Contraction of the contraction o

USCOMM-DC 37009-PAR

Descriptive Report

To Accompany

Hydrographic Survey MI-20-1-72

Registry Number H-9266

OPR-423-MI-72

South Coast of Puerto Rico

1972 Field Season

Scale 1:20,000

NOAA Ship MT MITCHELL (MSS-22)

Edwin K. McCaffrey CAPT, NOAA Commanding Officer

A. Project

This survey was accomplished as a part of Project OPR-423-MI-72 -- South Coast of Puerto Rico in accordance with Froject Instructions dated January 5, 1972.

B. Area Surveyed

This survey was conducted off the south coast of Puerto Rico, offshore Punta Petrona to Punta Carenero, during the period March 13, 1972 to May 24, 1972. The area surveyed is bounded on the east by Longitude 66°21'30"W., on the west by Longitude 66°34'30"W. and on the south by the 110 fathom curve. The northern boundary is irregular, varying from Latitude 17°51'30"N. to Latitude 17°54'30"N. to junction with the below listed contemporary surveys.

This survey junctions on the west with survey H-9034, a 1:20,000 sheet completed in 1969.

Junctions on the north are with the following contemporary surveys: H-9264 (1:10,000) 1972, H-9265 (1:10,000) 1972, H-9267 (1:10,000) 1972.

Junction on the south is with contemporary survey H-9278 (1:100,000) 1972.

Prior surveys of the area are as follows:

H-2421	1:20,000	1899
H-2736	1:40,000	1905-1906
H-2737	1:40,000	1905-1906
н-4699	1:20,000	1927

C. Sounding Vessel

Sounding on this sheet was accomplished by the Ship MT MITCHELL and Launch MI-6, a 31 foot Cummins powered Uniflite boat. Both of the sounding vessels were equipped with the HYDROPLOT system, incorporating a PDP-8/E computer and a COMPLOT PP 3-5 roll plotter.

D. Sounding Equipment and Corrections to Soundings

Soundings on this sheet were plotted in feet. The soundings by both sounding vessels, which were in deep waters over steep slopes, on the southern sheet limits, were obtained and recorded in fathoms.

All soundings were recorded using the Ross Echo Sounder, Model 5000, in conjunction with a Ross Transmitter/Receiver, Model 4000 and a Ross Depth Digitizer, Model 6000. Serial numbers are as follows:

	Ross Echo Sounder Model 5000	Ross Xmitter/Receiver Model 4000	Ross Depth Digitizer Model 6000
MT MITCHELL	1052	1052	1052
Launch MI-6	201745	201746	201747

At times during the survey, the zero adjustment of the Ross recorder drifted slightly due to stylus wear or paper drift. These errors were corrected when the fathogram was scanned. Therefore, the initial correction is always zero, and no

initial correction is reflected in any records for this survey.

It was necessary to use "blanking" on the Ross sounding gear in order for the depth digitizer to operate properly. This function blanks returns above the depth to which it is applied and eliminates stray digitized depths due to return from cavitation bubbles below the transducer. This produces a trace resembling the zero mark at the depth to which blanking was applied, but has no effect on the recorded soundings.

The graphic records were scanned by trained personnel, spot checks for errors were made by the Officer-in-Charge of the particular launch used for the day's work, and the Officer-in-Charge of the sheet. These spot checks insured that the data were correctly interpreted in accordance with Paragraphs 1-34, 5-121, and 5-122 of the Hydrographic Manual (20-2).

Velocity corrections and instrument error for Launch MI-6 were determined from bar check, serial temperature cast and RACTD-1 data acquired in the work area. Bar checks were attempted during each day of operations when sea conditions permitted.

Velocity corrections for the MT MITCHELL were determined from serial temperature and RACTD-1 data acquired in the work area.

Instrument error for the MT MITCHELL was determined by a vertical cast, in calm water, over smooth bottom, on May 17, 1972. Results indicated a -0.2 fathom instrument error. The TRA correction in the long word, on all corrector tapes for work done by the ship, reflect a +0.2 fathom instrument correction.

Copies of the Abstracts of Velocity Corrections and TC/TI tape printouts are included in this report.

Settlement and squat corrections for Launch MI-6 were determined on February 9, 1972 in the protected waters of Ponce Harbor. These determinations were made at various speeds in calm water using the level rod method as described in the Hydrographic Manual. A linear interpolation graph was used to determine settlement and squat corrections for intermediate speeds. A copy of the Abstract of Settlement and Squat corrections is included in this report.

Settlement and squat corrections for the MT MITCHELL (skeg transducer) were determined on October 8, 1969. Settlement and squat correction for a speed of 175 R.P.M. was determined to be +0.8 foot, or +0.1 fathom.

Settlement and squat corrections are applied in the TRA correction of the long word on the Hyperbolic Corrector Tape.

Transducer draft of Launch MI-6 was determined on February 9, 1972, by measurement to the waterline, which was located and marked with the boat in the water carrying a standard load. Draft was found to be 2.6 feet, and a draft correction of +2.6 is applied to all soundings obtained by Launch MI-6.

Transducer draft of the MT MITCHELL was read from after hull draft markings before and after completing hydrography. On May 22, 1972 draft was 13.4 feet and on May 24, 1972 draft was 13.3 feet. A draft correction of +2.2 fathoms was applied to all soundings obtained by the MT MITCHELL.

Draft correction is applied in the TRA correction of the corrector word on the Hyperbolic Master Tape.

Tides are to be automatically zoned from data acquired by Fischer and Porter (ADR) portable tide gages at Playa de Ponce and at Santa Isabel, Puerto Rico. Hourly heights of tides are to be furnished by the Tides Section, National Ocean Survey, Rockville, Maryland. Attention is invited to the "Descriptive Tide Note" included in this report.

E. Smooth Sheet

The smooth sheet for this survey will be prepared at the Atlantic Marine Center.

F. Control

Control for this project was hyperbolic Hi-Fix, operating at a frequency of 1618.650 KHz.

The Hi-Fix shore installations were located as follows:

Master	ISABEL	(1972) 200		17°57'25.578"N. 66°24'39.803"W.
Slave 1	HOMER	(1972) 210	Latitude	17°57'53.152"N. 66°36'58.297"W.
Slave 2	MAREAS	(1972) 220	Latitude	17°55'55.632"N. 66°09'29.483"W.

These stations were located by third order traverse.

Hi-Fix calibrations were accomplished, at frequent intervals during the day, by three point sextant fix and check angle. Calibrations using weak visual control and those taken shoreward of the operating area which were likely to have errors introduced by adjacent land mass were used for lane count only. Hundredths correctors were taken only from strong three point sextant fixes in or near the operating area to avoid falsely biasing calibration data.

When Hi-Fix correctors throughout a day of operations were in close agreement, they were averaged and the average corrector for each rate was used for the entire day. During some periods of good weather and stable electronic control, corrections for several consecutive days agreed very closely. When it was possible to do so without introducing error, an average of the correctors for all of the days which were in close agreement was used for plotting hydrography for the entire period. This is done to provide the most reasonable interpretation of Hi-Fix corrections and to minimize both human and electronic error.

A sawtooth recorder was operated and monitored constantly to maintain an accurate lane count.

Due to equipment failures, receiver changes and atmospheric conditions, correctors for some days and periods differed greatly. Correctors for these days were zoned for area and time and applied accordingly.

For further information, consult "Report on Calibration of Hi-Fix" for this project. An abstract of Hi-Fix lane correctors is included in this report.

Due to Launch MI-6 equipment failure and shortage of time, it was necessary that the MT MITCHELL obtain fourteen bottom samples and run most of the hydrography on the steep slope-

shelf area of the sheet. In order to minimize horizontal displacement between the Hi-Fix antenna and the skeg transducer, the auxiliary antenna on the ship's mainmast was used for all work. Displacement of this mast, above the transducer, is less than five meters. This proved a satisfactory arrangement for the short term of ship hydrography on this sheet.

Shoreline G.

There is no shoreline within the limits of hydrography. Thoreline transferred to smooth sheet from chart for orientation H. Crosslines Purpose only . E.

Crosslines were run to the extent of nine percent of the regular system of sounding lines. Agreement at crossings was excellent, being within one foot in all cases.

I. Junctions

(WH 20-2-69) BUS Junction with H-9034 (1969) was only fair. Those sounding discrepancies observed were, at most, no more than two to five feet. This disagreement is believed largely attributable to the irregularity and steep slope of the bottom.

All other junctions are with contemporary surveys. Excellent agreement with these was noted with all junction soundings within one foot of agreement.

J. Comparison with Prior Surveys

All of the boatsheet and overlay soundings have been plotted without application of velocity and tide data but indicated least depths on overlays have been corrected for sound velocity.

Development Overlay 1

Presurvey Review - C&GS 902

The charted 12 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'17"N. Longitude 66°34'12"W. should be recharted as follows. For charting purposes, consideration should be given to the two 70 foot echo soundings on the boatsheet at Latitude 17°51'24"N. Longitude 66°34'18"W. and Latitude 17°51'30"N. Longitude 66°34'18"W. These soundings, with the velocity correction applied, read 75 feet. 72 ft on smooth Sheet Pas. Line 374-375

The charted ll fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'01"N. Longitude 66°32'12"W. should be shifted slightly northwest to Latitude 17°51'08"N. Longitude 66°32'21"W. The 66 foot boatsheet sounding (69 feet with velocity correction applied) at this location marks the western end of a narrow east-west trending ridge approximately 1.4 miles in length. Depths on the ridge range between 49 - 66 feet. The 66 foot boatsheet sounding (69 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°51'07"N. Longitude 66°32'21" W. should be given consideration when preparing charts of the area.

The charted 10 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°50'54"N. Longitude 66°31'54"W. is correct and may remain as charted. It is located on the ridge described above, and should be accompanied by a 52 foot sounding (54 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°50'57" N. Longitude 66°31'33"W. 60 ft on Smooth Sheet possible 327-328

The charted 8 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'04"N. Longitude 66°31'20"W. is correct and may remain as charted. Several 48 foot soundings (49 feet with velocity correction applied) appear on the boatsheet and on Development Overlay #1 in this area. These soundings also are located on the ridge described above, and represent the shoalest depths thereon. These 49 foot soundings should appear on charts of the area.

The ridge discussed in the above three paragraphs extends south to Latitude 17°50'51"N. Selected representative soundings from this ridge should be used when preparing future charts of the area.

Presurvey Review - C&GS 926

The charted 73 foot sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°52'06"N. Longitude 66°26'13"W. and the charted 68 foot sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'51"N. Longitude 66°26'18"W. lie on an east-west trending ridge approximately one mile in length. The charted 73 foot sounding should be changed to 69 feet. A 66 foot sounding appears on the development overlay in this area (69 feet with velocity correction applied). The charted 68 foot sounding is correct and may remain as charted. The least depth in the immediate area is, however, a 64 foot sounding on the overlay (67 feet 62 ft on 5 meeth, 5 heet post line 1415-1416

(7)

with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°51'53"N. Longitude 66°26'14"W., which should appear on the chart.

Also to be given consideration for charting purposes are the 64 foot (67 feet with velocity correction applied) soundings on the overlay at Latitude 17°52'03"N. Longitude 66°26'08"W., the 63 foot boatsheet sounding (66 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°52'09"N. Longitude 66°25'50"W. and the 62 foot overlay soundings (65 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°52'02"N. Longitude 66°26'00" W. and Latitude 17°52'07"N. Longitude 66°25'42"W.

The charted 65 foot sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'54"N. Longitude 66°23'54"W. is correct as charted. The least depth in the area is represented by the 56 foot overlay soundings (58 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°51'48"N. Longitude 66°23'52"W. and Latitude 17°51'53"N. Longitude 66°24'05"W. These 58 foot soundings should be represented on charts of the area. 58 ft on 5 month 5 heat

Presurvey Review - C&GS 902

Pos. line 1450-1451

1454-1455

B.

The charted 11 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'29"N. Longitude 66°23'20"W. should be replaced by the 61 foot overlay sounding (64 feet with velocity correction applied) at this location. In addition, the 59 foot overlay sounding (61 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°51'28"N. Longitude 66°23'19"W. and the 60 foot overlay sounding (63 feet with velocity correction applied) should be considered for preparing charts of the area.

The charted 12 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'03"N. Longitude 66°22'16"W. was not found and should be deleted from the chart. It should be replaced by the 72 foot boatsheet sounding (75 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°51'12"N. Longitude 66°22'19"W. This represents the least depth in the area. 73ft on Smooth Sheet Positive 1351-1352

The charted 19 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°51'00"N. Longitude 66°27'40"W. was not found and should be deleted from the chart. The least depth in the immediate area is 126 feet.

The charted 13 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°49'55"N. Longitude 66°24'54"W. should be replaced

RIS

by the 71 foot boatsheet sounding (74 feet with velocity correction applied) at this location. 73 ft an Smooth sheet for line 697-698 gr.

The presurvey review items discussed below lie on a narrow ridge along the continental slope of Puerto Rico. This ridge is extensive and very pronounced, rising approximately 40 to 80 feet above the bottom along a large part of the slope in the area surveyed.

The charted 11 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°50'06"N. Longitude 66°23'21"W. was not found and should be deleted from the chart. Shoalest depth at this location is 76 feet (with velocity correction applied). The least depth in the area is the 70 feet everlay sounding (73 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°50'10" N. Longitude 66°23'17"W. This 73 feet sounding should appear on the chart. The several other 71 foot and 72 foot soundings in the immediate area should be considered when preparing charts. 73 ft on 3 month 5 heet post line 1489-1490.

The charted 11 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°49'45"N. Longitude 66°25'12"W. is correct as charted. The depth at this location is 67 feet, (70 feet with velocity correction applied). Also to be considered for charting the area are the 65 foot soundings (68 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°49'42"N. Longitude 66°25'03"W. and Latitude 17°49'45"N. Longitude 66°24'48"W. These 68 foot soundings represent the least depth in the area.

The charted ll fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°49'54"N. Longitude 66°26'07"W. should be shifted north to Latitude 17°49'57"N. Several 67 foot soundings (70 feet with velocity correction applied) represent the least depth at this location. The least depth in the area is represented by the 66 foot boatsheet sounding (69 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°50'00"N. Longitude 66°26'24"W. and Latitude 17°49'55"N. Longitude 66°25'56"W.

The charted 11 fathom sounding, presurvey review item, at Latitude 17°50'00"N. Lengitude 66°27'15"W. should be changed to 10½ fathoms. The least depth in the area is represented by a 61 feet everlay sounding (64 feet with velocity correction applied) at this location. Also to be considered for charting purposes are the 63 foot soundings (66 feet with velocity correction applied) at Latitude 17°49'58"N. Longitude 66°27'16"W. and Latitude 17°49'58"N. Longitude 66°27'22"W. 2°63 ft on Smooth Sheet postume 1379-1360.

(9)

Soundings from prior survey H-2736 were generally three feet deeper than those on the boatsheet. This difference is more pronounced on the eastern end of the sheet.

Soundings from prior survey H-2737 were usually within two or three feet of the boatsheet soundings.

Agreement with prior survey H-2421 was within three to five feet.

There were few soundings from prior survey H-4699 within the limits of hydrography, but agreement with those soundings was excellent, differences being not more than one foot.

K. Comparison with the Chart

The largest scale charts of the area covered by this survey are as follows:

C&GS Chart 909 (6 th Edition) 1:20,000 June 27, 1970 C&GS Chart 902 (10th Edition) 1:100,000 March 28, 1970

Agreement with C&GS Chart 909 was excellent, with soundings usually agreeing within one foot. The 60 foot curve on the chart is in good agreement with boatsheet data.

Agreement with C&GS Chart 902 was fair, beatsheet soundings being generally from two to nine feet shealer than charted soundings. There is good agreement with the 100 fathom curve from the chart, on the eastern end of the sheet, but in the west, the 100 fathom curve lies generally north of the charted position. Some specific charting differences are discussed in the section "Comparison with Prior Surveys" Presurvey Review Items.

L. Adequacy of the Survey

This survey is complete and adequate to supersede prior surveys of the area for charting purposes.

M. Aids to Navigation

There are no floating aids to navigation within the sheet limits.

The Isla Caja de Muertos Light is within the sheet limits but outside the limits of hydrography. The description on the charts and in the Light List is correct and adequate. The light adequately serves its purpose. For further information, consult the Descriptive Report to accompany Hydrographic Survey H-9265 (1972).

N. Statistics

This survey made use of 1768 position fixes. Twenty position numbers were rejected. Using the HYDROPLOT system, each sounding is also a fixed position, with the exception of insert soundings and soundings obtained during Hi-Fix lane jumps. These soundings were resolved during off-line plotting. Position Numbers 1469 through 1482 (Bottom Samples #7 through #20) and 2000 through 2221 were used by the ship. Position Numbers 0001 through 1468 and 1483 through 1586 represent work done by Launch MI-6.

433.5 nautical miles of hydrography were run on the beatsheet, encompassing an area of 30.5 square nautical miles. This included 373.4 nautical miles of regular sounding lines, 30.5 nautical miles of crosslines and 29.6 nautical miles of development lines.

Twenty bottom samples were obtained. The bottom samples were forwarded to Dr. J.W. Pierce, Department of Sedimentology, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, D.C. 20560, in accordance with latest instructions.

O. <u>Miscellaneous</u>

All times used on this project are Greenwich Mean Time. The approximate longitude of the area of operations is 66°30'W.

A Hydrographic Operations Log Book (Sounding Volume) was used for recording remarks and pertinent data appropriate to the survey.

Insert soundings and soundings obtained during Hi-Fix lane jumps are plotted on time and course. Soundings, during lane jumps, have an indicator of "3" on the Electronic Corrector Tape.

The boatsheet supplied to the Atlantic Marine Center is not

corrected for smooth tides, instrument error or the velocity of sound in sea water. These corrections were not available at the time the sheet was plotted. All positions do reflect Hi-Fix corrections and all soundings are corrected for draft and settlement and squat.

The original Sheet "P" and the accompanying mylar overlay showing comparison soundings were constructed at the Pacific Marine Center using polyconic projection. Sheet "P" was not forwarded with the records as it served no useful purpose. The field sheets submitted to the Atlantic Marine Center were constructed using modified transverse mercator projection for use with the HYDROPLOT system.

Recommendations

The narrow ridge extending along the continental slope should be delineated by representative soundings from this survey during preparation of future charts. Information concerning this feature would be valuable to the scientific community as well as the commercial and sport fishing industries.

Bottom chan would have been desirable on these ridges.

Q. Reference to Reports

The fellowing reports should be consulted for additional information concerning this survey:

Calibration of Hi-Fix Report Corrections to Echo Soundings Report Descriptive Report to accompany Survey H-9265 (1972)

Respectfully Submitted:

Stephen L. Wood Stephen L. Wood

LTJG, NOAA

Approved and Forwarded:

Edwin K. McCaffrey CAPT, NOAA

Commanding Officer

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY

TIDE NOTE FOR HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET

Processing Division: Atlantic Marine Center

Hourly heights are approved for

Tide Station Used (NOAA Form 77-12):

Muelle de Ponce

Santa Isabel

Period: 3 Feb. - 25 May 1972

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET: H-9266

OPR: 423

Locality: South Coast of Puerto Rico

Plane of reference (mean lower low water):

Santa Isabel

Height of Mean High Water above Plane of Reference is

Remarks:

Zone direct on either gage. Note: Tabulations on GMT.

ATLANTIC MARINE CENTER VERIFICATION OF SMOOTH TIDES

SURVEY H- 9266

PLANE OF REFERENTIME MERIDIAN:	NCE: MLW	OR XXXXX O CMT				
HEIGHT DATUM ON	STAFFS: 1.	3.1 2.		3	4.	
TIDE STATIONS	POSITION	TYPE GAGE	TIME H.W.	CORR.	HEIGHT	CORR.* L.W.
l. Santa Isabel, Puerto Rico	φ17°57.3° λ66°24.4°	standard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.	$\phi \lambda$				·	·
3.	φ λ		•			
4.	φ λ	•				
HOURLY HEIGHTS:	X FROM R	COCKVILLE O	FFICE			
•	FROM F	TELD MARIG	RAMS	VERI	FIED BY: I	Rockville
TIDE ZONING:	X NOT AF	PPLICABLE			v	
	BY COM	IPUTER				
		WO OR MORE	GAGES			•
LIMITS AND DESC	RIPTION OF 7.0	ONING METHO	DS:			
:						
3			٠			4
TIDE CORRECTION	S COMPFLED:	X BY CO	MPÜTER	VERI	FIED BY:	<u>GFT</u>
	•	MANUA MANUA	LĻY	VERI	FIED BY:	
HEIGHT OF MHW A	BOVE PLANE O	F REFERENCE	:	0.7		
TIDE CORRECTION	S VERIFIED OF	N SOUNDING	PRINTO	UT BY:	GFT	· .
DATE OF VERIFIC	CATION:	9/4/74	 .			

*OR RATIO

EXAMINED AND APPROVED

obdiently offer VELOCITY TABLE 11

					, K				
CORRECTION	TO	DEPTH	CORRECTION	TO	DEPTH	CORRECTION	TO	DEPTH	اليونونونونونونونونونونونونونونونونونونون
	e skyt	3.0	7.0		172.6	27 6		504.0	
- 67/					1	21.5	7	524.0	100
.4	1.	6.4	7.5	vi i	184.7	22.0	14.18.	535.0	4.47
.2		9.9	8.0		196.8	22.5		546.0	1.4
0.0	¥.	13.1	8.5		210.0	23.0		557.0	14.
+ .2	1	16.6	9.0		221.0	the stage of the s		569.0	1.00
.4	1	20.0	9.5		232.0	24.0	A A	582.0	1.70
.6	100	23.5	10.0	1444	245.0	24.5		595.0	
.8		26.7	10.5	4	256.0	25.0		605.0	
1.0		30.3	11.0	17	270.0	25.5		617.0	7.7
1.2		33.6	11.5	91 94	283.0	26.0	, P.	629.0	
1.4		37.2	12.0		295.0	26.5		640.0	
1.6	•	41.0	12.5	p la	305.0	27.0		655.0	3.06.90
1.8		46.0	13.0		316.0	27.5		665.0	4
2.0		50.5	13.5		327.0	28.0	.	677.0	
2.2		55.3	14.0		341.0	28.5		690.0	
2.4		60.0	14.5	4. \$	354.0	29.0	3.24	703.0	
2.6		64.8	15.0		365.0	29.5	439	715.0	
2.8		69.9	15.5	100	377.0	30.0		725.0	
3.0		74.1	16.0		388.0	30.5		737.0	, a stiffered
3.2		78.9	16.5		402.0	31.0		750.0	
3.4		83.5	17.0	100	415.0	31.5		762.0	
3.6		88.1	17.5	100	426.0	32.0		775.0	
3.8		93.5	18.0	4	437.0	32.5		785.0	
4.0		101.8	18.5		450.0	33.0		799.0	
4.5		113.6	19.0		464.0	33.5		812.0	
5.0		125.6	19.5		475.0	34.0		825.0	7
5.5		137.5	20.0		487.0	34.5		837.0	
6.0	100	149.2	20.5	P-7	500.0	35.0		851.0	
6.5		161.1	21.0		512,0	35.5		865.0	
			70		F =				

VELOCITY TABLE 12

CORRECTION	TO	DEPTH	CORRECTION	то	DEPTH	CORRECTION	TO	DEPTH	· · · · ·
+ 0.0		1.9	13.0		316.0	32.0		769.0	
.2		7.0	13.5	1	327.0	32.5		782.0	
.4		11.9	14.0		338.0	33.0		795.0	
.6		16.6	14.5		350.0	33.5		806.0	
.8		21.4	15.0		364.0	34.0		820.0	
1.0		26.0	15.5	. *	376.0	34.5		835.0	
1.2		31.0	16.0		387.0	35.0		851.0	
1.4		35.9	16.5	4	399.0	35.5		865.0	
1.6		40.5	17.0		411.0	36.0		878.0	
1.8		45.3	17.5		423.0	36.5		894.0	
2.0		50.0	18.0		435.0	37.0		908.0	
2.2		55.0	18.5		448.0	37.5	٠.	925.0	
2.4		60.0	19.0		460.0	38.0		937.0	
2.6		64.9	19.5	! .	472.0	38.5		951.0	5 5
2.8		69.7	20.0		485.0	39.0		967. 0	
3.0		74.3	20.5		497.0	39.5		984.0	
3.2		79.1	21.0		506.0	40.0		999.0	
3.4		84.0	21.5		520.0	40.5		1012.0	
3.6		88.5	22.0		531.0	41.0		1026.0	
3.8		93.4	22.5		545.0	41.5		1040.0	
4.0		102.0	23.0		556,0	42.0		1056.0	
4.5		114.0	23.5		568.0	42.5		1072.0	
5.0		126.0	24.0		580.0	43.0		1086.0	
5.5		137.9	24.5		590.0	43.5		1102.0	
6.0		150.0	25.0		603.0	44.0		1116.0	
6.5		161.5	25.5		616.0	44.5		1132.0	
7.0		173.1	26.0		627.0	45.0		1147.0	
7.5		185.6	26.5		638.0	45.5		1165.0	
8.0		197.4	27.0		650.0	46.0		1176.0	
8.5		209.0	27.5		665.0	46.5		1190.0	
9.0		220.0	28.0		675.0	47.0		1205.0	
9.5		232.0	28.5		686.0	47.5		1220.0	
10.0	,	245.0	29.0		699.0	48.0		1231.0	.30
10.5		256.0	29.5		710.0	48.5		1246.0	
11.0		267.0	30.0		724.0	49.0		1258.0	
11.5		279.0	30.5		735.0	49.5		1270.0	
12.0		291.0	31.0		746.0	50.0		1286.0	2.3
12.5		305.0	31.5		757.0				

appear to be too large O.K.

ATLANTIC MARINE CENTER APPROVAL SHEET FOR AUTOMATED SURVEY H-9266

A. All revisions and additions made on the smooth sheet during verification have been entered in the magnetic tape records for this survey. A new final position printout has/here been made. A new final sounding printout has/here been made.

Date: /- 28-77

Signed: William & Jomm

Title:

Chief, Verification Branch

B. The verified smooth sheet has been inspected, is complete, and meets the requirements of the Hydrographic and AMC Manuals. Exceptions are listed in the verifier's report.

Date: 1-28-77

Signed: Polent a Tram

Title: Chief, Processing Division

Approval Sheet Field Number MI-20-1-72 H-9266 Registry Number

The field work and processing of data from this hydrographic survey was under my immediate daily supervision. The boatsheet (COMPLOT Sheets - one with regular sounding lines and two development overlays) and all records have been reviewed and are approved by me. This survey is complete and adequate to supersede all prior surveys of the area.

Edwin K. McCaffrey/CAPT, NOAA

Commanding Officer

NOAA FORM 76-155 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (11-72) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION								SURVEY NUMBER		
GEOGRAPHIC NAMES								н-9266	,	
Name on Survey	/A°	M EMART NO	NO. Con	D FREE TO DE	THELE THE OCAL THE OH THE O	or un	C GRAV	P WENTLL	s. Light Li	, ¹
PUERTO RICO (YA										1
PUNTA PETRONA (TIT	re)									2
PUNTA CARENERO (iTLE)									3
ISLA CAUA DE MUERTOS									-	4
CAYO BERBERIA										5
CAYOS CABEZAZOS										6
CARIBBEAN SEA										7
ISLA MORRILLITO										8
PLAYA DE SANTA ISABEL										9
						!				10
										11
										12
						:				13
								-		14
										15
·		<u> </u>								16
										17
						A PPRO	VFD			18
					Ch	aE	ا معالم	X		19
					STAF	F GEOG	RAPHER	200		20
								- C5)	k 2	21
					23	MAY	1977			22
										23
									1	24
	1									25

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY STATISTICS HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY NO. $\frac{H-9266}{MI-20-1-72}$

RECORDS ACCOMPANYING SURVEY: To be completed when survey is registered.

RECORD DESCRIPTION AMO			UNT		RECORD DESCR	RIPTION	AMOUNT	
& smooth PNO.			1	1 BOAT SHEETS (PAPER) 2 B/S OVI			³ • 1	
DESCRIPTIVE RE				2				
DESCRIPTION	DEPTH RECORDS	HORIZ.				TAPE ROLLS	PUNCHED CARDS	ABSTRACTS/ SOURCE DOCUMENTS
AGOOFGEOR-	¥	-		1-01	poth-			
CAHIERS	1-with raw			1	K			
VOLUMES	3 /							
BOXES				l-smo	oth c.deta			

T-SHEET PRINTS (List)

NONE

SPECIAL REPORTS (Liet)

OFFICE PROCESSING ACTIVITIES

The following statistics will be submitted with the cartographer's report on the survey

	AMOUNTS						
PROCESSING ACTIVITY	PRE- VERIFICATION	VERIFICATION	REVIEW	TQTALS			
POSITIONS ON SHEET				1768			
POSITIONS CHECKED		175					
POSITIONS REVISED	-	25					
DEPTH SOUNDINGS REVISED		250					
DEPTH SOUNDINGS ERRONEOUSLY SPACED							
SIGNALS ERRONEOUSLY PLOTTED OR TRANSFERRED							
		TIME (MA	NHOURS)				
TOPOGRAPHIC DETAILS		1					
JUNCTIONS		8					
VERIFICATION OF SOUNDINGS FROM GRAPHIC RECORDS							
SPECIAL ADJUSTMENTS							
ALL OTHER WORK		203					
5/24/77 TOTALS QC.1,-F.F. SAUKSBUR	r	212		25 kg			
PRE-VERIFICATION BY G.D. Hendrix, R.G. Cram, B.J.		BEGINNINGDATE n 06/21/7		G DATE 5/16/75			
VERIFICATION BY		BEGINNING DATE	1 1	G DATE			
B.J. Stephenson		06/19/		1/22/77			
REVIEW BY		BEGINNING DATE	ENDIN	G DATE			
Q.C.I F.P.SAULSBURY	•						

Caralan 64- 5/19/77 R.O. Sanucki 6/8/17 6 Ma.
Caralan 64- 5/19/77 . U.S. G.P.O. 1972-769-562/439 REG.#6

REGISTRY NO. <u>H-9266 (1972</u>)

The Computer and Excess Sounding Cards for this survey have not been corrected to reflect the changes made to the Computer Card and Excess Card Printouts at this time of the review.

When the cards have been updated to reflect the final results of the survey, the following shall be completed:

CARDS CORRECTED

DATE	TIME	REQUIRED_	INITIALS_	· .
REMARKS:			. **	

REGISTRY NO. 4-9266

The magnetic tape containing the data for this survey has not been corrected to reflect the changes made during evaluation and review.

When the magnetic tape has been updated to reflect the final results of the survey, the following shall be completed:

	MAGNETIC TAPE	CORRECTED	
DATE 9-23-82	TIME REQUIRED		INITIALS J
REMARKS:			

H-9266

Items for Future Presurvey Reviews

None

Positio Lat.	n Index Long.	Bottom Change Index	Use <u>Index</u>	Resurvey Cycle
174	0663	1	1	50 years
175	0663	2	1	50 years
175	0664	2	2	50 years

ATLANTIC MARINE CENTER VERIFIER'S REPORT

REGISTRY NO. H-9266

FIELD NO. MI-20-1-72

Puerto Rico, South Coast, Offshore, Punta Petrona to Punta Carenero

SURVEYED: March 13 through May 24, 1972

SCALE: 1:20,000 PROJECT NO.: OPR-423

SOUNDINGS: Ross Model 5,000 CONTROL: HI-FIX,

Fathometer Hyperbolic Mode

Verified and Inked by B.J. Stephenson

1. Introduction

No unusual problems were encountered during verification; however, the Projection Parameter was revised and the red changes in the Descriptive Report were made by the verifier.

2. Control and Shoreline

- a. The control is adequately described in paragraph F of the Descriptive Report.
- b. The shoreline was taken from C&GS Chart 926 and is shown in brown for orientation purposes only.

Hydrography

- a. Depths at crossings are in good agreement.
- b. The usual depth curves are adequately delineated. Several dashed curves and brown curves have been added to emphasize important isolated bottom features.
- c. The development of the bottom configuration and the investigation of least depths are considered adequate, with the following exception: Additional lines at latitude 17° 51.3' and longitude 66° 34.3' would be desirtable. development is adequate F.P.S.
- d. The pre-survey review, dashed-circled soundings, are adequately described in paragraph J of the Descriptive Report.

Condition of Survey

The smooth sheet and accompanying overlays, hydrographic records, and reports are adequate to conform to the requirements of the Provisional Hydrographic Manual.

Junctions 5.

Adequate junctions have been effected with the following contemporary surveys:

```
H-9486 (1975) on the east
H-9265 (1972) on the north (central part)
H-9264 (1972) on the north (western part)
H-9278 (1972) on the south (western part)
```

The smooth sheets for H-9267 (1972) and H-9034 (1969) have been verified and forwarded to Rockville. A junction, utilizing copies of these surveys, has been made to the present survey. The junctions on H-9267 and H-9034 should be accomplished at the Quality Control level.

H-9029 (1969) has been verified and forwarded to KOCKVILLE. This survey joins the edge of H-9266 (1972). A spot junction was made at the Atlantic Marine Center with a copy. H-9034 (1969) covers the same area. Quality Control should confirm the 42 foot sounding on H-9029 (1969) at approximate latitude 17° 51.0', longitude 66° 34.5' and make a junction if necessary. The 42 solg is in fathoms, its converted value, 252 ft., is in agreement with Junctional solgs for the 42 solg is in fathoms.

Comparison With Prior Surveys

```
H-2421 (1899) 1:20,000
H-2736 (1905-06) 1:40,000
H-2737 (1905-06) 1:40,000
H-4699 (1927) 1:20,000
```

These surveys, taken together, cover the area of the present survey. A comparison between the prior surveys and the present survey reveals a variable pattern of depth differences of one to seven feet, with **Stattered** indications of stable depths.

The present depth differences range from as much as ten to twenty-five feet shoaler than prior depths. The greatest depth differences are in the vicinity of latitude 17° 53.7'N, No differences These depth differences are attributed work 15 *longitude 66° 24.5 W. to natural changes in the bottom and the less detailed and between old survey lines less accurate methods employed on the prior surveys.

The more completely developed present survey is adequate to supersede the prior surveys within the common area.

н-9266

 Comparison with Charts C&GS 926, 4th Edition, February 5, 1972 and C&GS 902, 13th Edition, December 13, 1975. Refer to paragraphs J and K of the Descriptive Report.

a. Hydrography

The charted hydrography originates with the previously discussed prior surveys, which require no further consideration, supplemented by the partial application from the boat sheet.

The present survey is adequate to supersede the charted hydrography within the common area.

b. Aids to Navigation

There are no aids to navigation in the area of the present survey.

8. Compliance with Instructions

This survey adequately complies with the Project Instructions.

9. Additional Field Work

This is an excellent basic survey. Additional field work is not recommended.

Approval Sheet for H-9266

Examined and Approved: Hydrographic Inspection Team

Date: Jan. 20, 1977

CDR Robert A. Trauschke, NOAA Chief, Processing Division

oastal Mapping Division

Douglas Mason, LT, NOAA* Chief, EDP Branch

Verification Branch

Calland Verification Branch

Approved/Forwarded

* Extended TDY

Robert C. Munson

RADM, NOAA

Director, Atlantic Marine Center



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL OCEAN SURVEY Rockville, Md. 20852

C352

March 24, 1977

T0:

a fratsil

A. J. Patrick

Chief, Marine Surveys Division

THRU:

Chief, Quality Control Branch

FROM:

F. P. Saulsbury Quality Evaluator

SUBJECT:

Quality Control Report for H-9266 (1972), Puerto Rico, South

Coast, Offshore--Punta Petrona to Punta Carenero

Survey H-9266 was inspected to evaluate the accuracy and adequacy of the survey with respect to data acquisition, delineation of the bottom, determination of least depths, navigational hazards, junctions, sounding line crossings, smooth plotting, decisions and actions taken by the verifier, and the cartographic presentation of data. In general, it was found to conform to the National Ocean Survey's standards and requirements except as follows:

- 1. A few depth curves were revised or added as necessary.
- 2. Fathograms were rescanned on features that were apparently continuous but because of a lack of substantiating soundings were delineated by the depth curves as broken. Soundings substantiating the continuity of shoal or deep features were added to the smooth sheet and depth curves revised accordingly. Also, a few soundings were added from excess to justify the delineation of depth curves.
- 3. A 77-foot sounding plotted in latitude $17^{\circ}51.11'$, longitude $66^{\circ}23.11'$ was rescanned because of an absence of supporting soundings and found to be 9 units too shoal. The corrected sounding, 86 feet, was added to the survey.
- 4. The adequacy of the junctions with H-9278 (1972) on the south, H-9486 (1975) on the east, and H-9267 (1972) on the northeast will be considered in the evaluation of these surveys since they are not in the Office as of this date. No junction was made with H-9029 (1969) 1:100,000 since there is no sounding overlap, and H-9034 (1969) junctions this area.





H-9266 2

5. Several soundings which provide the least depths on shoals and ridges together with several bottom characteristics on shoals were carried forward during quality control inspection.

- 6. The shoreline shown in brown was transferred from a prior nautical chart and is only approximate. It is to be used for orientation purposes only.
- 7. With the addition of information carried forward from the prior surveys, the present survey is adequate to supersede the prior surveys within the common area.

cc: C351 FORM C&GS-8352. (3-25-63)

NAUTICAL CHART DIVISION

RECORD OF APPLICATION TO CHARTS

FILE WITH DESCRIPTIVE REPORT OF SURVEY NO. __

9266

INSTRUCTIONS

A basic hydrographic or topographic survey supersedes all information of like nature on the uncorrected chart.

1. Letter all information.

2. In "Remarks" column cross out words that do not apply.

3. Cive represent for devictions if any from recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Paris

3. Give 16	asons for d		recommendations made under "Comparison with Charts" in the Review.
CHART	DATE	CARTOGRAPHER	
25681	21 Sept	19 ally Cadulant	Full Rart Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	,		Drawing No. OC
		v	
926	7 08-15-5	B. Farus Dens	Full Per Patre After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
(czasz)		D.11.43 C.G 2	Drawing No.
			Quality Controll
907		2 / 1	Full Research After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
25677	1-11-81	B. Fernandors	Drawing No.
(920)			Quality Control
25640	6-28-82	R. achter	Full Par Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
,			
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Diawing No.
		·	- I D - C IV
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
	·		
			Drawing No.
			Full Part Before After Verification Review Inspection Signed Via
			Drawing No.
			
			' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '

Full Busch form Afre: Verdie chen Revi Enchance TEN 18-11-11 F