

H12954

U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
National Ocean Service

**DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

Type of Survey: Basic Hydrographic Survey

Registry Number: H12954

**LOCALITY**

State(s): Mississippi

General Locality: Southeastern Vicinity of the  
Chandeleur Islands

Sub-locality: 5 NM E of Chandeleur Islands

**2016**

CHIEF OF PARTY  
Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

Date:

**HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET**

**H12954**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

State(s): **Mississippi**

General Locality: **Southeastern Vicinity of the Chandeleur Islands**

Sub-Locality: **5 NM E of Chandeleur Islands**

Scale: **40000**

Dates of Survey: **09/07/2016 to 11/16/2016**

Instructions Dated: **07/15/2016**

Project Number: **OPR-J311-KR-16**

Field Unit: **David Evans and Associates, Inc.**

Chief of Party: **Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH**

Soundings by: **Reson 7125 SV2**

Imagery by: **EdgeTech 4200-HF**

Verification by: **Atlantic Hydrographic Branch**

Soundings Acquired in: **meters at Mean Lower Low Water**

**Remarks:**

NAD83, UTM Zone 16 North, Meters, Times are UTC. The purpose of this contract is to provide NOAA with modern, accurate hydrographic survey data with which to update nautical charts of the assigned area.

*The purpose of this survey is to provide contemporary surveys to update National Ocean Service (NOS) nautical charts. All separates are filed with the hydrographic data. Any revisions to the Descriptive Report (DR) generated during office processing are shown in bold red italic text. The processing branch maintains the DR as a field unit product, therefore, all information and recommendations within the body of the DR are considered preliminary unless otherwise noted. The final disposition of surveyed features is represented in the OCS nautical chart update products. All pertinent records for this survey, including the DR, are archived at the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and can be retrieved via <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/>.*

# Table of Contents

A. Area Surveyed.....	1
A.1 Survey Limits.....	1
A.2 Survey Purpose.....	3
A.3 Survey Quality.....	3
A.4 Survey Coverage.....	3
A.5 Survey Statistics.....	5
B. Data Acquisition and Processing.....	6
B.1 Equipment and Vessels.....	6
B.1.1 Vessels.....	6
B.1.2 Equipment.....	7
B.2 Quality Control.....	8
B.2.1 Crosslines.....	8
B.2.2 Uncertainty.....	9
B.2.3 Junctions.....	10
B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks.....	14
B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness.....	14
B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings.....	15
B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods.....	16
B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods.....	16
B.2.9 Density.....	17
B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections.....	17
B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings.....	17
B.3.2 Calibrations.....	17
B.4 Backscatter.....	17
B.5 Data Processing.....	18
B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software.....	18
B.5.2 Surfaces.....	18
C. Vertical and Horizontal Control.....	19
C.1 Vertical Control.....	19
C.2 Horizontal Control.....	20
D. Results and Recommendations.....	20
D.1 Chart Comparison.....	20
D.1.1 Raster Charts.....	21
D.1.2 Electronic Navigational Charts.....	23
D.1.3 Maritime Boundary Points.....	27
D.1.4 Charted Features.....	27
D.1.5 Uncharted Features.....	27
D.1.6 Dangers to Navigation.....	27
D.1.7 Shoal and Hazardous Features.....	28
D.1.8 Channels.....	28
D.1.9 Bottom Samples.....	28
D.2 Additional Results.....	28
D.2.1 Shoreline.....	28

<a href="#">D.2.2 Prior Surveys</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">D.2.3 Aids to Navigation</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">D.2.4 Overhead Features</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">D.2.5 Submarine Features</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">D.2.6 Ferry Routes and Terminals</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">D.2.7 Platforms</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">D.2.8 Significant Features</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">D.2.9 Construction and Dredging</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">D.2.10 New Survey Recommendation</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">D.2.11 Inset Recommendation</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">E. Approval Sheet</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">F. Table of Acronyms</a>	<a href="#">31</a>

## List of Tables

<a href="#">Table 1: Survey Limits</a>	<a href="#">1</a>
<a href="#">Table 2: Hydrographic Survey Statistics</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Table 3: Dates of Hydrography</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Table 4: Vessels Used</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Table 5: Major Systems Used</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Table 6: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Table 7: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Table 8: Junctioning Surveys</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">Table 9: Calibrations not discussed in the DAPR</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Table 10: Primary bathymetric data processing software</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Table 11: Primary imagery data processing software</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Table 12: Submitted Surfaces</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Table 13: NWLON Tide Stations</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Table 14: Water Level Files (.tid)</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Table 15: Tide Correctors (.zdf or .tc)</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Table 16: USCG DGPS Stations</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Table 17: Largest Scale Raster Charts</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Table 18: Largest Scale ENC's</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">Table 19: DTON Reports</a>	<a href="#">27</a>

## List of Figures

<a href="#">Figure 1: OPR-J311-KR-16 Assigned Survey Areas</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Figure 2: H12954 Survey Outline</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Figure 3: S/V Blake</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Figure 4: H12954 Crossline Differences</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Figure 5: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12528 4-meter bathy grids</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">Figure 6: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12529 4-meter bathy grids</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">Figure 7: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12926 1-meter bathy grids</a>	<a href="#">13</a>

<u>Figure 8: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12953 1-meter bathy grids.....</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Figure 9: CARIS HIPS 3D View of Rejected Biomass.....</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Figure 10: Inadequate depiction of pipelines on Chart 11366.....</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Figure 11: Depth Difference between H12954 and chart US4LA34M.....</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Figure 12: Depth Difference between H12954 and chart US3GC04M.....</u>	<u>26</u>

## Descriptive Report to Accompany Survey H12954

Project: OPR-J311-KR-16

Locality: Southeastern Vicinity of the Chandeleur Islands

Sublocality: 5 NM E of Chandeleur Islands

Scale: 1:40000

September 2016 - November 2016

**David Evans and Associates, Inc.**

Chief of Party: Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH

### A. Area Surveyed

David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA) conducted a hydrographic survey of the assigned area in the Gulf of Mexico, east of the Chandeleur Islands. Survey H12954 was conducted in accordance with the Statement of Work (July 15, 2016) and Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions (July 15, 2016).

The Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions reference the National Ocean Service (NOS) Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables Manual (HSSD), 2016 as the technical requirements for this project.

#### A.1 Survey Limits

Data were acquired within the following survey limits:

Northwest Limit	Southeast Limit
29° 58' 54.69" N	29° 46' 33.13" N
88° 47' 25.23" W	88° 42' 24.22" W

*Table 1: Survey Limits*




Figure 1: OPR-J311-KR-16 Assigned Survey Areas



Survey Limits were surveyed in accordance with the requirements in the Project Instructions and the HSSD.

## A.2 Survey Purpose

The purpose of this project is to provide contemporary surveys to update National Ocean Service (NOS) nautical charting products. This project includes two survey areas totaling 263 SNM of which 226 SNM are classified as emerging critical areas, 32 SNM as priority two areas and 2 SNM as priority three as identified in the 2012 NOAA Hydrographic Survey Priorities. The first area is a narrow corridor located to the west of the Chandeleur Islands and extends from Baptiste Collette, LA towards Gulfport, MS. This corridor will serve as an alternate traffic route during the August 2016 closure of the INHC Lock in New Orleans. The second area, located to the east of the Chandeleur Islands, is a heavily trafficked area and encompasses approximately 125 SNM with multiple oil platforms and well heads.

## A.3 Survey Quality

The entire survey is adequate to supersede previous data.

## A.4 Survey Coverage

The following table lists the coverage requirements for this survey as assigned in the project instructions:

Water Depth	Coverage Required
All Sheet Numbers	All MBES acquisition requires backscatter acquisition (refer to HSSD Section 6.2)
Sheet Number 3 - 10	Complete Coverage (refer to HSSD Section 5.2.2.3)

Complete coverage was obtained over the survey area using 100% side scan sonar coverage with concurrent multibeam echosounder (MBES) and backscatter. This coverage type follows Option B of the Complete Coverage requirement specified in Section 5.2.2.3 of the 2016 HSSD. Significant side scan sonar contacts were developed with multibeam sonar at complete coverage resolution as required by the coverage classification. Complete coverage multibeam was obtained within the search radii (160 meters for charted features labeled with PA and 80 meters for charted features without a PA label) for all feature disapprovals. Survey coverage was obtained within the survey area depicted in the Project Reference File (PRF) OPR-J311-KR-16\_PR.F.000.




Figure 2: H12954 Survey Outline

## A.5 Survey Statistics

The following table lists the mainscheme and crossline acquisition mileage for this survey:

	<b>HULL ID</b>	<i>S/V Blake</i>	<i>Total</i>
<b>LNM</b>	<b>SBES Mainscheme</b>	0.0	0
	<b>MBES Mainscheme</b>	7.8	7.8
	<b>Lidar Mainscheme</b>	0.0	0
	<b>SSS Mainscheme</b>	41.4	41.4
	<b>SBES/SSS Mainscheme</b>	0.0	0
	<b>MBES/SSS Mainscheme</b>	547.9	547.9
	<b>SBES/MBES Crosslines</b>	25.2	25.2
	<b>Lidar Crosslines</b>	0.0	0
<b>Number of Bottom Samples</b>			5
<b>Number Maritime Boundary Points Investigated</b>			0
<b>Number of DPs</b>			0
<b>Number of Items Investigated by Dive Ops</b>			0
<b>Total SNM</b>			38.39

Table 2: Hydrographic Survey Statistics

The following table lists the specific dates of data acquisition for this survey:

<b>Survey Dates</b>	<b>Day of the Year</b>
09/07/2016	251
09/08/2016	252
09/09/2016	253
09/10/2016	254
09/11/2016	255
09/18/2016	262
09/19/2016	263
11/16/2016	321

*Table 3: Dates of Hydrography*

## **B. Data Acquisition and Processing**

### **B.1 Equipment and Vessels**

The OPR-J311-KR-16 Data Acquisition and Processing Report (DAPR), previously submitted with survey H12920, details equipment and vessel information as well as data acquisition and processing procedures. There were no vessel or equipment configurations used during data acquisition that deviated from those described in the DAPR.

#### **B.1.1 Vessels**

The following vessels were used for data acquisition during this survey:

<b>Hull ID</b>	<i>S/V Blake</i>
<b>LOA</b>	83 feet
<b>Draft</b>	4.5 feet

*Table 4: Vessels Used*



*Figure 3: S/V Blake*

### **B.1.2 Equipment**

The following major systems were used for data acquisition during this survey:

<b>Manufacturer</b>	<b>Model</b>	<b>Type</b>
Reson	7125 SV2	MBES
Edgetech	4200-HF	SSS
Applanix	POS/MV 320 v5	Positioning & Attitude
Rolls Royce	MVP30-350 with AML MVP X	Primary Sound Speed Profiler
AML	Micro SV Xchange	Surface Sound Speed
Sea-Bird Electronics	SEACAT SBE 19-03 CTD	Secondary Sound Speed Profiler

*Table 5: Major Systems Used*

## **B.2 Quality Control**

### **B.2.1 Crosslines**


Crosslines acquired for this survey totaled 4.53% of mainscheme acquisition.

Crosslines were run across the entire survey area in order to provide a varied spatial and temporal distribution for analysis of internal consistency within the survey data.

Crossline analysis was performed using the CARIS Hydrographic Information Processing System (HIPS) Quality Control (QC) Report tool, which compares crossline data to a gridded surface and reports results by beam number. Crosslines were compared to a 1-meter CUBE surface encompassing mainscheme, fill, and investigation data for the entire survey area. The QC Report tabular output and plot are included in Separate II. The results of the analysis meet the requirements as stated in the 2016 HSSD.

Additional crossline analysis was performed by computing a 1-meter CUBE surface from the crossline data. The surface was then differenced from a 1-meter surface comprised of all mainscheme, fill, and investigation data. The resultant difference surface was exported using the Base Surface to ASCII function and statistics were compiled on the ASCII data.

Results from the crossline to mainscheme difference analysis are depicted in Figure 4. The primary contributors to the largest differences (20 to 32 centimeters) are discrete tide zoning, sound speed artifacts, and minor horizontal offsets in the vicinity of a steep slope. Horizontal offsets are well within HSSD requirements and the expected accuracy of the DGPS positioning system.



Mean:	0.023 m	Standard Deviation:	0.072 m
Minimum:	-0.287 m	Bin size:	0.01 m
Maximum:	0.317 m	Number of Nodes:	2,285,175

Figure 4: H12954 Crossline Differences

### B.2.2 Uncertainty

The following survey specific parameters were used for this survey:

Measured	Zoning	Method
0.00 meters	0.112 meters	Discrete

Table 6: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values

Hull ID	Measured - CTD	Measured - MVP	Surface
S/V Blake	n/a meters/second	1.0 meters/second	0.5 meters/second

Table 7: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values

Additional discussion of these parameters is included in the DAPR.

During surface finalization in HIPS, the "Greater of the two values" option was selected, where the calculated uncertainty from total propagated uncertainty (TPU) is compared to the standard deviation of the soundings influencing the node, and where the greater value is assigned as the final uncertainty of the node.



The uncertainty of the finalized surfaces increased for nodes where the standard deviation of the node was greater than the total propagated uncertainty.

The resulting calculated uncertainty values of all nodes in the finalized 1-meter Complete Coverage multibeam surface range from 0.24 meters to 0.80 meters with a standard deviation of 0.013 meters.

To determine if surface grid nodes met International Hydrographic Organization (IHO) Order 1 specification, a ratio of the final node uncertainty to the allowable uncertainty at that depth was determined. As a percentage, this value represents the amount of error budget utilized by the uncertainty value at each node. Values greater than 100% indicate nodes exceeding the allowable IHO uncertainty.

For the 1-meter Complete Coverage multibeam surface, the allowable uncertainty utilized ranges from 43% to 149%. The mean allowable uncertainty for the surface is 46% with a standard deviation of 0.023.

Nodes that were reported out of specification were coincident with areas of high depth standard deviation with steep slopes or high relief. All uncertainty values were within allowable specification prior to surface finalization when standard deviation was incorporated into the solution when it was greater than the node uncertainty.

### B.2.3 Junctions

Survey H12954 junctions with surveys H12528, H12529, H12926, H12953, and H12955.

The Bathymetric Attributed Grids (BAGs) for surveys H12528 and H12529 were downloaded from NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) website for comparison with H12954.

The finalized H12954 surface was compared to each junction survey by generating a difference surface with CARIS HIPS. At the time of writing, data from survey H12955 was still being processed. The Descriptive Reports for these respective surveys will include the junction analysis with H12954

The following junctions were made with this survey:


Registry Number	Scale	Year	Field Unit	Relative Location
H12528	1:40000	2013	David Evans and Associates, Inc.	N
H12529	1:40000	2013	David Evans and Associates, Inc.	N
H12926	1:40000	2016	David Evans and Associates, Inc.	S
H12953	1:40000	2016	David Evans and Associates, Inc.	S
H12955	1:40000	2016	David Evans and Associates, Inc.	E



*Table 8: Junctioning Surveys*

H12528

Descriptive statistics from the junction comparison with H12528 are presented in Figure 5. The minimum and maximum differences are associated with sound speed and tide zoning artifacts. It appears that the depth bias between the two surveys also result from the use of disparate tide zoning schemes and refraction. Prior survey H12528 used zoning correctors from the NWLON gauge Pascagoula NOAA Lab, MS (8741533) while survey H12954 was controlled from the NWLON gauge Dauphin Island (8735180). The bias resulting from the use of different zoning schemes is intensified in the area of the survey junction do to refraction experienced during the H12954 survey.




Mean:	0.149 m	Standard Deviation:	0.040 m
Minimum:	0.039 m	Bin size:	0.01 m
Maximum:	0.242 m	Number of Nodes:	4,091

*Figure 5: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12528 4-meter bathy grids*

H12529

Descriptive statistics from the junction comparison with H12929 are presented in Figure 6. The surveys agree well, with minimum and maximum differences representative of surveys impacted by refraction and inaccuracies in discrete zoning methods




Mean:	0.015 m	Standard Deviation:	0.066 m
Minimum:	-0.187 m	Bin size:	0.01 m
Maximum:	0.346 m	Number of Nodes:	1,430,682

*Figure 6: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12529 4-meter bathy grids*

#### H12926

Descriptive statistics from the junction comparison with H12926, which was also part of the OPR-J311-KR-16 survey project, are presented in Figure 7. The surveys agree well, with minimum and maximum differences representative of surveys impacted by refraction and inaccuracies in discrete zoning methods.




Mean:	0.015 m	Standard Deviation:	0.054 m
Minimum:	-0.211 m	Bin size:	0.01 m
Maximum:	0.302 m	Number of Nodes:	859,653

*Figure 7: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12926 1-meter bathy grids*

### H12953

Descriptive statistics from the junction comparison with H12953, which was also part of the OPR-J311-KR-16 survey project, are presented in Figure 8. The surveys agree well, with minimum and maximum differences representative of surveys impacted by refraction and inaccuracies in discrete zoning methods.



Mean:	-0.014 m	Standard Deviation:	0.052 m
Minimum:	-0.197 m	Bin size:	0.01 m
Maximum:	0.173 m	Number of Nodes:	15,942

*Figure 8: Junction results between H12954 1-meter and H12953 1-meter bathy grids*

## H12955

The junction analysis between H12955 and H12954 will be included in the H12955 DR.

### **B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks**

Quality control is discussed in detail in Section B of the DAPR. Results from weekly position checks and weekly multibeam bar checks are included in Separate I Acquisition and Processing Logs of this report. Sound speed checks can be found in Separate II Sound Speed Data Summary of this report.

Multibeam data were reviewed at multiple levels of data processing including: CARIS HIPS conversion, subset editing, and analysis of anomalies revealed in CUBE surfaces.

### **B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness**

#### Navigation Data Gaps

Periodically, survey lines contained navigation gaps which were likely caused by a Hypack write delay during acquisition. Survey lines containing navigation data gaps greater than one second were updated with real-time DGPS/Inertial position data extracted from the POS/MV .000 files.

The following survey line uses real-time navigation from .000 files: 2016BL2521827 and 2016BL2522014.

### Differential Correction Outages

The differential correction signal received by the POS/MV 320 (primary positioning system) experienced several outages during acquisition on September 11, 2016 (DN255). These outages were detected because of alarms preconfigured in the acquisition system which would notify the hydrographic crew that the signal from the differential beacon had been lost. Data acquired without differential corrections were rejected using the 'Break Interpolation' option in the HIPS navigation editor and the resulting holidays were filled on a later date.

Portions of the following lines were rejected due to differential correction outages:

2016BL2550359  
2016BL2550817  
2016BL2551142  
2016BL2551740  
2016BL2551814


## **B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings**

### Tide and sound speed artifacts

In addition to the artifacts described above, occasional sound speed and discrete zoning artifacts approaching 20 centimeters in total magnitude are present in the survey data. Though these issues impacted some soundings, all data meet requirements outlined in the HSSD.

### Biomass in Water Column

A high volume of biomass (likely jellyfish as reported by the field party from visual observation) was present in the water column and observed in the sounding record on September 7 and 8, 2016 (DN251 and DN252). At times, sounding rejection was required to remove soundings on the biomass when impacting the CUBE surface. An example of the biomass' impact of the sounding data is depicted in Figure 9.



*Figure 9: CARIS HIPS 3D View of Rejected Biomass*

### **B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods**

Sound Speed Cast Frequency: Approximately 15-minute intervals.

A Rolls Royce Moving Vessel Profiler (MVP) was the primary instrument used to acquire sound speed readings during multibeam operations. MVP sound speed readings were measured at approximately 15-minute intervals during survey operations.

Additional discussion of sound speed methods can be found in the DAPR.

### **B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods**

Survey speeds were maintained to meet or exceed along-track sounding density and side scan sonar ensonification requirements.

Side scan mosaics were thoroughly reviewed for holidays and areas of poor quality coverage due to biomass, vessel wakes, or other factors. A fill plan was created in order to acquire side scan data where holidays and significant poor quality coverage existed. Side scan sonar contacts were developed with multibeam sonar to obtain a least depth of the contact using Complete Coverage requirements.

### **B.2.9 Density**

The sounding density requirement of 80% of all nodes, populated with at least five soundings per node, was verified by exporting the density child layer of the finalized CUBE surface to an ASCII text file and compiling statistics on the density values. More than 98% of all final CUBE surface nodes contained five or more soundings.

## **B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections**

### **B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings**

Data reduction procedures for survey H12954 are detailed in the DAPR. A summary multibeam processing log is included in Separate I of this report.

### **B.3.2 Calibrations**

The following calibrations were conducted after the initial system calibration discussed in the DAPR:

Calibration Type	Date	Reason
MBES (400kHz)	2016-11-18	End of project calibration test.

*Table 9: Calibrations not discussed in the DAPR.*

The H12954 survey was still active at time of DAPR submission. An additional calibration test which was not reported in the DAPR is included in Table 9. A revision to DAPR Appendix II, which includes this calibration test and results from a new weekly bar check, has been included with the H12954 deliverables.

## **B.4 Backscatter**

Multibeam backscatter was logged in Hypack 7K format and included with the H12954 digital deliverables. Data were processed periodically in CARIS HIPS to evaluate backscatter quality but the processed data is not included with the deliverables.

For data management purposes, the names of multibeam crosslines have been appended with the suffix *\_XL*. This change was made to HIPS files only. The original file names of raw data files (Hypack HSX and 7k) have been retained.

## B.5 Data Processing

### B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software

The following software program was the primary program used for bathymetric data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
CARIS	HIPS	9.1.6

*Table 10: Primary bathymetric data processing software*

The following software program was the primary program used for imagery data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
Chesapeake Technology, Inc.	SonarWiz	6.004.0006 and 6.004.0009

*Table 11: Primary imagery data processing software*

The following Feature Object Catalog was used: 5.3.4. A detailed listing of all data processing software is included in the OPR-J311-KR-16 DAPR.

### B.5.2 Surfaces

The following surfaces and/or BAGs were submitted to the Processing Branch:

Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
H12954_MB_1m_MLLW	CUBE	1 meters	10.00 meters - 17.90 meters	NOAA_1m	Complete Coverage
H12954_MB_1m_MLLW_Final	CUBE	1 meters	10.00 meters - 17.90 meters	NOAA_1m	Finalized Complete Coverage



Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
H12954_SSS_1m_100	Mosaic	1 meters	0 meters - 0 meters	N/A	100- percent coverage

*Table 12: Submitted Surfaces*

Bathymetric grids were created relative to Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW) in CUBE format using Complete Coverage resolution requirements as described in the HSSD.

## C. Vertical and Horizontal Control

A complete description of the horizontal and vertical control for survey H12954 can be found in the OPR-J311-KR-16 Horizontal and Vertical Control Report (HVCR), which is expected to be submitted with the deliverables of last survey in the OPR-J311-KR-16 project area. A summary of horizontal and vertical control for this survey follows.

The horizontal datum for the project was the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) as specified by Hydrographic Technical Directive (HTD) 2016-3: Revision of Horizontal Datum in 2016 HSSD. A copy of this HTD is included in the OPR-J311-KR-16 Project Correspondence.

### C.1 Vertical Control

The vertical datum for this project is Mean Lower Low Water.

#### Standard Vertical Control Methods Used:

The following National Water Level Observation Network (NWLON) stations served as datum control for this survey:

Station Name	Station ID
Dauphin Island	8735180

*Table 13: NWLON Tide Stations*

File Name	Status
8735180.tid	Verified Observed

*Table 14: Water Level Files (.tid)*

<b>File Name</b>	<b>Status</b>
J311KR2016RevCORP.zdf	Final

*Table 15: Tide Correctors (.zdf or .tc)*

Tide zoning file J311KR2016RevCORP.zdf was provided with the project instructions and used for sounding correction within the assigned survey area.

## **C.2 Horizontal Control**

The horizontal datum for this project is North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83).

The projection used for this project is NAD83 UTM Zone 16 North.

The following DGPS Stations were used for horizontal control:

<b>DGPS Stations</b>
English Turn, LA (293 kHz)

*Table 16: USCG DGPS Stations*

## **D. Results and Recommendations**

### **D.1 Chart Comparison**

The majority of the chart comparison was performed by comparing H12954 depths to a digital surface generated from electronic navigational charts (ENCs) covering the survey area. A 50-meter product surface was generated from a triangular irregular network (TIN) created from the soundings, depth contours, and depth features for each ENC scale. An additional 50-meter HIPS product surface of the entire survey area was generated from the finalized MBES CUBE surfaces. The chart comparison was conducted by creating

and reviewing the resultant difference surface. The chart comparison also included a review of all assigned charted features within the survey area.

The raster navigational chart (RNC) comparison was performed by manually comparing the RNC covering the survey area to the corresponding ENC and identifying discrepancies between the two chart formats.

The electronic and raster versions of the relevant charts used during the comparison were reviewed to check that all US Coast Guard (USCG) Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs) issued during survey acquisition and impacting the survey area were applied and addressed by this survey.

### D.1.1 Raster Charts

The following are the largest scale raster charts, which cover the survey area:

Chart	Scale	Edition	Edition Date	LNM Date	NM Date
11373	1:80000	1	05/2015	12/31/2016	01/17/2017
11363	1:80000	45	01/2017	01/14/2017	01/24/2017
11366	1:250000	16	06/2015	01/14/2017	01/24/2017

*Table 17: Largest Scale Raster Charts*

#### 11373

Coastal chart 11373 was compared to US4LA34M within the H12954 survey area. The soundings charted on the RNC do not match those on the ENC. It appears that soundings from hydrographic project OPR-J348-KR-13, which were added to RNC 11373 as part of the release of Edition 52 (5/4/2015), were never applied to ENC US4LA34M. Soundings included in the ENC are attributed with Source Indication (SORIND): US,US,graph,Chart 11363 and Source Date (SORDAT): 20030900. These soundings agree with previous editions of the RNC 11373. Hydrographic project OPR-J348-KR-13, which was performed in 2013, included surveys H12528, H12529, and H12530. The hydrographer recommends updating US4LA34M with soundings from surveys H12528, H12529, and H12530.

#### 11363

Coastal chart 11363 was compared to US4LA34M within the H12954 survey area. As with the 11373 comparison, soundings charted on RNC 11363 and ENC US4LA34M disagree within the footprint of hydrographic project OPR-J348-KR-13. It appears that ENC US4LA34M has not been updated with soundings acquired during this project. The hydrographer recommends updating US4LA34M with the most recent contemporary surveys.

A discrepancy was also observed in the charting of H12954 Danger to Navigation (DtN) 01. The danger was charted on the ENC as an obstruction while it was charted on the RNC as a sounding.

### 11366

As with the larger scale charts, DtN 01 was charted on the ENC as an obstruction while it is charted on the RNC as a sounding. Additionally, pipelines charted on 11366 and US3GC04 terminate at the junction with large scale chart coverage from 11363 and US4LA34M. As seen in Figure 10, numerous pipelines, both inside and outside of the H12954 survey area, are not accurately depicted on the smaller scale charts. The hydrographer recommends a review of this discrepancy by the Marine Chart Division (MCD).




Figure 10: Inadequate depiction of pipelines on Chart 11366

### D.1.2 Electronic Navigational Charts

The following are the largest scale ENC's, which cover the survey area:

<b>ENC</b>	<b>Scale</b>	<b>Edition</b>	<b>Update Application Date</b>	<b>Issue Date</b>	<b>Preliminary?</b>
US4LA34M	1:80000	29	01/06/2015	01/31/2017	NO
US3GC04M	1:250000	52	11/05/2014	12/14/2016	NO

*Table 18: Largest Scale ENC's*

#### US4LA34M

In general, surveyed depths range from two feet shoaler to five feet deeper than charted on ENC US4LA34M. Some depths, mostly in southern portion of the survey area, are as much as seven feet deeper than charted.




Figure 11: Depth Difference between H12954 and chart US4LA34M

US3GC04M

In general, surveyed depths range from three feet shoaler to ten feet deeper than charted on ENC US3GC04M.






Figure 12: Depth Difference between H12954 and chart US3GC04M



### D.1.3 Maritime Boundary Points

No Maritime Boundary Points were assigned for this survey.

### D.1.4 Charted Features

The survey area does not contain any charted features labeled as Position Approximate (PA), Reported, Position Doubtful (PD), or Existence Doubtful (ED).

### D.1.5 Uncharted Features

All uncharted features are portrayed in the FFF as surveyed and attributed with the description of ‘New’.

### D.1.6 Dangers to Navigation

The following DTON reports were submitted:

<b>DTON Report Name</b>	<b>Date Submitted</b>
H12954 Danger to Navigation 01	2016-09-09
H12954 Danger to Navigation 02 - Exposed Pipelines	2016-11-14

*Table 19: DTON Reports*

Two DtoNs were submitted for this survey.

H12954 DtoN 01 reported an uncharted obstruction within the survey area. The processing branch revised the submittal, changing the feature type from obstruction to sounding, before forwarding the charting recommendation to the Nautical Data Branch. The DtoN, which has been added to the charts using preliminary survey information, is currently charted on the RNCs as a sounding and on the ENC as an obstruction.

H12954 DtoN 02 reported sections of pipeline which are visibly exposed on the seabed in the multibeam and side scan data. While not a direct hazard to surface navigation, these exposed pipelines were submitted using the DtoN process in order to facilitate the review and reporting of the exposed pipelines.

### **D.1.7 Shoal and Hazardous Features**

No shoal or hazardous features were charted or located within the H12954 survey area.

### **D.1.8 Channels**

The H12954 survey area does not contain any anchorage areas, maintained navigation channels, or channel lines.

### **D.1.9 Bottom Samples**

Five bottom samples were acquired on September 18, 2016 (DN262). The sampling plan followed suggested sample locations included in the PRF provided by the Hydrographic Surveys Division.

## **D.2 Additional Results**

### **D.2.1 Shoreline**

Shoreline investigation was not assigned for this survey.

### **D.2.2 Prior Surveys**

Other than the previously mentioned junction analyses, no other comparisons with prior surveys were conducted.

### **D.2.3 Aids to Navigation**

No Aids to Navigation (AtoNs) were charted within the H12954 survey area.

### **D.2.4 Overhead Features**

There were no overhead bridges, cables, or other structures which would impact overhead clearance in the survey area.

### **D.2.5 Submarine Features**

Multiple pipelines are charted within the survey area and are visible in the survey data and bathymetric surfaces. In some areas, pipelines are exposed on the seabed or there is evidence of buried pipelines beneath the seabed. Sections of pipeline which are visibly exposed on the seabed were reported as a DtoN and are

included in the H12954 FFF as pipeline features. These features were submitted to the processing branch using the DtoN process so that the proper authorities could be notified about the condition of the pipelines.

No submarine cables or tunnels were charted or located within the H12954 survey area.

#### **D.2.6 Ferry Routes and Terminals**

There were no ferry routes or terminals within the survey area.

#### **D.2.7 Platforms**

Two platforms are charted within the survey area. Both of the charted platforms were disproved by the survey and are included in the FFF with description of 'Delete'

#### **D.2.8 Significant Features**

There was no other information of scientific or practical value observed during the survey.

#### **D.2.9 Construction and Dredging**

No construction or dredging activities were observed during survey operations.

#### **D.2.10 New Survey Recommendation**

No new surveys or further investigations are recommended for this area.

#### **D.2.11 Inset Recommendation**

No new insets are recommended for this area.

## E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, field operations for this hydrographic survey were conducted under my direct supervision, with frequent personal checks of progress and adequacy. I have reviewed the attached survey data and reports.

All field sheets, this Descriptive Report, and all accompanying records and data are approved. All records are forwarded for final review and processing to the Processing Branch.

The survey data meets or exceeds requirements as set forth in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys and Specifications Deliverables Manual, Statement of Work, and Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions. These data are adequate to supersede charted data in their common areas. This survey is complete and no additional work is required.

Report Name	Report Date Sent
OPR-J311-KR-16 Data Acquisition and Processing Report	2016-11-03

Approver Name	Approver Title	Approval Date	Signature
Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH	NSPS/THSOA Certified Hydrographer, Chief of Party	03/07/2017	 Digitally signed by Jon Dasler DN: cn=Jon Dasler, o=David Evans and Associates, Inc., ou=Marine Services Division, email=jld@deainc.com, c=US Date: 2017.03.07 12:52:23 -08'00'
Jason Creech, CH	NSPS/THSOA Certified Hydrographer, Charting Manager / Project Manager	03/07/2017	 Digitally signed by Jason Creech DN: cn=Jason Creech, o=David Evans and Associates, Inc., ou=Marine Services Division, email=jasc@deainc.com, c=US Date: 2017.03.07 12:54:25 -08'00'
Kathleen Schacht	MBES Data Processing Manager	03/07/2017	 Digitally signed by Kathleen Schacht DN: cn=Kathleen Schacht, o=David Evans and Associates, Inc., email=kmssc@deainc.com, c=US Date: 2017.03.07 12:55:40 -08'00'
David T. Moehl, CH, LSIT	Lead Hydrographer	03/07/2017	 Digitally signed by Dave Moehl DN: cn=David Moehl, o=David Evans and Associates, Inc., ou=Marine Services Division, email=dtr@deainc.com, c=US Date: 2017.03.07 12:59:53 -08'00'

## F. Table of Acronyms

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>AHB</b>	Atlantic Hydrographic Branch
<b>ASCII</b>	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
<b>AWOIS</b>	Automated Wreck and Obstruction Information System
<b>BAG</b>	Bathymetric Attributed Grid
<b>CH</b>	Certified Hydrographer
<b>CSF</b>	Composite Source File
<b>CTD</b>	Conductivity Temperature Depth
<b>DAPR</b>	Data Acquisition and Processing Report
<b>DEA</b>	David Evans and Associates, Inc
<b>DGPS</b>	Differential Global Positioning System
<b>DN</b>	Day Number
<b>DtoN</b>	Danger to Navigation
<b>ED</b>	Existence Doubtful
<b>ENC</b>	Electronic Navigational Chart
<b>GPS</b>	Global Positioning System
<b>HIPS</b>	Hydrographic Information Processing System
<b>HSD</b>	Hydrographic Surveys Division
<b>HSSD</b>	Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables
<b>HVCR</b>	Horizontal and Vertical Control Report
<b>IHO</b>	International Hydrographic Organization
<b>LNM</b>	Local Notice to Mariners
<b>MBES</b>	Multibeam Echo Sounder
<b>MLLW</b>	Mean Lower Low Water
<b>MVP</b>	Moving Vessel Profiler
<b>NOAA</b>	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
<b>NSPS</b>	National Society of Professional Surveyors
<b>NWLON</b>	National Water Level Observation Network
<b>PA</b>	Position Approximate
<b>PD</b>	Position Doubtful
<b>PE</b>	Professional Engineer
<b>PLS</b>	Professional Land Surveyor

<b>Acronym</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>PRF</b>	Project Reference File
<b>QC</b>	Quality Control
<b>RNC</b>	Raster Navigational Chart
<b>SBES</b>	Single Beam Echo Sounder
<b>SSS</b>	Side Scan Sonar
<b>TIN</b>	Triangular Irregular Network
<b>TPU</b>	Total Propagated Uncertainty
<b>USCG</b>	United States Coast Guard

APPENDIX I  
TIDES AND WATER LEVELS

APPENDIX I  
TIDES AND WATER LEVELS



# H12954

## TIMES OF HYDROGRAPHY

**Project:** OPR-J311-KR-16

**Contractor Name:** David Evans and Associates, Inc.

**Date:** September 19, 2016

**Inclusive Dates:** September 7, 2016 - September 19, 2016

Field work is complete

Time (UTC)

Day Number	Date	Start Time	End Time
251	09/07/2016	14:10:29	23:57:11
252	09/08/2016	0:13:47	23:59:52
253	09/09/2016	0:22:29	23:59:52
254	09/10/2016	0:17:21	7:52:11
255	09/11/2016	2:01:25	21:38:23
262	09/18/2016	8:32:48	23:45:37
263	09/19/2016	0:28:39	2:18:14

# H12954

## FINAL TIDE NOTE AND ZONING

**DATE:** September 19, 2016

**HYDROGRAPHIC BRANCH:** Atlantic Hydrographic Branch  
**HYDROGRAPHIC PROJECT:** OPR-J311-KR-16  
**HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY:** H12954

**LOCALITY:** Southeastern Vicinity of the Chandeleur Islands  
**SUB-LOCALITY:** 5 NM E of Chandeleur Islands

**TIME PERIOD** <sup>1</sup> : September 7, 2016 - September 19, 2016

### TIDE STATIONS USED:

<u>Station Name</u>	<u>Station ID</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Latitude</u>	<u>Longitude</u>
Dauphin Island, AL	8735180	Control	30° 15.0' N	88° 4.5' W

### PLANE OF REFERENCE (MEAN LOWER LOW WATER) :

8735180 0.000m

### HEIGHT OF MEAN HIGH WATER ABOVE PLANE OF REFERENCE:


8735180 0.361m

### FINAL ZONING AND TIDAL REDUCERS TO CHART DATUMN:

<u>Zone</u>	<u>(Mins)</u>	<u>Range Ratio</u>	<u>Station</u>
CGM126A	-66	1.13	8735180
CGM129	-60	1.08	8735180
CGM130	-60	1.25	8735180
CGM132	-48	1.13	8735180
CGM139	-36	1.29	8735180
CGM179	-48	1.25	8735180


---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the comprehensive list in attached Times of Hydrography.



OPR-J311-KR-16  
 Eastern Vicinity of the Chandeleur Islands  
 David Evans and Associates, Inc.  
 Chart 11366

**H12954**  
**Final Tide Zoning**



## APPENDIX II

# SUPPLEMENTAL SURVEY RECORDS AND CORRESPONDENCE



**OPR-J311-KR-16**  
**Marine Mammal Trained Observers**

**David Evans and Associates, Inc.**  
 2801 SE Columbia Way, Suite 130  
 Vancouver, WA 98661  
 Phone: 360-314-3200  
 Fax: 360-314-3250

**Inclusive Dates:** July 28, 2016 - November 18, 2016  
**General Locality:** Southeastern Vicinity of the Chandeaur Islands

H Number	Sub Locality	Priority
H12920	Breton Sound Alternative Route 2016	1
H12921	25 NM S of Chandeaur Islands	2
H12922	25 NM SW of Chandeaur Islands	3
H12923	25 NM SE of Chandeaur Islands	4
H12924	20 NM SW of Chandeaur Islands	5
H12925	20 NM SE of Chandeaur Islands	6
H12926	10 NM SE of Chandeaur Islands	7
H12953	12 NM SE of Chandeaur Islands	8
H12954	5 NM E of Chandeaur Islands	9
H12955	8 NM E of Chandeaur Islands	10

<b>Observer</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Training Video<sup>1</sup> Date</b>
Alan Baker	Survey Crew	11/8/2016
Callan McGriff	Survey Crew	7/26/2016
Christine Smith	Survey Crew	8/15/2016
David Moehl	Survey Crew	7/20/2016
Jason Creech	Survey Crew	7/25/2016
Jason Seitz	Survey Crew	8/16/2016
Tim McClinton	Survey Crew	7/25/2016
Jason Dorfman	Survey Crew	7/20/2016
John Staly	Survey Crew	8/1/2016
Kathleen Schacht	Survey Crew	7/20/2016
Laura Rajnak	Survey Crew	7/28/2016
Mike Nichols	Survey Crew	7/21/2016
Pat Heidingsfelder	Survey Crew	7/26/2016
Joseph Fanslau	Survey Crew	8/12/2016
Jacob Miller	Survey Crew	9/2/2016
Rosanne Weglinski	Vessel Crew	7/25/2016
Sonja Bridges	Vessel Crew	7/25/2016
Brad Nowell	Vessel Crew	7/25/2016
JB McLendon	Vessel Crew	7/25/2016
Amber Abercrombie	Vessel Crew	9/10/2016
Harry Stutzke	Vessel Crew	8/8/2016

<sup>1</sup>Marine Species Awareness Training Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KKo3r1yVBBA>

APPROVAL PAGE

H12954

Data meet or exceed current specifications as certified by the OCS survey acceptance review process. Descriptive Report and survey data except where noted are adequate to supersede prior surveys and nautical charts in the common area.

The following products will be sent to NCEI for archive

- H12954\_DR.pdf
- Collection of depth varied resolution BAGS
- Processed survey data and records
- H12954\_GeoImage.pdf

The survey evaluation and verification has been conducted according current OCS Specifications, and the survey has been approved for dissemination and usage of updating NOAA's suite of nautical charts.

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

**Commander Briana W. Hillstrom, NOAA**  
Chief, Atlantic Hydrographic Branch