

H13267

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Ocean Service

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey: Navigable Area

Registry Number: H13267

LOCALITY

State(s): Louisiana

General Locality: Chandeleur Islands, Louisiana

Sub-locality: 24 NM East of Brenton Islands

2019

CHIEF OF PARTY
Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

Date:

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

H13267

INSTRUCTIONS: The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

State(s): **Louisiana**

General Locality: **Chandeleur Islands, Louisiana**

Sub-Locality: **24 NM East of Brenton Islands**

Scale: **40000**

Dates of Survey: **10/13/2019 to 01/16/2020**

Instructions Dated: **06/19/2019**

Project Number: **OPR-J311-KR-19**

Field Unit: **David Evans and Associates, Inc.**

Chief of Party: **Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH**

Soundings by: **Multibeam Echo Sounder**

Imagery by: **Multibeam Echo Sounder Backscatter & Side Scan Sonar**

Verification by: **Atlantic Hydrographic Branch**

Soundings Acquired in: **meters at Mean Lower Low Water**

Remarks:

Any revisions to the Descriptive Report (DR) applied during office processing are shown in red italic text. The DR is maintained as a field unit product, therefore all information and recommendations within this report are considered preliminary unless otherwise noted. The final disposition of survey data is represented in the NOAA nautical chart products. All pertinent records for this survey are archived at the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and can be retrieved via <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/>.

Products created during office processing were generated in NAD83 UTM 16N, MLLW. All references to other horizontal or vertical datums in this report are applicable to the processed hydrographic data provided by the field unit.

Table of Contents

A. Area Surveyed.....	1
A.1 Survey Limits.....	1
A.2 Survey Purpose.....	2
A.3 Survey Quality.....	3
A.4 Survey Coverage.....	3
A.5 Survey Statistics.....	6
B. Data Acquisition and Processing.....	8
B.1 Equipment and Vessels.....	8
B.1.1 Vessels.....	9
B.1.2 Equipment.....	10
B.2 Quality Control.....	10
B.2.1 Crosslines.....	10
B.2.2 Uncertainty.....	11
B.2.3 Junctions.....	13
B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks.....	21
B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness.....	22
B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings.....	22
B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods.....	22
B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods.....	22
B.2.9 Density.....	22
B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections.....	24
B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings.....	24
B.3.2 Calibrations.....	24
B.4 Backscatter.....	25
B.5 Data Processing.....	25
B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software.....	25
B.5.2 Surfaces.....	26
B.5.3 Designated Soundings.....	26
B.5.4 CARIS HDCS Navigation Sources.....	26
C. Vertical and Horizontal Control.....	28
C.1 Vertical Control.....	28
C.2 Horizontal Control.....	29
D. Results and Recommendations.....	29
D.1 Chart Comparison.....	29
D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts.....	30
D.1.2 Maritime Boundary Points.....	34
D.1.3 Charted Features.....	34
D.1.4 Uncharted Features.....	34
D.1.5 Shoal and Hazardous Features.....	34
D.1.6 Channels.....	34
D.1.7 Bottom Samples.....	34
D.2 Additional Results.....	35
D.2.1 Shoreline.....	35

D.2.2 Aids to Navigation	35
D.2.3 Overhead Features	35
D.2.4 Submarine Features	35
D.2.5 Platforms	35
D.2.6 Ferry Routes and Terminals	36
D.2.7 Abnormal Seafloor and/or Environmental Conditions	36
D.2.8 Construction and Dredging	36
D.2.9 New Survey Recommendation	36
D.2.10 Inset Recommendation	37
E. Approval Sheet	38
F. Table of Acronyms	39

List of Tables

Table 1: Survey Limits	1
Table 2: Survey Coverage	3
Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics	7
Table 4: Dates of Hydrography	8
Table 5: Vessels Used	9
Table 6: Major Systems Used	10
Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values	11
Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values	12
Table 9: Junctioning Surveys	15
Table 10: Primary bathymetric data processing software	25
Table 11: Primary imagery data processing software	25
Table 12: Submitted Surfaces	26
Table 13: ERS method and SEP file	28
Table 14: USCG DGPS Stations	29
Table 15: Largest Scale ENCs	30

List of Figures

Figure 1: OPR-J311-KR-19 Assigned Survey Areas	2
Figure 2: H13267 Survey Outline	5
Figure 3: Example of MBES coverage from additional lines	6
Figure 4: S/V Blake	9
Figure 5: H13267 Crossline Difference	11
Figure 6: Node TVU statistics - 2m finalized	12
Figure 7: Node TVU statistics - 4m finalized	13
Figure 8: Survey junctions with registry number H13267	14
Figure 9: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12366 2-meter	16
Figure 10: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12736 4-meter	17
Figure 11: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12739 8-meter	18
Figure 12: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12740 4-meter	19

Figure 13: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H13135 2-meter.....	20
Figure 14: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H13136 2-meter.....	21
Figure 15: Node density statistics - 2m finalized.....	23
Figure 16: Node density statistics - 4m finalized.....	24
Figure 17: Lines with interpolated SBET, and details of segment count and duration.....	27
Figure 18: Portions of SBET interpolated. Note vertical jumps on top graphs, and resultant interpolation on bottom graph.....	28
Figure 19: Depth difference between H13267 and chart US3GC04M.....	31
Figure 20: Depth difference between H13267 and charts US4LA33M and US4LA34M.....	33

Descriptive Report to Accompany Survey H13267

Project: OPR-J311-KR-19

Locality: Chandeleur Islands, Louisiana

Sublocality: 24 NM East of Breton Islands

Scale: 1:40000

October 2019 - January 2020

David Evans and Associates, Inc.

Chief of Party: Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH

A. Area Surveyed

David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA) conducted a hydrographic survey of the assigned area in the Chandeleur Islands. Survey H13267 was conducted in accordance with the May 21, 2019 Statement of Work and Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions June 19, 2019.

The Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions reference the National Ocean Service (NOS) Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables Manual (HSSD) (March 2019) as the technical requirements for this project.

A.1 Survey Limits

Data were acquired within the following survey limits:

Northwest Limit	Southeast Limit
29° 34' 23.58" N 88° 51' 33.15" W	29° 17' 40.32" N 88° 30' 32.75" W

Table 1: Survey Limits

Survey Limits were surveyed in accordance with the requirements in the Project Instructions and the HSSD.




Figure 1: OPR-J311-KR-19 Assigned Survey Areas

A.2 Survey Purpose

The project's survey purpose for all surveys, which was defined in the Project Instructions, is "The Chandeleur Islands is an active oil and gas exploration area, as well as a popular fishing grounds and includes the Breton National Wildlife Refuge.*1 The Chandeleur Islands were also severely impacted by recent hurricanes like Dennis and Katrina, which resulted in major erosion of the islands. Erosion, sea level rise, and sediment influx from the Mississippi River have endangered the future of these islands.*2

This area also supports a wide variety of vessel traffic and commercial and sport fishing traffic near the Mississippi Entrance Channel and includes a major portion of the safety fairway. Due to the high traffic, this project has been planned as one of a multi-year approach to update charts in this area. Before this project, this area was last surveyed by the Office of Coast Survey in 1922 and 1940. This survey will allow vessel traffic safe passage to offshore Gulf of Mexico.

The purpose of this project is to provide contemporary surveys to update National Ocean Service (NOS) nautical charting products, this project will address numerous approximately charted hazards, reducing the risk to navigation. Survey data from this project is intended to supersede all prior survey data in the common area.”

*1 Breton National Wildlife Refuge. Wikipedia. Retrieved 27 February 2019

*2 Moore, Laura J.; Patch, Kiki; List, Jeffery H.; Williams, S. Jeffress (2014). “The potential for sea-level-rise-induced barrier island loss: Insights from the Chandeleur Islands, Louisiana, USA”. *Marine Geology*. 355: 244-259. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2014.05.022. ISSN 0025-3227

A.3 Survey Quality

The entire survey is adequate to supersede previous data.

A.4 Survey Coverage

The following table lists the coverage requirements for this survey as assigned in the project instructions:

Water Depth	Coverage Required
All waters in survey area	Complete Coverage Option B (Refer to HSSD Section 5.2.2.3)

Table 2: Survey Coverage

Multibeam echosounder (MBES) data with time series backscatter was collected concurrently with side scan sonar (SSS) data to obtain complete coverage in all waters in the survey area. This coverage type follows Option B of the Complete Coverage requirement specified in Section 5.2.2 of the 2019 HSSD.

Surveyed contacts and features were developed at complete coverage resolution as required by the coverage classification. Complete coverage multibeam was also obtained within the search radii for all feature disapprovals. Survey coverage was obtained within the survey area depicted in the Project Reference File (PRF) OPR-J311-KR-19_PRF_FINAL.000. Figure 2 depicts the survey outline that was obtained for H13267.

In some cases, holidays present in the side scan sonar mosaic were filled with complete coverage multibeam data rather than with side scan sonar data. This includes filling holidays under and around platforms as well as holidays in open, unobstructed water. This practice was approved by the Operations Branch Project Manager on December 4, 2019. Email correspondence related to this approval is included in Separate II.

While performing quality control checks of the H13267 side scan data, it was noted that SSS contact positions had an across track offset when compared to the adjacent pass that increased with longer cable

lengths in deeper water. Analysis lead to the conclusion there was a slight drift to port that was exaggerated with longer layback values in deep water. The analysis concluded that this could result in SSS coverage gaps in deep water when adjacent swaths passed starboard to starboard that would not be evident in the coverage mosaic due to an across track position error of the towfish due to drift. To conservatively address potential side scan coverage gaps, a decision was made to run multibeam data down split lines when adjacent side scan lines had overlap with starboard to starboard channels in deep water. This effectively covered any potential side scan coverage gaps not apparent in coverage plots that resulted from unaccounted for port drift in the side scan position. An example of the resulting multibeam coverage is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 2: H13267 Survey Outline




Figure 3: Example of MBES coverage from additional lines

A.5 Survey Statistics

The following table lists the mainscheme and crossline acquisition mileage for this survey:

	HULL ID	<i>S/V Blake</i>	<i>Total</i>
LNM	SBES Mainscheme	0	0
	MBES Mainscheme	91.40	91.40
	Lidar Mainscheme	0	0
	SSS Mainscheme	0	0
	SBES/SSS Mainscheme	0	0
	MBES/SSS Mainscheme	1114.75	1114.75
	MBES Crosslines	53.36	53.36
	Lidar Crosslines	0	0
Number of Bottom Samples			5
Number Maritime Boundary Points Investigated			0
Number of DPs			0
Number of Items Investigated by Dive Ops			0
Total SNM			67.76

Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics

The OPR-J311-KR-19 Project Instructions contained an error where the estimated area for each survey sheet reported square statute miles though the values were labeled as square nautical miles (SNM). When comparing the area surveyed reported in Table 3 of this Descriptive Report (square nautical miles) to the estimated area listed in the Project Instructions (square statute miles), it will appear that the survey did not

meet the required area metric. The survey did meet the required coverage area and the coverage metric is reported as square nautical miles (SNM) in this report.

The following table lists the specific dates of data acquisition for this survey:

Survey Dates	Day of the Year
10/13/2019	286
10/14/2019	287
10/15/2019	288
10/16/2019	289
11/17/2019	321
11/18/2019	322
11/20/2019	324
11/21/2019	325
11/22/2019	326
11/23/2019	327
12/15/2019	349
01/14/2020	14
01/15/2020	15
01/16/2020	16

Table 4: Dates of Hydrography

B. Data Acquisition and Processing

B.1 Equipment and Vessels

The OPR-J311-KR-19 Data Acquisition and Processing Report (DAPR), submitted previously with survey H13260, details equipment and vessel information as well as data acquisition and processing procedures. There were no vessel or equipment configurations used during data acquisition that deviated from those described in the DAPR.

B.1.1 Vessels

The following vessels were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Hull ID	<i>S/V Blake</i>
LOA	82 feet
Draft	4.5 feet

Table 5: Vessels Used



Figure 4: S/V Blake

B.1.2 Equipment

The following major systems were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Manufacturer	Model	Type
Teledyne RESON	7101	MBES
EdgeTech	4200-HF	SSS
Applanix	POS MV 320 v5	Positioning and Attitude System
AML Oceanographic	MVP30-350 with AML Micro SVP&T	Primary Sound Speed Profiler
AML Oceanographic	Micro SV Xchange	Surface Sound Speed
AML Oceanographic	BaseX2	Secondary Sound Speed Profiler
Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc	SBE 19 SeaCAT	Secondary Sound Speed Profiler
Trimble	SPS851	Secondary Positioning System
CSI Wireless	MBX-3S	DGPS/Beacon Receiver
Trimble	CenterPoint RTX	DGPS/GNSS Correction Service

Table 6: Major Systems Used

B.2 Quality Control

B.2.1 Crosslines

Multibeam crosslines acquired for this survey totaled 4.42% of mainscheme acquisition.

Multibeam crosslines were run across the entire survey area to provide a varied spatial and temporal distribution for analysis of internal consistency within the survey data.

Crossline analysis was performed using the CARIS Hydrographic Information Processing System (HIPS) Quality Control (QC) Report tool, which compares crossline data to a gridded surface and reports results by beam number. Crosslines were compared to a 2-meter CUBE surface encompassing mainscheme, fill, and investigation data for the entire survey area. The QC Report tabular output and plots are included in Separate II Crossline Comparison.

DEA performed an additional crossline analysis using the NOAA Pydro Compare Grids tool to analyze the differences between gridded mainscheme depths and gridded crossline depths. Input grids were 2-meter resolution CUBE surfaces of mainscheme and crossline depths. Results from the crossline to mainscheme difference analysis are depicted in Figure 5, units are represented in meters.




Figure 5: H13267 Crossline Difference

B.2.2 Uncertainty

The following survey specific parameters were used for this survey:

Method	Measured	Zoning
ERS via VDATUM	0.05 meters	0.168 meters

Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values.

Hull ID	Measured - CTD	Measured - MVP	Surface
S/V Blake	1.0 meters/second	1.0 meters/second	0.5 meters/second

Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values.

Additional discussion of these parameters is included in the DAPR.

During surface finalization in HIPS, the "Greater of the two values" option was selected, where the calculated uncertainty from Total Propagated Uncertainty (TPU) is compared to the standard deviation of the soundings influencing the node, and where the greater value is assigned as the final uncertainty of the node. The uncertainty of the finalized surfaces increased for nodes, where the standard deviation of the node was greater than the TPU. To determine if the surface grid nodes met IHO Order 1 specification, a ratio of the final node uncertainty to the allowable uncertainty at that depth was determined. As a percentage, this value represents the amount of error budget utilized by the total vertical uncertainty (TVU) at each node. Values greater than 100% indicate nodes exceeding the allowable IHO uncertainty. The resulting calculated TVU values of all nodes in the submitted finalized surfaces are shown in Figures 6 and 7.




Figure 6: Node TVU statistics - 2m finalized




Figure 7: Node TVU statistics - 4m finalized

B.2.3 Junctions

Survey H13267 junctions with current survey H13266. Prior surveys H12736, H12739, H12740, H13135, and H13136 were specified as junctions in the Project Instructions for survey H13267. Figure 8 depicts H13267 and the junctioning surveys.




Figure 8: Survey junctions with registry number H13267

The following junctions were made with this survey:

Registry Number	Scale	Year	Field Unit	Relative Location
H13266	1:40000	2019	David Evans & Associates, Inc.	N
H12736	1:40000	2015	Oceans Surveys, Inc.	W
H12739	1:40000	2015	David Evans & Associates, Inc.	S
H12740	1:40000	2015	David Evans & Associates, Inc.	S
H13135	1:40000	2018	Leidos	W
H13136	1:40000	2018	Leidos	W

Table 9: Junctioning Surveys

H13266

The mean difference between H13267 and H13266 survey depths is 1 centimeter (H13267 deeper than H13266), shown in Figure 9.




Figure 9: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12366 2-meter

H12736

The mean difference between H13267 and H12736 survey depths is 56 centimeters (H13267 deeper than H12736), shown in Figure 10. Major differences are representative of surveys impacted by subsidence over a four year period and the use of varying tidal application methods. The descriptive report for this survey reported occasional tide offsets between adjacent survey lines of 20 centimeters that were likely caused by environmental factors such as wind setup on local water levels. Survey H13267 was tide corrected using discrete zoning from National Water Level Observation Network (NWLON) station Pilots Stations East, SW Pass, LA (876-0922), which is approximately 50 nautical miles southwest of the project area.




Figure 10: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12736 4-meter

H12739

The mean difference between H13267 and H12739 survey depths is 44 centimeters (H13267 deeper than H12739), shown in Figure 11. Major differences are representative of surveys impacted by subsidence over a four year period and the use of varying tidal application methods. Prior survey H12739 was tidally corrected using Pilot Station East, SW Pass (876-0922), while current survey H13267 was corrected using ERS methods with a VDatum derived separation model.




Figure 11: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12739 8-meter

H12740

The mean difference between H13267 and H12740 survey depths is 42 centimeters (H13267 deeper than H12739), shown in Figure 12. Major differences are representative of surveys impacted by subsidence over a four year period and the use of varying tidal application methods. Prior survey H12740 was tidally corrected using Pilot Station East, SW Pass (876-0922), while current survey H13267 was corrected using ERS methods with a VDatum derived separation model.




Figure 12: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H12740 4-meter

H13135

The mean difference between H13267 and H13135 survey depths is 35 centimeters (H13267 deeper than H13135), shown in Figure 13. Major differences are representative of surveys impacted by subsidence over a one year period and the use of varying tidal application methods. According to the Descriptive Report for the prior survey, H13135 used ERS with Poor Mans VDATUM for Vertical Control methods where survey H13267 used ERS methods relying on the published VDATUM model for the area. Using prior data available on the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) website, the separation model used for survey H13135 was recreated and compared to the separation model used for survey H13267. The prior model was reconstructed by computing a difference surface between the combined MLLW and ellipsoid bathymetry grids available for survey H13135. The hydrographer found up to a 19-centimeter difference between the two models at the survey junction.




Figure 13: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H13135 2-meter

H13136

The mean difference between H13267 and H13136 survey depths is 45 centimeters (H13267 deeper than H13136), shown in Figure 14. Major differences are representative of surveys impacted by subsidence over a one year period and the use of varying tidal application methods. According to the Descriptive Report for the prior survey, H13136 used ERS with Poor Mans VDATUM for Vertical Control methods where survey H13267 used ERS methods relying on the published VDATUM model for the area. Using prior data available on the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) website, the separation model used for survey H13136 was recreated and compared to the separation model used for survey H13267. The prior model was reconstructed by computing a difference surface between the combined MLLW and ellipsoid bathymetry grids available for survey H13136. The hydrographer found a 20-centimeter difference between the two models at the survey junction.




Figure 14: Distribution summary plot of survey H13267 2-meter vs H13136 2-meter

B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks

Quality control is discussed in detail in Section B of the DAPR. Results from weekly position checks and weekly multibeam bar checks are included in Separate I Acquisition and Processing Logs of this report. Sound speed checks can be found in Separate II Sound Speed Data Summary of this report.

Multibeam data were reviewed at multiple levels of data processing including: CARIS HIPS conversion, subset editing, and analysis of anomalies revealed in CUBE surfaces.

B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness

There were no conditions or deficiencies that affected equipment operational effectiveness.

B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings

There were no other factors that affected corrections to soundings.

B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods

Sound Speed Cast Frequency: Approximately 20 minute intervals

An AML Oceanographic Moving Vessel Profiler (MVP) was the primary instrument used to acquire sound speed readings during multibeam operations. Additional discussion of sound speed methods can be found in the DAPR.

For H13267 survey operations, casts were distributed both temporally and spatially based on observed changes in sound speed profiles. Sound speed readings were applied in CARIS using the nearest in distance within a one-hour interval. All sound speed measurements were made within 500 meters of the survey limits.

B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods

Survey speeds were maintained to meet or exceed along-track sounding density requirements and side scan sonar ensonification requirements.

Multibeam data and side scan mosaics were thoroughly reviewed for holidays and areas of poor-quality coverage due to biomass, vessel wakes, or other factors. Side scan sonar contacts were developed with multibeam sonar to obtain a least depth of the contact using Complete Coverage requirements.

Complete coverage multibeam was acquired inside the disapproval radii for assigned charted features and over all new features. Additional discussion of coverage methods can be found in the DAPR.

B.2.9 Density

The sounding density requirement of 95% of all nodes, populated with at least five soundings per node, was verified by analyzing the density layer of each finalized surface. Individual surface results are stated in Figures 15 and 16.




Figure 15: Node density statistics - 2m finalized




Figure 16: Node density statistics - 4m finalized

B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections

B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings

Data reduction procedures for survey H13267 are detailed in the DAPR. Summary multibeam and side scan sonar processing logs are included in Separate I of this report.

B.3.2 Calibrations

All sounding systems were calibrated as detailed in the DAPR.

B.4 Backscatter

Multibeam backscatter was logged in Hypack 7k format and included with the H13267 digital deliverables. Data were processed periodically in CARIS HIPS to evaluate backscatter quality, but the processed data is not included with the deliverables. For data management purposes, the names of multibeam crosslines have been appended with the suffix XL. This change was made to HIPS files only. The original file names of raw data files (Hypack HSX and 7k) have been retained.

B.5 Data Processing

B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software

The following software program was the primary program used for bathymetric data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
CARIS	HIPS/SIPS	10.4.5

Table 10: Primary bathymetric data processing software

The following software program was the primary program used for imagery data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
Chesapeake Technology, Inc.	SonarWiz	7.04.01

Table 11: Primary imagery data processing software

The following Feature Object Catalog was used: NOAA Profile Version 5.7.

A detailed listing of all data processing software is included in the OPR-J311-KR-19 DAPR.

B.5.2 Surfaces

The following surfaces and/or BAGs were submitted to the Processing Branch:

Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
H13267_MB_2m_MLLW	CARIS Raster Surface (CUBE)	2 meters	24.146 meters - 63.838 meters	NOAA_2m	Complete MBES
H13267_MB_2m_MLLW_Final	CARIS Raster Surface (CUBE)	2 meters	24.146 meters - 40.000 meters	NOAA_2m	Finalized Complete MBES
H13267_MB_4m_MLLW	CARIS Raster Surface (CUBE)	4 meters	24.135 meters - 63.541 meters	NOAA_4m	Complete MBES
H13267_MB_4m_MLLW_Final	CARIS Raster Surface (CUBE)	4 meters	36.000 meters - 63.541 meters	NOAA_4m	Finalized Complete MBES
H13267_SSSAB_1m_600kHz_1of1	SSS Mosaic	1 meters	0.000 meters - 0.000 meters	N/A	100% SSS

Table 12: Submitted Surfaces

Bathymetric grids were created relative to Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW) in CUBE format using Complete Coverage resolution requirements as specified in the HSSD.

B.5.3 Designated Soundings

A total of three soundings in H13267 were designated in bathymetric data to facilitate feature management for inclusion in the H13267 Final Feature File (FFF). No soundings were designated to override the gridded surface model.

B.5.4 CARIS HDCS Navigation Sources

On January 15, 2020 (DN015), a review of the initial SBET export_Mission_1_DN015_BL.out using POSpac Automated QC Tool indicated various systematic vertical inconsistencies due to unexplained short term Dead Reckoning modes and GNSS Satellite dropouts of the POS MV system. This resulted in poor vertical solutions of the data, identifiable in the “GPSheight” records within CARIS. Errors were observed on the order of 10 to 30 centimeters. These inconsistencies were not observable in real-time monitoring. Data were inspected in both the NOAA Pydro AutoQC tool and in CARIS subset editor.

To correct these inconsistencies, where prudent to correct for the errant vertical solutions, the SBET was interpolated using NOAA Pydro AutoQC and re-applied to DN015 along with an updated RMS file. Upon application, close inspection in subset editor verified improved vertical alignment with crosslines and other overlapping data.

The interpolated portions of the SBET impact the following eight lines:

2020BL0150144
 2020BL0150303
 2020BL0150428
 2020BL0150554
 2020BL0150613
 2020BL0150806
 2020BL0151035
 2020BL0151214_XL

See Figure 17 for details of interpolation durations and Figure 18 for details of the interpolated SBET.

Line	hh:mm:ss Interpolated Segment Duration				Totals
	1	2	3	4	
2020BL0150144	00:21:32				00:21:32
2020BL0150303	00:16:06	00:17:10			00:33:16
2020BL0150428	00:02:06				00:02:06
2020BL0150554	00:06:15				00:06:15
2020BL0150613	00:07:38				00:07:38
2020BL0150806	00:04:16	00:13:11	00:01:48	00:03:41	00:22:56
2020BL0151035	00:28:13	00:04:35	00:06:06		00:38:54
2020BL0151214_XL	00:07:25				00:07:25

Average	00:10:13	Total Interpolated	02:20:02
Count	14		
Min	00:01:48		
Max	00:28:13		

Figure 17: Lines with interpolated SBET, and details of segment count and duration




Figure 18: Portions of SBET interpolated. Note vertical jumps on top graphs, and resultant interpolation on bottom graph

C. Vertical and Horizontal Control

A summary of the horizontal and vertical control for survey H13267 follows.

C.1 Vertical Control

The vertical datum for this project is Mean Lower Low Water.

ERS Datum Transformation

The following ellipsoid-to-chart vertical datum transformation was used:

Method	Ellipsoid to Chart Datum Separation File
ERS via VDATUM	OPR-J311-KR-19_VDatum2_xyNAD83-MLLW_geoid12b.csar

Table 13: ERS method and SEP file

The separation model listed in Table 13 was provided with the Project Instructions and used for sounding correction within the assigned survey area. Realtime navigation for all MBES survey lines were overwritten with post-processed navigation solutions in SBET format. Post-processed solutions were generated using Applanix POSPac MMS using the Trimble CenterPoint RTX option which relies on precise satellite orbit and timing information to create centimeter level positioning and elevation without the use of traditional local base stations. Information on survey control is detailed in the DAPR.

C.2 Horizontal Control

The horizontal datum for this project is North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83).

The projection used for this project is Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 16.

DGPS

The following DGPS Stations were used for horizontal control:

DGPS Stations
English Turn, LA (293 kHz)

Table 14: USCG DGPS Stations

Real-time positioning for side scan sonar operations was provided by differential GPS using corrections received from the US Coast Guard National Differential GPS (NDGPS) coverage network from differential beacons at English Turn, LA (293 kHz).

WAAS

The Federal Aviation Administration Wide Area Augmentation System (FAA WAAS) was enabled to be active if the English Turn station experienced periods of down time.

D. Results and Recommendations

D.1 Chart Comparison

The chart comparison was performed by comparing H13267 survey depths to a digital surface generated from electronic navigational charts (ENCs) covering the survey area. A 50-meter product surface was generated from a triangular irregular network (TIN) created from the ENC's soundings, depth contours, and depth features. The 50-meter HIPS product surface of the entire survey area was generated from the 2-meter CUBE surface. The chart comparison was conducted by creating and reviewing a difference surface using the ENC surface and survey surface as inputs. The chart comparison also included a review of all

assigned charted features within the survey area. The results of the comparison are detailed below. The relevant charts used during the comparison were reviewed to check that all US Coast Guard (USCG) Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs) issued during survey acquisition, and impacting the survey area, were applied and addressed by this survey.

A charted fish haven (authorized min 14 fms) was located within the survey area. A submerged decommissioned platform was found within the charted obstruction area. The least depth of this feature is less than the authorized minimum depth of the fish haven. As such, a new obstruction was generated for this feature and included in the FFF. The feature's least depth is deeper than 66 feet, therefore a danger to navigation was not issued. This fish haven is part of the Mississippi Department of Marine Resources' (MDMR) Rigs to Reefs Program and has been designated as MP 138.

D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts

The following are the largest scale ENC's, which cover the survey area:

ENC	Scale	Edition	Update Application Date	Issue Date	Preliminary?
US3GC04M	1:250000	63	08/01/2019	11/19/2019	NO
US4LA33M	1:80000	36	08/01/2019	12/04/2019	NO
US4LA34M	1:80000	36	08/01/2019	11/13/2019	NO

Table 15: Largest Scale ENC's

US3GC04M

ENC US3GC04M covered the entire extents of survey H13267. Figure 19 shows the magnitude of difference for the comparison area. The 83 foot sounding in the southern region on survey H13267 is a charted fish haven that includes a submerged platform 13 cm shoaler than the authorized minimum. This is represented more adequately in a smaller chart scale comparison with US4LA33M and US4LA34M, below in Figure 20.

US4LA33M

ENC US4LA33M covered the southern extent of survey H13267. Figure 20 shows the magnitude of difference for the comparison area.

US4LA34M

ENC US4LA34M covered the southwest extent of survey H13267. Figure 20 shows the magnitude of difference for the comparison area.

D.1.2 Maritime Boundary Points

No Maritime Boundary Points were assigned for this survey.

D.1.3 Charted Features

This survey contains three assigned charted wrecks labeled Position Approximate (PA) in the southern extents of H13267.

All three features are assigned as charted wreck (PA) with depth unknown. These were disproved inside an assigned disproval radius with 100% MBES coverage. The charted wrecks have been included in the FFF with a description of 'Delete'.

D.1.4 Uncharted Features

All uncharted features are portrayed in the FFF as surveyed and attributed with the description of 'New'.

D.1.5 Shoal and Hazardous Features

No Dangers to Navigation (Dtons) were submitted for this survey.

D.1.6 Channels

No channels exist within the survey extents. There are no precautionary areas, safety fairways, traffic separation schemes, or pilot boarding areas within the survey limits.

D.1.7 Bottom Samples

Five bottom samples were acquired on December 15, 2019 (DN349). The bottom sampling plan followed suggested sample locations included in the Project Reference File (PRF) provided. Minor adjustments were made to the recommended sampling locations in order to sample the varying bottom types observed in the side scan data. This modification was approved by the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR). Correspondence is included in Appendix II Supplemental Survey Records & Correspondence of this report.

D.2 Additional Results

D.2.1 Shoreline

Shoreline was not assigned in the Hydrographic Survey Project Instructions or Statement of Work.

D.2.2 Aids to Navigation

Uncharted lights and sound signals were present on all three platforms observed in the survey area. According to the Light List, the USCG does not generally include private aids located on offshore structures in the document.

D.2.3 Overhead Features

No overhead features exist for this survey.

D.2.4 Submarine Features

There are 17 assigned submerged pipelines in the survey extents for H13267. These features were carefully reviewed for any portion of pipeline that was exposed or posed a risk to navigation. These pipelines are included in the FFF with a description of 'Retain' due to the inability of the field unit to determine if pipelines are buried.

A pipeline report included in Appendix II, was submitted to the BSEE on February 11, 2020, reporting sections of exposed or unburied pipeline visible in the MBES and SSS data. The report indicates the positions of the start and end points of sections of what appear to be exposed pipelines based on interpretation of multibeam data. Due to the inability to accurately depict the location and orientation of all exposed pipelines with a single line segment, these features have been included in the FFF with a description of 'New', should further action be required after survey submittal. It is not the hydrographer's intention that these pipeline features be used as source information for charting without further validation of origin.

D.2.5 Platforms

There are seven assigned platforms and one assigned wellhead charted within the survey extents of H13267.

The northernmost production platform charted in the survey area was not observed visually. The platform was disproved in an assigned disproval radius with 100% MBES coverage. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'Delete'. The hydrographer recommends removing this platform from the charts.

The production platform in the northeastern extents of the survey area was disproved visually and in an assigned disproval radius with 100% MBES coverage. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'Delete'. The hydrographer recommends removing this platform from the charts.

The ARENA-OFFSHORE-116-1 production platform charted in the western extents of the survey area was positioned with MBES approximately 17 meters off station. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'New'. The hydrographer recommends updating the platform to the surveyed position.

The CH-MP-120-1-CE production platform charted in the western extents of the survey area was positioned with MBES approximately 25 meters off station. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'New'. The hydrographer recommends updating the platform to the surveyed position.

The production platform charted in the western extents of the survey area was positioned with MBES approximately 39 meters off station. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'New'. The hydrographer recommends updating the platform to the surveyed position.

The VPI-MP-125-3 production platform charted in the southwest extent of the survey area was disproved in an assigned disproval radius with 100% MBES coverage. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'Delete'. The hydrographer recommends removing this platform from the charts.

The VPI-MP-124-2 production platform charted in the southwest extent of the survey area was disproved in an assigned disproval radius with 100% MBES coverage. The platform is included in the FFF with a description of 'Delete'. The hydrographer recommends removing this platform from the charts.

The wellhead, Well (cov 14 fms), charted in the southern extent of the survey area was not observed inside an assigned disproval radius with 100% MBES coverage. The wellhead has been included in the FFF with a description of 'Delete'.

D.2.6 Ferry Routes and Terminals

No ferry routes or terminals exist for this survey.

D.2.7 Abnormal Seafloor and/or Environmental Conditions

No abnormal seafloor and/or environmental conditions exist for this survey.

D.2.8 Construction and Dredging

No present or planned construction or dredging exist within the survey limits.

D.2.9 New Survey Recommendation

No new surveys or further investigations are recommended for this area.

D.2.10 Inset Recommendation

No new insets are recommended for this area.





E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, field operations for this hydrographic survey were conducted under my direct supervision, with frequent personal checks of progress and adequacy. I have reviewed the attached survey data and reports.

All field sheets, this Descriptive Report, and all accompanying records and data are approved. All records are forwarded for final review and processing to the Processing Branch.

The survey data meets or exceeds requirements as set forth in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys and Specifications Deliverables, Field Procedures Manual, Letter Instructions, and all HSD Technical Directives. These data are adequate to supersede charted data in their common areas. This survey is complete and no additional work is required.

Report Name	Report Date Sent
Data Acquisition and Processing Report	2020-02-07

Approver Name	Approver Title	Approval Date	Signature
Jonathan L. Dasler, PE, PLS, CH	NSPS/THSOA Certified Hydrographer, Chief of Party	03/20/2020	 Digitally signed by Jon L. Dasler Date: 2020.03.20 12:44:49 -07'00' 2020.006.20042
Jason Creech, CH	NSPS/THSOA Certified Hydrographer, Charting Manager / Project Manager	03/20/2020	 Digitally signed by Jason Creech Date: 2020.03.20 12:45:40 -07'00'
Callan McGriff, EIT	IHO Cat-A Hydrographer, Lead Hydrographer	03/20/2020	 Digitally signed by Callan McGriff Date: 2020.03.20 12:46:22 -07'00'
Steven Loy	IHO Cat-A Hydrographer, Lead Hydrographer	03/20/2020	 Digitally signed by Steven Loy Date: 2020.03.20 12:49:20 -07'00'

F. Table of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AHB	Atlantic Hydrographic Branch
AST	Assistant Survey Technician
ATON	Aid to Navigation
AWOIS	Automated Wreck and Obstruction Information System
BAG	Bathymetric Attributed Grid
BASE	Bathymetry Associated with Statistical Error
CO	Commanding Officer
CO-OPS	Center for Operational Products and Services
CORS	Continuously Operating Reference Station
CTD	Conductivity Temperature Depth
CEF	Chart Evaluation File
CSF	Composite Source File
CST	Chief Survey Technician
CUBE	Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetry Estimator
DAPR	Data Acquisition and Processing Report
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DP	Detached Position
DR	Descriptive Report
DTON	Danger to Navigation
ENC	Electronic Navigational Chart
ERS	Ellipsoidal Referenced Survey
ERTDM	Ellipsoidally Referenced Tidal Datum Model
ERZT	Ellipsoidally Referenced Zoned Tides
FFF	Final Feature File
FOO	Field Operations Officer
FPM	Field Procedures Manual
GAMS	GPS Azimuth Measurement Subsystem
GC	Geographic Cell
GPS	Global Positioning System
HIPS	Hydrographic Information Processing System
HSD	Hydrographic Surveys Division

Acronym	Definition
HSSD	Hydrographic Survey Specifications and Deliverables
HSTB	Hydrographic Systems Technology Branch
HSX	Hypack Hysweep File Format
HTD	Hydrographic Surveys Technical Directive
HVCR	Horizontal and Vertical Control Report
HVF	HIPS Vessel File
IHO	International Hydrographic Organization
IMU	Inertial Motion Unit
ITRF	International Terrestrial Reference Frame
LNM	Linear Nautical Miles
MBAB	Multibeam Echosounder Acoustic Backscatter
MCD	Marine Chart Division
MHW	Mean High Water
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water
NAD 83	North American Datum of 1983
NALL	Navigable Area Limit Line
NTM	Notice to Mariners
NMEA	National Marine Electronics Association
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NRT	Navigation Response Team
NSD	Navigation Services Division
OCS	Office of Coast Survey
OMAO	Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (NOAA)
OPS	Operations Branch
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder
NWLON	National Water Level Observation Network
PDBS	Phase Differencing Bathymetric Sonar
PHB	Pacific Hydrographic Branch
POS/MV	Position and Orientation System for Marine Vessels
PPK	Post Processed Kinematic
PPP	Precise Point Positioning
PPS	Pulse per second

Acronym	Definition
PRF	Project Reference File
PS	Physical Scientist
RNC	Raster Navigational Chart
RTK	Real Time Kinematic
RTX	Real Time Extended
SBES	Singlebeam Echosounder
SBET	Smooth Best Estimate and Trajectory
SNM	Square Nautical Miles
SSS	Side Scan Sonar
SSSAB	Side Scan Sonar Acoustic Backscatter
ST	Survey Technician
SVP	Sound Velocity Profiler
TCARI	Tidal Constituent And Residual Interpolation
TPU	Total Propagated Uncertainty
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	United States Coast Guard
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
XO	Executive Officer
ZDF	Zone Definition File

Jason Creech

From: Jason Creech
Sent: Friday, January 24, 2020 9:18 AM
To: 'survey.outlines@noaa.gov'
Cc: Kathryn Pridgen - NOAA Federal
Subject: OPR-J311-KR-19 Survey Outlines
Attachments: H13268_survey_outline.000; H13267_survey_outline.000; H13266_survey_outline.000; H13264_survey_outline.000; H13262_survey_outline.000

Good Morning

I have attached the last of the survey outlines for project OPR-J311-KR-19. This includes surveys

H13262

H13264

H13266

H13267

H13268

Please let me know if you have any feedback or questions on these outlines. All outlines for OPR-J311-KR-19 are now submitted.

Thanks,
Jason

Jason Creech, CH | Vice President, Nautical Charting Program Manager

David Evans and Associates, Inc.

2801 SE Columbia Way, Suite 130 | Vancouver, WA, 98661 | www.deainc.com

t: 804.806.4440 | c: 804.516.7829 | jasc@deainc.com

[ENERGY](#) | [LAND DEVELOPMENT](#) | [MARINE SERVICES](#) | [SURVEYING AND GEOMATICS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#) | [WATER AND ENVIRONMENT](#)

Jason Creech

From: Jason Creech
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 9:37 AM
To: pipelines@bsee.gov
Cc: Angie Gobert (angie.gobert@bsee.gov); Pelous, Steven A; Diphicyl, Forna N; Christina Fandel - NOAA Federal; 'Tim Osborn (Tim.Osborn@noaa.gov)'
Subject: Gulf of Mexico Unburied Pipelines H13267
Attachments: H13267_Exposed_Pipelines_for_BSEE.xlsx; H13267_Pipeline_Images.zip

Good morning

While performing hydrographic surveys of the Gulf of Mexico for NOAA Office of Coast Survey, David Evans and Associates, Inc. has discovered what appear to multiple segments of unburied pipelines. Attached is a spreadsheet containing the locations of the start and end points of the segments and a zip file containing screen shots from the multibeam and side scan sonar data as well as overview maps of each exposure. All coordinates are relative to NAD83(2011) and listed in degrees minutes seconds (DMS). This report is based on interpretation of sonar data with all reported exposures having the signature of a linear pipeline.

Please let me know if you have any questions or require additional information. Christy Fandel, the NOAA Project Manager for this survey, and Tim Osborn, the NOAA Central Gulf Coast Regional Navigation Manager have been copied on this email.

H13267_Pipeline_01a is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 176 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 29 9.217N, 88 40 11.927W and ending at 29 29 7.634N, 88 40 11.11W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 157 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 16, 2019 (DN 289). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and appears to be unburied with no discernable height above the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_01b is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 211 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 28 46.032N, 88 39 54.209W and ending at 29 28 44.274N, 88 39 52.914W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 148 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 15, 2019 (DN 288). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_01c is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 185 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 28 38.002N, 88 39 49.231W and ending at 29 28 36.431N, 88 39 48.147W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 150 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 15, 2019 (DN 288). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and appears to be unburied with no discernable height above the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_01d is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 834 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 28 34.767N, 88 39 47.089W and ending at 29 28 27.882N, 88 39 41.893W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 147 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 15, 2019 (DN 288). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_01e is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 134 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 28 20.329N, 88 39 35.292W and ending at 29 28 19.15N, 88 39 34.603W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 154 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 15, 2019 (DN 288). The segment is located near a charted pipeline and rises approximately 3 feet above the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_01f is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 434 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 28 10.687N, 88 39 28.333W and ending at 29 28 6.917N, 88 39 25.991W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 152 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 15, 2019 (DN 288). The segment is located near a charted pipeline and rises approximately 2 feet above the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_01g is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 262 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 27 59.643N, 88 39 20.48W and ending at 29 27 57.389N, 88 39 19.013W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 151 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on October 14, 2019 (DN 287). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is part of a Chevron USA INC pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_02 is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 137 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 21 38.298N, 88 50 28.59W and ending at 29 21 37.041N, 88 50 28.02W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 159 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on November 21, 2019 (DN 325). This segment is not located near a charted pipeline and appears to be unburied with no discernable height above the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is 8000 ft from the nearest pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_03 is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 436 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 20 25.591N, 88 48 21.868W and ending at 29 20 24.185N, 88 48 17.211W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 110 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on November 22, 2019 (DN 326). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is a Newfield Exploration Company pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_04 is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 265 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 20 24.252N, 88 48 20.42W and ending at 29 20 23.279N, 88 48 17.645W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 113 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on November 22, 2019 (DN 326). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is a Newfield Exploration Company pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_05 is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 58 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 20 27.172N, 88 48 1.815W and ending at 29 20 27.497N, 88 48 1.27W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 57 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on January 16, 2020 (DN 16). This segment is not located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is 941 feet from a Newfield Exploration Company pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_06 is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 194 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 20 43.372N, 88 49 32.389W and ending at 29 20 42.96N, 88 49 30.253W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 103

degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on November 18, 2019 (DN 322). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is a Newfield Exploration Company pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

H13267_Pipeline_07 is a segment of exposed pipeline approximately 247 feet in length with starting coordinates 29 18 12.238N, 88 46-24.564W and ending at 29 18 11.830N, 88 46 21.807W. The exposed segment has a bearing of 100 degrees and was identified in acoustic data acquired on November 22, 2019 (DN 326). This segment is located near a charted pipeline and sits in a depression below the surrounding seafloor. According to BOEM/BSEE online map service, the exposed segment is a Newfield Exploration Company pipeline. The exposed pipeline is not significantly shoaler than nearby charted soundings.

Jason Creech, CH | Vice President, Nautical Charting Program Manager

David Evans and Associates, Inc.

2801 SE Columbia Way, Suite 130 | Vancouver, WA, 98661 | www.deainc.com

t: 804.806.4440 | c: 804.516.7829 | jasc@deainc.com

[ENERGY](#) | [LAND DEVELOPMENT](#) | [MARINE SERVICES](#) | [SURVEYING AND GEOMATICS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#) | [WATER AND ENVIRONMENT](#)

Jason Creech

From: NODC.DataOfficer@noaa.gov
Sent: Monday, February 3, 2020 9:27 AM
To: Jason Creech
Subject: [Send2NCEI] data submission confirmation for Reference ID: ODMBMT

Dear Jason Creech,

Thank you for submitting your data collection, titled "SOUND VELOCITY collected from S/V Blake in Gulf of Mexico from 2019-06-14 to 2020-01-16", to the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI). Your submission package has been assigned Reference ID: ODMBMT. After reviewing your data and metadata, NCEI will update you about the archival status of your submission package.

You will be notified if NCEI creates an archival information package (accession) of your data, including the unique identifier for that archival information package (the NCEI Accession number). When your data are archived, NCEI keeps an exact copy of the data and metadata you sent and will develop necessary tracking and discovery metadata. In addition, NCEI may create additional versions to ensure your data are preserved for long-term access.

Upon completion of these archival ingest actions, NCEI will publish your data online (including a copy of your original files). You will receive another email once your submission package (Reference ID: ODMBMT) is published for global access. In addition, NCEI may include all or part of your data into one or more product databases, such as the World Ocean Database.

If you have any questions about NCEI archival processes, please contact NODC.DataOfficer@noaa.gov. Also, if at any time you wish to update your submission package, please send an e-mail to NODC.DataOfficer@noaa.gov with your request. Please remember to include your submission package Reference ID.

Thank you again for choosing to archive your data with the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI).

NCEI Data Officer Team
NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information NOAA/NESDIS
1315 East-West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
USA

OPR-J311-KR-19
Marine Mammal Trained Observers

David Evans and Associates, Inc.

2801 SE Columbia Way, Suite 130

Vancouver, WA 98661

Phone: 360-314-3200

Fax: 360-314-3250

Inclusive Dates: 5/21/2019 - 2/15/2020

General Locality: Chandeleur Islands

H Number	Sub Locality	Priority
H13260	12 NM East of North Islands	1
H13261	19 NM East of North Islands	2
H13262	19 NM East of Freemason Islands	3
H13263	25 NM East of Freemason Islands	4
H13264	23 NM East of North Islands	5
H13265	27 NM East of North Islands	6
H13266	27 NM ESE of Freemason Islands	7
H13267	24 NM East of Brenton Islands	8
H13268	12 NM South of Mobile Point	9

Observer	Position	Training Video ¹ Date
Alexandra Juneau	Survey Crew	6/7/2019
Andrew Beets	Survey Crew	7/12/2019
Callan McGriff	Survey Crew	6/15/2019
Daniel Prince	Survey Crew	6/7/2019
David Moehl	Survey Crew	6/7/2019
Erin Haphey	Survey Crew	7/8/2019
Jason Dorfman	Survey Crew	6/6/2019
Laura Rajnak	Survey Crew	6/7/2019
Matthew Chatterton	Survey Crew	6/20/2019
Rachel Hausmann	Survey Crew	6/12/2019
Sam Werner	Survey Crew	6/6/2019
Steven Loy	Survey Crew	3/13/2019
Tyler Ball	Survey Crew	9/11/2019
George Hopkins	Vessel Crew	6/22/2019
Harry Stutzke	Vessel Crew	6/13/2019
Jarrold Leckich	Vessel Crew	6/22/2019
Jason Privett	Vessel Crew	9/10/2019
Jerry David Keith	Vessel Crew	6/13/2019
Jonathan Jones	Vessel Crew	9/11/2019
Joseph Ziz	Vessel Crew	7/15/2019
Ryan Willis	Vessel Crew	6/13/2019
Timothy Kennedy	Vessel Crew	6/13/2019

¹ Marine Species Awareness Training Video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KKo3r1yVBBA>

Jason Creech

From: Jason Creech
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2020 3:15 PM
To: 'pop.information@noaa.gov'; 'ocs.ecc@noaa.gov'
Cc: Christina Fandel - NOAA Federal
Subject: OPR-J311-KR-19 Marine Mammal Observation Logs
Attachments: OPR-J311-KR-19_Marine_Mammal_Logs.zip

Good afternoon

I have attached a zip file containing Marine Mammal Observation Logs from hydrographic survey project OPR-J311-KR-19. This project was performed by David Evans and Associates, Inc. under contract to NOAA Office of Coast Survey.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this submittal.

Thanks,
Jason

Jason Creech, CH | Vice President, Nautical Charting Program Manager
David Evans and Associates, Inc.

2801 SE Columbia Way, Suite 130 | Vancouver, WA, 98661 | www.deainc.com
t: 804.806.4440 | c: 804.516.7829 | jasc@deainc.com

[ENERGY](#) | [LAND DEVELOPMENT](#) | [MARINE SERVICES](#) | [SURVEYING AND GEOMATICS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#) | [WATER AND ENVIRONMENT](#)

APPROVAL PAGE

H13267

Data meet or exceed current specifications as certified by the OCS survey acceptance review process. Descriptive Report and survey data except where noted are adequate to supersede prior surveys and nautical charts in the common area.

The following products will be sent to NCEI for archive

- Descriptive Report
- Data Acquisition and Processing Report
- Collection of Bathymetric Attributed Grids (BAGs)
- Processed survey data and records
- Geospatial PDF of survey products
- Collection of backscatter mosaics

The survey evaluation and verification have been conducted according to current OCS specifications, and the survey has been approved for dissemination and usage of updating NOAA's suite of nautical charts.

Approved: _____

Commander Meghan McGovern, NOAA
Chief, Atlantic Hydrographic Branch