H13419

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Ocean Service

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Type of Survey:	Navigable Area
Registry Number:	H13419

LOCALITY

State(s):	Alaska
General Locality:	Prince William Sound, AK
Sub-locality:	Harriman Fiord

2021

CHIEF OF PARTY
CAPT John Lomnicky

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

Date:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

REGISTRY NUMBER:

HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

H13419

INSTRUCTIONS: The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

State(s):	**Alaska**
General Locality:	**Prince William Sound, AK**
Sub-Locality:	**Harriman Fiord**
Scale:	**40000**
Dates of Survey:	**03/23/2021 to 03/24/2021**
Instructions Dated:	**02/24/2021**
Project Number:	**OPR-P358-FA-21**
Field Unit:	**NOAA Ship *Fairweather***
Chief of Party:	**CAPT John Lomnicky**
Soundings by:	**Multibeam Echo Sounder**
Imagery by:	**Multibeam Echo Sounder Backscatter**
Verification by:	**Pacific Hydrographic Branch**
Soundings Acquired in:	**meters at Mean Lower Low Water**

Remarks:

Any revisions to the Descriptive Report (DR) applied during office processing are shown in red italic text. The DR is maintained as a field unit product, therefore all information and recommendations within this report are considered preliminary unless otherwise noted. The final disposition of survey data is represented in the NOAA nautical chart products. All pertinent records for this survey are archived at the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and can be retrieved via https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/. Products created during office processing were generated in NAD83 UTM 6N, MLLW. All references to other horizontal or vertical datums in this report are applicable to the processed hydrographic data provided by the field unit.

Table of Contents

A. Area Surveyed....1
A.1 Survey Limits....1
A.2 Survey Purpose....4
A.3 Survey Quality....4
A.4 Survey Coverage....4
A.6 Survey Statistics....5
B. Data Acquisition and Processing....7
B.1 Equipment and Vessels....7
B.1.1 Vessels....7
B.1.2 Equipment....7
B.2 Quality Control....8
B.2.1 Crosslines....8
B.2.2 Uncertainty....9
B.2.3 Junctions....10
B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks....10
B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness....10
B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings....10
B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods....11
B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods....11
B.2.9 Holidays....11
B.2.10 NOAA Allowable Uncertainty....13
B.2.11 Density....14
B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections....15
B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings....15
B.3.2 Calibrations....15
B.4 Backscatter....16
B.5 Data Processing....17
B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software....17
B.5.2 Surfaces....18
C. Vertical and Horizontal Control....18
C.1 Vertical Control....19
C.2 Horizontal Control....19
D. Results and Recommendations....19
D.1 Chart Comparison....19
D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts....20
D.1.2 Shoal and Hazardous Features....20
D.1.3 Charted Features....21
D.1.4 Uncharted Features....21
D.1.5 Channels....21
D.2 Additional Results....22
D.2.1 Aids to Navigation....22
D.2.2 Maritime Boundary Points....22
D.2.3 Bottom Samples....22

D.2.4 Overhead Features..... 23
D.2.5 Submarine Features.....23
D.2.6 Platforms..... 23
D.2.7 Ferry Routes and Terminals..... 23
D.2.8 Abnormal Seafloor or Environmental Conditions.....23
D.2.9 Construction and Dredging.....24
D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations.....24
D.2.11 ENC Scale Recommendations.....24
E. Approval Sheet.....25
F. Table of Acronyms..... 26

List of Tables

Table 1: Survey Limits.....1
Table 2: Survey Coverage.....4
Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics..... 6
Table 4: Dates of Hydrography.....7
Table 5: Vessels Used.....7
Table 6: Major Systems Used.....7
Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values..... 9
Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values.....10
Table 9: Primary bathymetric data processing software.....17
Table 10: Primary imagery data processing software.....17
Table 11: Submitted Surfaces..... 18
Table 12: ERS method and SEP file..... 19
Table 13: Largest Scale ENCs.....20

List of Figures

Figure 1: H13419 sheet limits (in blue) overlaid onto Chart 16711..... 2
Figure 2: Areas where the NALL was defined by the prescence of ice.....3
Figure 3: Area near Surprise Glacier where the NALL was defined by prescence of ice.....3
Figure 4: H13419 survey coverage overlaid onto Chart 16711..... 5
Figure 5: Overview of H13419 crosslines.....8
Figure 6: H13419 crossline and mainscheme difference statistics.....9
Figure 7: Holiday in H13419 where coverage could not be obtained due to thick ice.....12
Figure 8: Holiday in H13419 where the least depth of an offshore feature could not be obtained.....13
Figure 9: H13419 allowable uncertainty statistics.....14
Figure 10: H13419 data density statistics.....15
Figure 11: Backscatter mosaic for H13419..... 16
Figure 12: Backscatter calibration values.....17
Figure 13: Areas where shoaling in Harrian Fiord is farther offshore than reported..... 21
Figure 14: H13419 bottom sample locations.....22

Figure 15: 3D rendering of Surprise Inlet in sheet 13419 with a 300% exaggeration. Three terminal moraines are visible.....24

Descriptive Report to Accompany Survey H13419

Project: OPR-P358-FA-21

Locality: Prince William Sound, AK

Sublocality: Harriman Fiord

Scale: 1:40000

March 2021 - March 2021

NOAA Ship *Fairweather*

Chief of Party: CAPT John Lomnicky

A. Area Surveyed

The survey area is located in Harriman Fiord, AK

A.1 Survey Limits

Data were acquired within the following survey limits:

Northwest Limit	Southeast Limit
61° 3' 53.51" N 148° 26' 34.25" W	60° 58' 17.34" N 148° 17' 55.61" W

Table 1: Survey Limits



Figure 1: H13419 sheet limits (in blue) overlaid onto Chart 16711

Data were acquired to the survey limits in accordance with the requirements in the Project Instructions and the 2020 NOS Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables (HSSD). Coverage acquired in H13419 is shown in Figure 1. In all areas where the 3.5 meter depth contour or the sheet limits were not met, the Navigable Area Limit Line (NALL) was defined as the inshore limit of bathymetry due to the risks of maneuvering the survey vessels within one quarter mile of active glaciers or in areas of thick ice. Examples of these areas are seen in Figures 2 and 3.



Figure 2: Areas where the NALL was defined by the prescence of ice



Figure 3: Area near Surprise Glacier where the NALL was defined by prescence of ice

A.2 Survey Purpose

Prince William Sound has 3,800 miles of coastline, supporting the fishing, oil, and tourism industries. This project will provide modern bathymetric data to the Prince William Sound region, primarily focusing on areas left unsurveyed due to significant glacial retreat. The area has experienced increased tour boat and cruise ship traffic in recent years. In 2019, the Port of Valdez estimated almost 20,000 passengers aboard cruise ships with the numbers expected to increase.

As the area is becoming more popular, there is greater vessel traffic near the unmapped glacier faces. Most of these glacier areas have not been surveyed since the 1990s with sedimentation potentially changing the submerged glacial moraines. Conducting a modern bathymetric survey in this area will address Seabed 2030 data gaps, identify hazards and changes to the seafloor, provide critical data for updating National Ocean Service (NOS) nautical charting products and improve maritime safety. Survey data from this project is intended to supersede all prior survey data in the common area.

A.3 Survey Quality

The entire survey is adequate to supersede previous data.

Data acquired in H13419 meet multibeam echo sounder (MBES) coverage requirements for complete coverage, as required by the HSSD. This includes crosslines (see Section B.2.1), NOAA allowable uncertainty (see Section B.2.10), and density requirements (see Section B.2.11).

A.4 Survey Coverage

The following table lists the coverage requirements for this survey as assigned in the project instructions:

Water Depth	Coverage Required
All waters in survey area	Complete Coverage

Table 2: Survey Coverage

The entirety of H13419 was acquired with complete coverage, meeting the requirements listed above and in the HSSD. See Figure 4 for an overview of coverage.



Figure 4: H13419 survey coverage overlaid onto Chart 16711

A.6 Survey Statistics

The following table lists the mainscheme and crossline acquisition mileage for this survey:

	HULL ID	*2805*	*2807*	*2808*	*Total*
LNM	SBES Mainscheme	0	0	0	0
	MBES Mainscheme	28.55	20.25	34.90	83.70
	Lidar Mainscheme	0	0	0	0
	SSS Mainscheme	0	0	0	0
	SBES/SSS Mainscheme	0	0	0	0
	MBES/SSS Mainscheme	0	0	0	0
	SBES/MBES Crosslines	0.87	2.85	2.30	6.02
	Lidar Crosslines	0	0	0	0
Number of Bottom Samples					3
Number Maritime Boundary Points Investigated					0
Number of DPs					0
Number of Items Investigated by Dive Ops					0
Total SNM					4.13

Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics

The following table lists the specific dates of data acquisition for this survey:

Survey Dates	Day of the Year
03/23/2021	82
03/24/2021	83

Table 4: Dates of Hydrography

B. Data Acquisition and Processing

B.1 Equipment and Vessels

Refer to the OPR-P358-FA-21 Data Acquisition and Processing Report (DAPR) for a complete description of data acquisition and processing systems, survey vessels, quality control procedures and data processing methods. Additional information to supplement sounding and survey data, and any deviations from the DAPR are discussed in the following sections.

B.1.1 Vessels

The following vessels were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Hull ID	***2805***	***2807***	***2808***
LOA	8.6 meters	8.6 meters	8.6 meters
Draft	1.1 meters	1.1 meters	1.1 meters

Table 5: Vessels Used

The following values HVFs for Vessels 2805 and 2808 differ from what is reported in the DAPR: 2805 static draft : -0.622m, 2805 static draft uncertainty: 0.010m, 2808 static draft: -0.631m, and 2808 static draft uncertainty: 0.030m.

B.1.2 Equipment

The following major systems were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Manufacturer	**Model**	**Type**
Kongsberg Maritime	EM 2040	MBES
Sea-Bird Scientific	SBE 19plus V2	Conductivity, Temperature, and Depth Sensor
Applanix	POS MV 320 v5	Positioning and Attitude System
Teledyne RESON	SVP 71	Sound Speed System

Table 6: Major Systems Used

All launches utilize the Kongsberg EM 2040 MBES, a POS M/V v5 system for position and attitude, SVP 71 surface sound speed sensors, and Sea-Bird SBE 19plus v2 CTDs for conductivity, temperature, and depth casts.

B.2 Quality Control

B.2.1 Crosslines

Crosslines were collected, processed and compared in accordance with Section 5.2.4.2 of the HSSD. To evaluate crosslines, a surface generated via data strictly from mainscheme lines and a surface generated via data strictly from crosslines were created. From these two surfaces, a difference surface (mainscheme - crosslines = difference surface) was generated (Figure 5). Statistics show the mean difference between the depths derived from mainscheme data and crossline data was 0.05 meters (with mainscheme being shoaler) and 95% of nodes falling within 0.69 meters (Figure 6). For the respective depths, the difference surface was compared to the allowable NOAA uncertainty standards. In total, 99% of the depth differences between H13419 mainscheme and crossline data were within allowable NOAA uncertainties.



Figure 5: Overview of H13419 crosslines



Percent of nodes	Deviation (m)
50%	+/- 0.14
60%	+/- 0.23
70%	+/- 0.32
80%	+/- 0.42
90%	+/- 0.52
95%	+/- 0.69

Figure 6: H13419 crossline and mainscheme difference statistics

B.2.2 Uncertainty

The following survey specific parameters were used for this survey:

Method	Measured	Zoning
ERS via ERTDM	N/A	0.13 meters

Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values.

Hull ID	Measured - CTD	Measured - MVP	Measured - XBT	Surface
2805	2 meters/second	N/A	N/A	0.5 meters/second
2807	2 meters/second	N/A	N/A	0.5 meters/second
2808	2 meters/second	N/A	N/A	0.5 meters/second

Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values.

In addition to the usual a priori estimates of uncertainty via device models for vessel motion and ERTDM, real-time and post-processed uncertainty sources were also incorporated into the depth estimates of survey H13419. Real-time uncertainties were provided via EM 2040 MBES data and Applanix Delayed Heave RMS. Following post-processing of the real-time vessel motion, recomputed uncertainties of vessel roll, pitch, gyro and navigation were applied in CARIS HIPS and SIPS via a Smoothed Best Estimate of Trajectory (SBET) RMS file generated in Applanix POSPac.

B.2.3 Junctions

There are no contemporary surveys that junction with this survey.

B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks

Sonar system quality control checks were conducted as detailed in the quality control section of the DAPR.

B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness

There were no conditions or deficiencies that affected equipment operational effectiveness.

B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings

There were no other factors that affected corrections to soundings.

B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods

Sound Speed Cast Frequency: Casts were conducted at a minimum of one every four hours during launch acquisition. Casts were conducted more frequently in areas where the influx of freshwater had an effect on the speed of sound in the water column and when there was a change in surface sound speed greater than two meters per second. All sound speed methods were used as detailed in the DAPR.

B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods

All equipment and survey methods were used as detailed in the DAPR.

B.2.9 Holidays

H13419 data were reviewed in CARIS HIPS and SIPS for holidays in accordance with Section 5.2.2.3 of the HSSD. Two holidays which meet the definition described in the HSSD for complete coverage were identified via HydrOffice QC Tools Holiday Finder tool. This tool automatically scans the surface for holidays as defined in the HSSD and was run in conjunction with a visual inspection of the surface by the hydrographer.

Reasonable attempts were made to cover all gaps in coverage in icy areas. One holiday was left on the north side of Suprise Inlet where it was deemed unsafe to obtain complete coverage due to ice as seen in Figure 7.

One holiday was left in the south side of Surprise Inlet on a rocky feature. Multibeam coverage could not be obtained, as the least depth of the feature was shoaler than the minimum safe depth for the launches and ice present in the area created additional navigational hazards. Due to time constraints, the feature was not observed at a different tide and the least depth was not obtained. The holiday can be seen in Figure 8.



Figure 7: Holiday in H13419 where coverage could not be obtained due to thick ice.



Figure 8: Holiday in H13419 where the least depth of an offshore feature could not be obtained

B.2.10 NOAA Allowable Uncertainty

The surface was analyzed using the HydrOffice QC Tools Grid QA feature to determine compliance with specifications. Overall, over 99.5% of nodes within the surface meet NOAA Allowable Uncertainty specifications for H13419 (Figure 9).



Figure 9: H13419 allowable uncertainty statistics

B.2.11 Density

The surface was analyzed using the HydrOffice QC Tools Grid QA feature to determine compliance with specifications. Density requirements for H13419 were achieved with at least 99.5% of surface nodes containing five or more soundings as required by HSSD Section 5.2.2.3 (Figure 10).



Figure 10: H13419 data density statistics

B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections

B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings

All data reduction procedures conform to those detailed in the DAPR.

B.3.2 Calibrations

All sounding systems were calibrated as detailed in the DAPR.

B.4 Backscatter

Raw backscatter data were stored in the .all file for Kongsberg systems. All backscatter were processed to GSF files and a floating point mosaic was created by the field unit via Fledermaus FMGT 7.9.0 . See Figure 11 for a greyscale representation of the complete mosaic. A relative backscatter calibration was performed by the field unit via a backscatter calibration site in order to bring the survey systems on each of the launches into alignment. See Figure 12 for a table of the calibration values entered into the Processing Settings within FMGT. Approximate inter-calibration corrections for offsets between sonar systems were applied to the mosaic.



Figure 11: Backscatter mosaic for H13419

	200				300				400		
	Short CW	Med CW	Long CW	FM (Both)	Short CW	Med CW	Long CW	FM (Both)	Short CW	Med CW	Long CW
2805	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	0	0.45	0.9	0	-1.2	-0.75	-0.3
2806	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2807	0.6	0.45	0.3	0.6	-0.9	-0.45	0	-1.2	0.3	0.75	1.2
2808	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.6	-0.3	0.15	0.6	0	-2.4	-1.5	-0.6

Figure 12: Backscatter calibration values

B.5 Data Processing

B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software

The following software program was the primary program used for bathymetric data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
CARIS	HIPS and SIPS	11.3

Table 9: Primary bathymetric data processing software

The following software program was the primary program used for imagery data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
QPS	Fledermaus	7.9.0

Table 10: Primary imagery data processing software

The following Feature Object Catalog was used: NOAA Profile Version 2021.

B.5.2 Surfaces

The following surfaces and/or BAGs were submitted to the Processing Branch:

Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
H13419_MB_VR_MLLW	CARIS VR Surface (CUBE)	Variable Resolution	0.8 meters - 139.2 meters	NOAA_VR	Complete MBES
H13419_MB_VR_MLLW_Final	CARIS VR Surface (CUBE)	Variable Resolution	0.8 meters - 139.2 meters	NOAA_VR	Complete MBES

Table 11: Submitted Surfaces

The NOAA CUBE parameters defined in the HSSD were used for the creation of all CUBE surfaces for H13419. The surfaces have been reviewed where noisy data, or "fliers" are incorporated into the gridded solutions causing the surface to be shoaler or deeper than the true sea floor. Where these spurious soundings cause the gridded surface to vary from the reliably measured seabed by greater than the maximum allowable Total Vertical Uncertainty at that depth, the noisy data have been rejected by the hydrographer and the surface recomputed.

Flier Finder, part of the QC Tools package within HydrOffice, was used to assist the search for spurious soundings following gross cleaning. Flier Finder was run iteratively until all remaining flagged fliers were deemed to be valid aspects of the surface.

C. Vertical and Horizontal Control

Per Section 5.2.2.1.3 of the 2020 Field Procedures Manual no Horizontal and Vertical Control Report has been generated for H13419.

C.1 Vertical Control

The vertical datum for this project is Mean Lower Low Water.

ERS Datum Transformation

The following ellipsoid-to-chart vertical datum transformation was used:

Method	Ellipsoid to Chart Datum Separation File
ERS via ERTDM	OPR-P358-FA-21_PWS_ERTDM21-1_NAD83-MLLW

Table 12: ERS method and SEP file

ERS methods were used as the final means of reducing H13419 to MLLW for submission.

C.2 Horizontal Control

The horizontal datum for this project is North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83).

The projection used for this project is Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 6.

The following PPK methods were used for horizontal control:

- RTX

Vessel kinematic data were post-processed using Applanix POSPac processing software and RTX positioning methods described in the DAPR. Smoothed Best Estimate of Trajectory (SBET) and associated error (RMS) data were applied to all MBES data in CARIS HIPS and SIPS.

WAAS

During real-time acquisition, all platforms received correctors from the Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) for increased accuracies similar to USCG DGPS stations. WAAS and SBETs were the sole methods of positioning for H13419 as no DGPS stations were available for real-time horizontal control.

D. Results and Recommendations

D.1 Chart Comparison

D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts

The following are the largest scale ENCs, which cover the survey area:

ENC	Scale	Edition	Update Application Date	Issue Date
US5AK27M	1:50000	5	03/29/2021	07/12/2018

Table 13: Largest Scale ENCs

D.1.2 Shoal and Hazardous Features

The 10 fathom contour at the toe of Harriman Glacier is farther offshore than depicted on the chart. On the north side of the fiord near the glacier, the NALL was reached where a 53 fathom sounding was previously charted. Similarly, the NALL was reached near a 55 fathom sounding and a 39 fathom sounding on the south side of the fiord (Figure 13). Land now extends out to apporximately where the 10 fathom contour was previously charted. The NALL was defined by 3.5 meter depth or a quarter mile safety buffer from the glacier in all areas. The extent of this shoal was not surveyed directly in front of the glacier due to the quarter mile safety buffer



Figure 13: Areas where shoaling in Harrian Fiord is farther offshore than reported.

D.1.3 Charted Features

The shoal reported on the chart near the terminus of Surprise Glacier was observed and is narrower than reported. Deeper water was present between the shoal and the toe of the glacier. The NALL was reached due to ice and a minimum depth of the shoal was not obtained. Large icebergs were observed on the shoal.

D.1.4 Uncharted Features

No uncharted features exist for this survey.

D.1.5 Channels

No channels exist for this survey. There are no designated anchorages, precautionary areas, safety fairways, traffic separation schemes, pilot boarding areas, or channel and range lines within the survey limits.

D.2 Additional Results

D.2.1 Aids to Navigation

No Aids to Navigation (ATONs) exist for this survey.

D.2.2 Maritime Boundary Points

No Maritime Boundary Points were assigned for this survey.

D.2.3 Bottom Samples

3 bottom samples were acquired in accordance with the Project Instructions for survey H13419. All bottom samples were entered in the H13419 Final Feature File. See Figure 14 for a graphical overview of sample locations.



Figure 14: H13419 bottom sample locations

D.2.4 Overhead Features

No overhead features exist for this survey.

D.2.5 Submarine Features

No submarine features exist for this survey.

D.2.6 Platforms

No platforms exist for this survey.

D.2.7 Ferry Routes and Terminals

No ferry routes or terminals exist for this survey.

D.2.8 Abnormal Seafloor or Environmental Conditions

Three terminal moraines were observed in surprise inlet and can be seen in the 3D rendering of the surface in Figure 15.



Figure 15: 3D rendering of Surprise Inlet in sheet H13419 with a 300% exaggeration. Three terminal moraines are visible.

D.2.9 Construction and Dredging

No present or planned construction or dredging exist within the survey limits.

D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations

No new surveys or further investigations are recommended for this area.

D.2.11 ENC Scale Recommendations

No new ENC scales are recommended for this area.

E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, field operations for this hydrographic survey were conducted under my direct supervision, with frequent personal checks of progress and adequacy. I have reviewed the attached survey data and reports.

All field sheets, this Descriptive Report, and all accompanying records and data are approved. All records are forwarded for final review and processing to the Processing Branch.

The survey data meets or exceeds requirements as set forth in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables, Field Procedures Manual, Letter Instructions, and all HSD Technical Directives. These data are adequate to supersede charted data in their common areas. This survey is complete and no additional work is required with the exception of deficiencies noted in the Descriptive Report.

Approver Name	Approver Title	Approval Date	Signature
CAPT John Lomnicky	Commanding Officer	08/16/2021	Digitally signed by LOMNICKY.JOHN.JOSEPH.1257920239 Location: CO, NOAA Ship FAIRWEATHER Date: 2021.09.01 08:23:39 -08'00'
LT Shelley Devereaux	Field Operations Officer	08/16/2021	DEVEREAUX.SHELLEY.TIERA.1504466902 Digitally signed by DEVEREAUX.SHELLEY.TIERA.1504466902 Date: 2021.09.01 08:15:02 -08'00'
Simon Swart	Chief Survey Technician	08/16/2021	SWART.SIMON.EDWARD.1543761962 Digitally signed by SWART.SIMON.EDWARD.1543761962 Date: 2021.09.01 14:01:45 -08'00'
Colin Schmidt	Sheet Manager	08/16/2021	SCHMIDT.COLIN.RICHARD.1587940325 Digitally signed by SCHMIDT.COLIN.RICHARD.1587940325 Date: 2021.08.16 14:11:29 -08'00'

F. Table of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AHB	Atlantic Hydrographic Branch
AST	Assistant Survey Technician
ATON	Aid to Navigation
AWOIS	Automated Wreck and Obstruction Information System
BAG	Bathymetric Attributed Grid
BASE	Bathymetry Associated with Statistical Error
CO	Commanding Officer
CO-OPS	Center for Operational Products and Services
CORS	Continuously Operating Reference Station
CTD	Conductivity Temperature Depth
CEF	Chart Evaluation File
CSF	Composite Source File
CST	Chief Survey Technician
CUBE	Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetry Estimator
DAPR	Data Acquisition and Processing Report
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DP	Detached Position
DR	Descriptive Report
DTON	Danger to Navigation
ENC	Electronic Navigational Chart
ERS	Ellipsoidal Referenced Survey
ERTDM	Ellipsoidally Referenced Tidal Datum Model
ERZT	Ellipsoidally Referenced Zoned Tides
FFF	Final Feature File
FOO	Field Operations Officer
FPM	Field Procedures Manual
GAMS	GPS Azimuth Measurement Subsystem
GC	Geographic Cell
GPS	Global Positioning System
HIPS	Hydrographic Information Processing System
HSD	Hydrographic Surveys Division

Acronym	Definition
HSSD	Hydrographic Survey Specifications and Deliverables
HSTB	Hydrographic Systems Technology Branch
HSX	Hypack Hysweep File Format
HTD	Hydrographic Surveys Technical Directive
HVCR	Horizontal and Vertical Control Report
HVF	HIPS Vessel File
IHO	International Hydrographic Organization
IMU	Inertial Motion Unit
ITRF	International Terrestrial Reference Frame
LNM	Linear Nautical Miles
MBAB	Multibeam Echosounder Acoustic Backscatter
MCD	Marine Chart Division
MHW	Mean High Water
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water
NAD 83	North American Datum of 1983
NALL	Navigable Area Limit Line
NTM	Notice to Mariners
NMEA	National Marine Electronics Association
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NRT	Navigation Response Team
NSD	Navigation Services Division
OCS	Office of Coast Survey
OMAO	Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (NOAA)
OPS	Operations Branch
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder
NWLON	National Water Level Observation Network
PDBS	Phase Differencing Bathymetric Sonar
PHB	Pacific Hydrographic Branch
POS/MV	Position and Orientation System for Marine Vessels
PPK	Post Processed Kinematic
PPP	Precise Point Positioning
PPS	Pulse per second

Acronym	Definition
PRF	Project Reference File
PS	Physical Scientist
RNC	Raster Navigational Chart
RTK	Real Time Kinematic
RTX	Real Time Extended
SBES	Singlebeam Echosounder
SBET	Smooth Best Estimate and Trajectory
SNM	Square Nautical Miles
SSS	Side Scan Sonar
SSSAB	Side Scan Sonar Acoustic Backscatter
ST	Survey Technician
SVP	Sound Velocity Profiler
TCARI	Tidal Constituent And Residual Interpolation
TPU	Total Propagated Uncertainty
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	United States Coast Guard
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
XO	Executive Officer
ZDF	Zone Definition File