### U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Service

### **DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

Type of Survey:	Navigable Area	
Registry Number:	H13577	
	LOCALITY	
State(s):	Guam	
General Locality:	Western Pacific Ocean	
Sub-locality:	Santa Rosa Reef	
2022		
CHIEF OF PARTY		
Hector L. Casanova, CAPT/NOAA		
LIB	SRARY & ARCHIVES	
Date:		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION	REGISTRY NUMBER:
HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET	H13577
INSTRUCTIONS: The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.	

State(s): Guam

General Locality: Western Pacific Ocean

Sub-Locality: Santa Rosa Reef

Scale: 20000

Dates of Survey: 05/31/2022 to 06/02/2022

Instructions Dated: 01/07/2022

Project Number: **OPR-T381-RA-22** 

Field Unit: NOAA Ship Rainier

Chief of Party: Hector L. Casanova, CAPT/NOAA

Soundings by: Multibeam Echo Sounder

Imagery by: Multibeam Echo Sounder Backscatter

Verification by: Pacific Hydrographic Branch

Soundings Acquired in: meters at Mean Lower Low Water

#### Remarks:

Any revisions to the Descriptive Report (DR) applied during office processing are shown in red italic text. The DR is maintained as a field unit product, therefore all information and recommendations within this report are considered preliminary unless otherwise noted. The final disposition of survey data is represented in the NOAA nautical chart products. All pertinent records for this survey are archived at the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and can be retrieved via https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/. Products created during office processing were generated in NAD83 UTM 55N, <MLLW>. All references to other horizontal or vertical datums in this report are applicable to the processed hydrographic data provided by the field unit.

# **Table of Contents**

A. Area Surveyed	1
A.1 Survey Limits	
A.2 Survey Purpose	3
A.3 Survey Quality	4
A.4 Survey Coverage	6
A.6 Survey Statistics	7
B. Data Acquisition and Processing.	9
B.1 Equipment and Vessels	9
B.1.1 Vessels	9
B.1.2 Equipment	10
B.2 Quality Control	10
B.2.1 Crosslines	10
B.2.2 Uncertainty	13
B.2.3 Junctions	15
B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks	18
B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness	18
B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings	19
B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods	
B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods	20
B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections	21
B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings	
B.3.2 Calibrations	21
B.4 Backscatter	
B.5 Data Processing	22
B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software	22
B.5.2 Surfaces	23
C. Vertical and Horizontal Control	
C.1 Vertical Control	
C.2 Horizontal Control	
D. Results and Recommendations.	
D.1 Chart Comparison	
D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts	
D.1.2 Shoal and Hazardous Features	
D.1.3 Charted Features	26
D.1.4 Uncharted Features	
D.1.5 Channels	
D.2 Additional Results	
D.2.1 Aids to Navigation	27
D.2.2 Maritime Boundary Points	
D.2.3 Bottom Samples	
D.2.4 Overhead Features	
D.2.5 Submarine Features	
D.2.6 Platforms	27

D.2.7 Ferry Routes and Terminals	21
D.2.8 Abnormal Seafloor or Environmental Conditions	27
D.2.9 Construction and Dredging	28
D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations	
D.2.11 ENC Scale Recommendations	
E. Approval Sheet	
F. Table of Acronyms	
List of Tables	
Table 1: Survey Limits	1
Table 2: Survey Coverage	6
Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics	8
Table 4: Dates of Hydrography	9
Table 5: Vessels Used	9
Table 6: Major Systems Used	10
Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values	
Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values	14
Table 9: Junctioning Surveys	16
Table 10: Submitted Surfaces	23
Table 11: ERS method and SEP file	24
Table 12: Largest Scale ENCs	25
List of Figures	
Figure 1: H13577 assigned survey area	
Figure 2: H13577 survey coverage. Note pink colored surface indicates 1,500-meter water depths of	
Originally assigned survey limit shown as dashed black line	
Figure 3: Pydro derived plot showing HSSD density compliance of H13577 finalized variable-resolution	
MBES data	5
Figure 4: Pydro derived plot showing Grid QA results of H13577 full coverage resolution	
requirements	
Figure 5: Acquired H13577 MBES coverage	
Figure 6: NOAA Ship RAINIER (S221)	
Figure 7: H13577 crossline surface overlaid on mainscheme tracklines	
Figure 8: Pydro derived plot showing node percentage pass value of H13577 mainscheme to crosslidata	
Figure 9: Pydro derived plot showing absolute difference statistics of H13577 mainscheme to cross data	
Figure 10: Pydro derived plot showing TVU compliance of H13577 finalized multi-resolution MBI	ES
Figure 11: H13577 / H13571 junction	
Figure 12: H13577 / H13571 junction	
Figure 13: Pydro derived plot showing H13577 / H13571 VR surface comparison statistics	18
rigure 13. Tyaro derived plot showing 11133/1/111133/1/ VIX surface comparison statistics	10

Figure 14: H13577 sound speed cast locations	20
Figure 15: H13577 multibeam acoustic backscatter overview	
Figure 16: Charted northern shoal area.	

### **Descriptive Report to Accompany Survey H13577**

Project: OPR-T381-RA-22

Locality: Western Pacific Ocean

Sublocality: Santa Rosa Reef

Scale: 1:20000

May 2022 - June 2022

### NOAA Ship Rainier

Chief of Party: Hector L. Casanova, CAPT/NOAA

## A. Area Surveyed

This survey is referred to as H13577, "Santa Rosa Reef" (sheet 7) within the Project Instructions. The assigned survey area encompassed an estimated 490 square nautical miles; it is located southwest of Guam Island in the Western Pacific Ocean.

### **A.1 Survey Limits**

Data were acquired within the following survey limits:

Northwest Limit	Southeast Limit
13° 20' 53.54" N	13° 3' 31.54" N
144° 20' 35.38" E	144° 39' 13.96" E

Table 1: Survey Limits




Figure 1: H13577 assigned survey area.

The originally assigned limits for some surveys in this project, extended into areas with depths greater than RAINIER could efficiently acquire quality multibeam data. Therefore we requested, and the Operations Branch approved, that we survey to the 1,500 meter depth contour and not beyond. See Supplemental Records for more information.

This project's requirement to coordinate hydrographic operations with reef diving priorities imposed significant limitations on the amount of MBES data we could acquire for this survey. The amount of bathymetric data we acquired for H13577 totaled approximately 159 square nautical miles at the northern end of the assigned area.




Figure 2: H13577 survey coverage. Note pink colored surface indicates 1,500-meter water depths or greater. Originally assigned survey limit shown as dashed black line.

### **A.2 Survey Purpose**

The ecosystem surrounding the U.S. Territory of Guam is experiencing stress imposed by climate change and other environmental factors. This survey is part of extensive hydrographic project intended to map the bathymetry and habitat around Guam in support of nautical charting and habitat mapping.

With the collaboration and partnership of the National Centers for Coastal and Ocean Science (NCCOS), the NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program (CRCP), and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), this survey will also study the health of coral reef systems, ocean chemistry, and fisheries habitat. This team has developed a strategy to map the waters from nearshore to depths greater than 1000 meters. Within these

waters, the ship's crew and visiting scientists will map bathymetry and backscatter and characterize habitat, while concurrently performing coral reef assessment dives and collecting other oceanographic observations.

Data collected during this mission are pivotal to long-term biological and oceanographic monitoring of coral reef ecosystems around Guam. Data from this survey will add to information collected during prior monitoring and mapping projects. Oceanographic and ecological time series data will allow scientists to evaluate potential changes in environmental conditions and coral reef health in the Mariana Archipelago. This will enable federal and state resource managers to more effectively conserve the coral reef ecosystems of Guam, and to manage ecosystems services. Data collected during this survey also support monitoring components of the CRCP Coral Reef Ecosystem Integrated Observing System.

### **A.3 Survey Quality**

The entire survey is adequate to supersede previous data.

We used Pydro QC Tools (v3.7.0) Grid QA to analyze H13577 multibeam echosounder (MBES) data density. The submitted H13577 finalized variable-resolution (VR) surface met HSSD density requirements as shown in the histogram below. Grid QA results determined that a strikingly low percentage of H13577 nodes met full coverage resolution requirements as explained below.

For project OPR-T381-RA-22 Resolution Requirements graphs produced by Pydro's Grid QA tool have been showing relatively low percentages of grid nodes meeting full coverage resolution requirements. The likely cause of this issue is RAINIER's use of 64m grids in depths greater than 1000m to maintain a reasonable data density. Since the Grid QA tool was written to match the HSRR specifications with a maximum 32m grid in all waters greater than 640m, RAINIER grids created using the 64m increase in resolution will always fail the resolution requirements check in areas exceeding 1000m. This will of course decrease the percentage of grid nodes meeting coverage resolution requirements. For surveys with a large percentage of area greater than 1000m in depth, this reduction can be significant. The OCS QC tools team has been made aware of this issue and are working on a solution for this deviation from the specifications. See the Supplemental Records of the sheet submission for more information.

# Data Density Grid source: H13577\_MB\_VR\_MLLW\_Final

97% pass (130,864 of 135,067 nodes), min=1.0, mode=9, max=447.0 Percentiles: 2.5%=4, O1=12, median=21, O3=33, 97.5%=78




Figure 3: Pydro derived plot showing HSSD density compliance of H13577 finalized variable-resolution MBES data.

### Resolution Requirements - Full Coverage Grid source: H13577\_MB\_VR\_MLLW\_Final

6% pass (8,328 of 134,109 nodes), min=0.50, mode=2.0, max=4.20 Percentiles: 2.5%=0.9, Q1=2.0, median=2.0, Q3=2.1, 97.5%=2.1




Figure 4: Pydro derived plot showing Grid QA results of H13577 full coverage resolution requirements.

### A.4 Survey Coverage

The following table lists the coverage requirements for this survey as assigned in the project instructions:

Water Depth	Coverage Required
All Waters	Complete Coverage (Refer to HSSD Section 5.2.2.3)

Table 2: Survey Coverage

As stated above, survey operations were significantly impacted by reef diving priorities. We were only able to acquire MBES data on two occassions, resulting in partial coverage of the northern part of the assigned survey area.




Figure 5: Acquired H13577 MBES coverage.

### **A.6 Survey Statistics**

The following table lists the mainscheme and crossline acquisition mileage for this survey:

	HULL ID	S221	Total
	SBES Mainscheme	0.0	0.0
	MBES Mainscheme	148.8	148.8
	Lidar Mainscheme	0.0	0.0
LNM	SSS Mainscheme	0.0	0.0
LINIVI	SBES/SSS Mainscheme	0.0	0.0
	MBES/SSS Mainscheme	0.0	0.0
	SBES/MBES Crosslines	4.7	4.7
	Lidar Crosslines	0.0	0.0
Numb Botton	er of n Samples		0
Number Maritime Boundary Points Investigated			0
Number of DPs			0
Number of Items Investigated by Dive Ops			0
Total S	SNM		159.5

Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics

The following table lists the specific dates of data acquisition for this survey:

Survey Dates	Day of the Year
05/31/2022	151
06/02/2022	153

Table 4: Dates of Hydrography

# **B.** Data Acquisition and Processing

### **B.1** Equipment and Vessels

Refer to the Data Acquisition and Processing Report (DAPR) for a complete description of data acquisition and processing systems, survey vessels, quality control procedures and data processing methods. Additional information to supplement sounding and survey data, and any deviations from the DAPR are discussed in the following sections.

#### **B.1.1 Vessels**

The following vessels were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Hull ID	S221	
LOA	70.4 meters	
Draft	4.7 meters	

Table 5: Vessels Used




Figure 6: NOAA Ship RAINIER (S221).

All data for H13577 were acquired by NOAA Ship RAINIER. The vessel acquired depth soundings, backscatter imagery and sound speed profiles.

### **B.1.2** Equipment

The following major systems were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Manufacturer	Model	Туре
Applanix	POS MV 320 v5	Positioning and Attitude System
Kongsberg Maritime	EM 710	MBES
ODIM Brooke Ocean	MVP200	Sound Speed System
Teledyne RESON	SVP 70	Sound Speed System

Table 6: Major Systems Used

### **B.2 Quality Control**

#### **B.2.1 Crosslines**

NOAA Ship RAINIER (S221) acquired a single 4.7 nautical mile (3.1% of mainscheme) MBES crossline across limited depth ranges, water masses and boat days due to time constraints, however we believe it has value for evaluating the internal consistency of H13577 sonar data. We performed crossline analysis using the Compare Grids function within Pydro Explorer on Caris variable-resolution surfaces of H13577 mainscheme only and crossline only data. Results showed that 99.5+% of grid nodes met allowable uncertainties as shown in the Pydro generated plots below.




Figure 7: H13577 crossline surface overlaid on mainscheme tracklines.

### Comparison Distribution

 $Per\ Grid:\ H13577\_VR\_MS\_Only-H13577\_VR\_XL\_Only\_fracAllowErr.csar$ 

99.5+% nodes pass (6992), min=0.0, mode=0.1 mean=0.1 max=1.5

Percentiles: 2.5%=0.0, Q1=0.0, median=0.1, Q3=0.1, 97.5%=0.5




Figure 8: Pydro derived plot showing node percentage pass value of H13577 mainscheme to crossline data.




Figure 9: Pydro derived plot showing absolute difference statistics of H13577 mainscheme to crossline data.

### **B.2.2** Uncertainty

The following survey specific parameters were used for this survey:

Method	Measured	Zoning
ERS via VDATUM	0.0 meters	0.11 meters

Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values.

Hull ID	Measured - CTD	Measured - MVP	Measured - XBT	Surface
S221	N/A	1 meters/second	N/A	0.05 meters/second

Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values.

Total Propagated Uncertainty (TPU) values for survey H13577 were derived from a combination of fixed values for equipment and vessel characteristics, as well as from field assigned values for sound speed uncertainties. Tidal uncertainty was provided in metadata for the NOAA vertical datum transformation model used for this survey.

In addition to the usual a priori estimates of uncertainty, real-time and post-processed uncertainty sources were also incorporated into the depth estimates of this survey. Real-time uncertainties for position, navigation and vessel motion data from Applanix POS MV were applied during acquisition and initially in post-processing. POSPac SBET and RMS files were subsequently applied in Caris HIPS to supersede POS MV uncertainties associated with GPS height and position.

Uncertainty values of the submitted finalized grids were calculated in Caris using "Greater of the Two" of uncertainty and standard deviation (scaled to 95%). Grid QA v6 within Pydro QC Tools was used to analyze H13577 TVU compliance. H13577 met HSSD requirements in 99.5+% percent of grid nodes as shown in the histogram plot below.

# Uncertainty Standards - NOAA HSSD Grid source: H13577\_MB\_VR\_MLLW\_Final

99.5+% pass (135,053 of 135,067 nodes), min=0.01, mode=0.11, max=3.41 Percentiles: 2.5%=0.05, Q1=0.10, median=0.14, Q3=0.21, 97.5%=0.42




Figure 10: Pydro derived plot showing TVU compliance of H13577 finalized multi-resolution MBES data.

#### **B.2.3 Junctions**

H13577 junctions with one contemporary survey, H13571 (Guam Island) as part of the same project, OPR-T381-RA-22.




Figure 11: H13577 / H13571 junction.

The following junctions were made with this survey:

Registry Number	Scale	Year	Field Unit	Relative Location
H13571	1:5000	2022	RAINIER	NE

Table 9: Junctioning Surveys

#### H13571

We performed the comparison between H13577 and H13571 on finalized, variable-resolution surfaces from each survey using the Compare Grids program within Pydro Explorer. Results of the comparison showed

that 100% of grid nodes in the common area met NOAA allowable error standards as shown in the figure below.




Figure 12: H13577 / H13571 junction.

### Comparison Distribution

Per Grid: H13577\_MB\_VR\_MLLW\_Final-Junction\_H13571\_VR\_Final\_fracAllowErr.csar

100% nodes pass (10647), min=0.0, mode=0.1 mean=0.1 max=0.9

Percentiles: 2.5%=0.0, Q1=0.0, median=0.0, Q3=0.1, 97.5%=0.3




Figure 13: Pydro derived plot showing H13577 / H13571 VR surface comparison statistics.

### **B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks**

Sonar system quality control checks were conducted as detailed in the quality control section of the DAPR.

### **B.2.5** Equipment Effectiveness

There were no conditions or deficiencies that affected equipment operational effectiveness.

### **B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings**

There were no other factors that affected corrections to soundings.

#### **B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods**

Sound Speed Cast Frequency: We acquired eight sound speed profiles ("casts") for this survey at discrete locations within the assigned area at least once every four hours, when significant changes to surface sound speed were observed, or when shifting operations to a new area. All sound speed profiles were concatenated into a master file and applied to H13577 MBES data using the "Nearest distance within Time (4 hours) profile selection method.




Figure 14: H13577 sound speed cast locations.

### **B.2.8** Coverage Equipment and Methods

All equipment and survey methods were used as detailed in the DAPR.

### **B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections**

### **B.3.1** Corrections to Echo Soundings

All data reduction procedures conform to those detailed in the DAPR.

#### **B.3.2** Calibrations

All sounding systems were calibrated as detailed in the DAPR.

#### **B.4 Backscatter**

Raw backscatter data were acquired as .all files logged during MBES operations and subsequently processed by RAINIER personnel. The .GSF files created during processing, and backscatter mosaic data has been delivered with this report. Backscatter processing procedures are described in the DAPR.




Figure 15: H13577 multibeam acoustic backscatter overview.

### **B.5 Data Processing**

### **B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software**

The following Feature Object Catalog was used: NOAA Profile 2022v2.

#### **B.5.2 Surfaces**

The following surfaces and/or BAGs were submitted to the Processing Branch:

Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
H13577_MB_VR_MLLW	CARIS VR Surface (CUBE)	Variable Resolution	79.1 meters - 2333.0 meters	NOAA_VR	Complete MBES
H13577_MB_VR_MLLW_Final	CARIS VR Surface (CUBE)	Variable Resolution	79.1 meters - 2333.0 meters	NOAA_VR	Complete MBES

Table 10: Submitted Surfaces

The submitted H13577 surfaces were generated using NOAA recommended parameters for depth-based (Ranges) Caris variable-resolution bathymetric grids. Following correspondence with the Project Manager, we generated the submitted surfaces with an updated Range/Resolution file, NOAA\_DepthRanges\_CompleteCoverage\_2022\_RA, that includes 64-meter grids for depths exceeding 1,000 meters. See Supplemental Records for more information.

We used Pydro Flier finder tool with default settings as a quality control check of submitted MBES grid data. We examined each of the potential fliers identified by the program, rejected errant soundings, then reran the process until we were satisfied that all remaining fliers identified were false-positives and represent actual features of the seafloor.

### C. Vertical and Horizontal Control

Additional information discussing the vertical or horizontal control for this survey can be found in the accompanying DAPR.

#### C.1 Vertical Control

The vertical datum for this project is Mean Lower Low Water.

#### **ERS Datum Transformation**

The following ellipsoid-to-chart vertical datum transformation was used:

Method	Ellipsoid to Chart Datum Separation File
	OPR_T381-
	RA-22_GuamCNMI_EC_ERTDM2021_NAD83(MA11)-
EDC vio VDATUM	MLLW
ERS via VDATUM	OPR_T381-
	RA-22_GuamCNMI_EC_ERTDM2021_NAD83(MA11)-
	MHW

Table 11: ERS method and SEP file

All submitted H13577 MBES data were vertically referenced to the ellipsoid. VDATUM models included with the Project Instructions were used for referencing H13577 data to MLLW and MHW.

#### C.2 Horizontal Control

The horizontal datum for this project is North American Datum 1983 (MA11).

The projection used for this project is Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 55.

#### RTK

Precise Positioning-Real time Extended (PP-RTX) processing methods were used in Applanix POSPac MMS (v8.5) software for post-processing horizontal correction of submitted H13577 MBES data.

#### **WAAS**

The Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) was used for real-time horizontal control during data acquisition.

## D. Results and Recommendations

### **D.1** Chart Comparison

#### **D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts**

The following are the largest scale ENCs, which cover the survey area:

ENC	Scale	Edition	Update Application Date	Issue Date
US4SP02M	1:100000	17	09/17/2021	09/17/2021
US2SP01M	1:931650	19	09/07/2021	09/07/2021

Table 12: Largest Scale ENCs

#### **D.1.2 Shoal and Hazardous Features**

A charted 100-fathom depth area that includes an 11-fathom charted depth is located within the general area of H13577 survey coverage. Safety concerns precluded development of the area by NOAA Ship RAINIER, and time constraints prevented further investigation by survey launch. The seafloor clearly rises dramatically over the charted shoal, however we were unable to determine its least depth, see image below.

Unfortunately, the time constraints imposed by reef diving priorities, resulted in no MBES data being acquired for Santa Rosa Reef and other charted shoal areas located at the southern end of the assigned H13577 area.




Figure 16: Charted northern shoal area.

#### **D.1.3 Charted Features**

A 21-fathom charted position approximate (PA) depth located near the southwest end of the assigned survey area, was outside the extents of H13577 survey coverage and not investigated. We have submitted a H13577 final feature file (FFF) as part of this survey.

#### **D.1.4 Uncharted Features**

No uncharted features with navigational significance were identified within the H13577 survey area.

#### **D.1.5 Channels**

No channels exist for this survey. We acknowledged the charted Firing Danger Area within the H13577 survey area and operated appropriately while working there.

#### **D.2 Additional Results**

#### **D.2.1** Aids to Navigation

The only ATON charted within the H13577 survey area was a single private Fish Aggregating Device (FAD) buoy. We did not observe the buoy during survey operations, which primarily occurred through the overnight hours.

### **D.2.2** Maritime Boundary Points

No Maritime Boundary Points were assigned for this survey.

#### **D.2.3 Bottom Samples**

No bottom samples were required for this survey.

#### **D.2.4 Overhead Features**

No overhead features exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.5 Submarine Features**

An RTI submarine cable is charted through the H13577 survey area; no evidence of the feature was apparent in the MBES data.

#### **D.2.6 Platforms**

No platforms exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.7 Ferry Routes and Terminals**

No ferry routes or terminals exist for this survey.

#### D.2.8 Abnormal Seafloor or Environmental Conditions

No abnormal seafloor or environmental conditions exist for this survey.

### **D.2.9** Construction and Dredging

No present or planned construction or dredging exist within the survey limits.

### **D.2.10** New Survey Recommendations

We recommend that the entire assigned H13577 survey area be completed when practical.

### **D.2.11 ENC Scale Recommendations**

No new ENC scales are recommended for this area.

### E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, field operations for this hydrographic survey were conducted under my direct supervision, with frequent personal checks of progress and adequacy. I have reviewed the attached survey data and reports.

All field sheets, this Descriptive Report, and all accompanying records and data are approved. All records are forwarded for final review and processing to the Processing Branch.

The survey data meets or exceeds requirements as set forth in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables, Field Procedures Manual, Letter Instructions, and all HSD Technical Directives. These data are adequate to supersede charted data in their common areas. This survey is complete and no additional work is required with the exception of deficiencies noted in the Descriptive Report.

Approver Name	Approver Title	Approval Date	Signature
Hector L. Casanova, CAPT/NOAA	Chief of Party	09/27/2022	Digitally signed by CASANOVA.HECTOR.LUIS.1 253816461 Date: 2022.10.27 09:28:33 -07'00'
Collin H. Walker, LT/NOAA	Field Operations Officer	09/27/2022	WALKER.COLLIN.HAR RISON.1523758540 2022.10.27 08:57:15 -07'00'
James B. Jacobson	Chief Survey Technician	09/27/2022	JACOBSONJAMES.BRYAN.12 69664017  Johnson B Justiner 1 have reviewed this document 2022.10.21 12:53:19-07'00'
Bonnie Vierra, ENS/NOAA	Sheet Manager	09/27/2022	Digitally signed by VIERRA BONNIE.A.1285991443 Date: 2022.10.25 14:33:11 -07/00'

# F. Table of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AHB	Atlantic Hydrographic Branch
AST	Assistant Survey Technician
ATON	Aid to Navigation
AWOIS	Automated Wreck and Obstruction Information System
BAG	Bathymetric Attributed Grid
BASE	Bathymetry Associated with Statistical Error
CO	Commanding Officer
CO-OPS	Center for Operational Products and Services
CORS	Continuously Operating Reference Station
CTD	Conductivity Temperature Depth
CEF	Chart Evaluation File
CSF	Composite Source File
CST	Chief Survey Technician
CUBE	Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetry Estimator
DAPR	Data Acquisition and Processing Report
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DP	Detached Position
DR	Descriptive Report
DTON	Danger to Navigation
ENC	Electronic Navigational Chart
ERS	Ellipsoidal Referenced Survey
ERTDM	Ellipsoidally Referenced Tidal Datum Model
ERZT	Ellipsoidally Referenced Zoned Tides
FFF	Final Feature File
FOO	Field Operations Officer
FPM	Field Procedures Manual
GAMS	GPS Azimuth Measurement Subsystem
GC	Geographic Cell
GPS	Global Positioning System
HIPS	Hydrographic Information Processing System
HSD	Hydrographic Surveys Division

Acronym	Definition	
HSSD	Hydrographic Survey Specifications and Deliverables	
HSTB	Hydrographic Systems Technology Branch	
HSX	Hypack Hysweep File Format	
HTD Hydrographic Surveys Technical Directive		
HVCR	Horizontal and Vertical Control Report	
HVF	HIPS Vessel File	
IHO	International Hydrographic Organization	
IMU	Inertial Motion Unit	
ITRF	International Terrestrial Reference Frame	
LNM	Linear Nautical Miles	
MBAB	Multibeam Echosounder Acoustic Backscatter	
MCD	Marine Chart Division	
MHW	Mean High Water	
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water	
NAD 83 North American Datum of 1983		
NALL	Navigable Area Limit Line	
NTM	Notice to Mariners	
NMEA	National Marine Electronics Association	
NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration		
NOS National Ocean Service		
NRT	Navigation Response Team	
NSD	Navigation Services Division	
OCS	Office of Coast Survey	
OMAO	Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (NOAA)	
OPS	Operations Branch	
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder	
NWLON	National Water Level Observation Network	
PDBS	Phase Differencing Bathymetric Sonar	
РНВ	Pacific Hydrographic Branch	
POS/MV	Position and Orientation System for Marine Vessels	
PPK	Post Processed Kinematic	
PPP	Precise Point Positioning	
PPS	Pulse per second	

Acronym	Definition
PRF	Project Reference File
PS Physical Scientist	
RNC	Raster Navigational Chart
RTK	Real Time Kinematic
RTX	Real Time Extended
SBES	Singlebeam Echosounder
SBET	Smooth Best Estimate and Trajectory
SNM	Square Nautical Miles
SSS	Side Scan Sonar
SSSAB	Side Scan Sonar Acoustic Backscatter
ST	Survey Technician
SVP	Sound Velocity Profiler
TCARI	Tidal Constituent And Residual Interpolation
TPU	Total Propagated Uncertainty
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	United States Coast Guard
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
XO	Executive Officer
ZDF	Zone Definition File