U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Service

## **DESCRIPTIVE REPORT**

Type of Survey:

Basic Hydrographic Survey Habitat Mapping Maritime EEZ Mapping

Registry Number:

H13732

LOCALITY

American Samoa

State(s):

General Locality:

American Samoa and PRIA

Sub-locality:

Baker Island

## 2023

CHIEF OF PARTY Héctor L. Casanova CAPT/NOAA

LIBRARY & ARCHIVES

Date:

NATIO	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION	REGISTRY NUMBER:		
HYDROGR	APHIC TITLE SHEET	H13732		
INSTRUCTIONS: The Hydrographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.				
State(s):	American Samoa			
General Locality:	American Samoa and PRIA			
Sub-Locality:	Baker Island			
Scale:	5000			
Dates of Survey:	03/17/2023 to 05/04/2023	03/17/2023 to 05/04/2023		
Instructions Dated:	02/14/2023			
Project Number:	OPR-T382-RA-23			
Field Unit:	NOAA Ship Rainier			
Chief of Party:	Héctor L. Casanova CAPT/NOAA			
Soundings by:	Multibeam Echo Sounder			
Imagery by:	Multibeam Echo Sounder Backscatter			
Verification by:	Pacific Hydrographic Branch			
Soundings Acquired in:	meters at Mean Lower Low Water			

#### Remarks:

Any revisions to the Descriptive Report (DR) applied during office processing are shown in red italic text. The DR is maintained as a field unit product, therefore all information and recommendations within this report are considered preliminary unless otherwise noted. The final disposition of survey data is represented in the NOAA nautical chart products. All pertinent records for this survey are archived at the National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and can be retrieved via https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/. Products created during office processing were generated in NAD83 UTM 1N, MLLW. All references to other horizontal or vertical datums in this report are applicable to the processed hydrographic data provided by the field unit.

# **Table of Contents**

A. Area Surveyed	1
A.1 Survey Limits	1
A.2 Survey Purpose	2
A.3 Survey Quality	
A.4 Survey Coverage	4
A.6 Survey Statistics	5
B. Data Acquisition and Processing	7
B.1 Equipment and Vessels	7
B.1.1 Vessels	7
B.1.2 Equipment	
B.2 Quality Control	10
B.2.1 Crosslines	
B.2.2 Uncertainty	
B.2.3 Junctions.	
B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks	
B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness	
B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings	18
B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods	
B.2.8 Coverage Equipment and Methods	
B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections	20
B.3.1 Corrections to Echo Soundings	
B.3.2 Calibrations	
B.4 Backscatter	20
B.5 Data Processing	21
B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software	
B.5.2 Surfaces	
C. Vertical and Horizontal Control	24
C.1 Vertical Control	
C.2 Horizontal Control	25
D. Results and Recommendations	
D.1 Chart Comparison	
D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts	
D.1.2 Shoal and Hazardous Features	26
D.1.3 Charted Features	
D.1.4 Uncharted Features	
D.1.5 Channels	
D.2 Additional Results	
D.2.1 Aids to Navigation	27
D.2.2 Maritime Boundary Points	
D.2.3 Bottom Samples	
D.2.4 Overhead Features	
D.2.5 Submarine Features	29
D.2.6 Platforms	

D.2.7 Ferry Routes and Terminals	29
D.2.8 Abnormal Seafloor or Environmental Conditions	29
D.2.9 Construction and Dredging	29
D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations	
D.2.11 ENC Scale Recommendations	29
E. Approval Sheet	30
F. Table of Acronyms	31

# **List of Tables**

Table 1: Survey Limits	1
Table 1: Survey Limits   Table 2: Survey Coverage	4
Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics	
Table 4: Dates of Hydrography	7
Table 5: Vessels Used	7
Table 6: Major Systems Used	10
Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values	
Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values	
Table 9: Junctioning Surveys	17
Table 10: Primary bathymetric data processing software	
Table 11: Primary imagery data processing software	22
Table 12: Submitted Surfaces	
Table 13: ERS method and SEP file	
Table 14: Largest Scale ENCs	

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1: H13732 assigned survey area (US1EEZ2M)	2
Figure 2: Pydro Grid QA derived histogram plot showing HSSD resolution requirements of H13732	
finalized variable-resolution MBES data	4
Figure 3: H13732 MBES coverage and assigned survey limits for Baker Island (US1EEZ2M)	5
Figure 4: NOAA Ship RAINIER	8
Figure 5: Example of NOAA Ship RAINIER launch	9
Figure 6: H13732 crossline surface overlaid on mainscheme tracklines (US1EEZ2M)	. 11
Figure 7: Pydro derived plot showing absolute difference statistics of H13732 mainscheme to crossline	
data	.12
Figure 8: Pydro derived plot showing percentage-pass value of H13732 mainscheme to crossline data	. 13
Figure 9: Pydro derived plot showing TVU compliance of H13732 finalized variable-resolution MBES	
data	. 15
Figure 10: Pydro derived histogram plot showing HSSD density compliance of H13732 finalized variable-	-
resolution MBES data	. 16
Figure 11: Overview of junction between H13731 and H13732 (US1EEZ2M)	. 17
Figure 12: H13732 sound speed cast locations (US1EEZ2M)	.19
Figure 13: Overview mosaic of H13732 multibeam acoustic backscatter coverage (US1EEZ2M)	. 21

Figure	14:	Example of fliers determined to be false (US5SP23M)	23
Figure	15:	Example of holiday detected by QC Tools Holiday Finder (US5SP23M)	24
Figure	16:	US1EEZ2M Baker Island location.	26
Figure	17:	Beacon, safe water that was visually confirmed	28
U			

## **Descriptive Report to Accompany Survey H13732**

Project: OPR-T382-RA-23 Locality: American Samoa and PRIA Sublocality: Baker Island Scale: 1:5000 March 2023 - May 2023

#### NOAA Ship Rainier

Chief of Party: Héctor L. Casanova CAPT/NOAA

## A. Area Surveyed

The survey is referred to as H13732, "Baker" (Sheet 3), within the Project Instructions. The surveyed area encompasses approximately 1741 square nautical miles and is located in Pacific Remote Island Area (PRIA).

## **A.1 Survey Limits**

Data were acquired within the following survey limits:

Northwest Limit	Southeast Limit
0° 31' 25.79" N	0° 13' 7.59" N
176° 52' 44.02" W	176° 3' 1.57" W

Table 1: Survey Limits




Figure 1: H13732 assigned survey area (US1EEZ2M).

Survey limits were acquired in accordance with the requirements in the Project Instructions and the HSSD.

## A.2 Survey Purpose

The ecosystem surrounding American Samoa and the U.S. Pacific Remote Island Area (PRIA) are experiencing stress imposed by climate change and other environmental factors. For this project, NOAA Ship Rainier will be operating around American Samoa and PRIA to conduct an extensive hydrographic survey to map bathymetry and habitat around the islands, pinnacles, and reefs in support of nautical charting and habitat mapping.

With the collaboration and partnership of the National Centers for Coastal and Ocean Science (NCCOS), the National Coral Reef Monitoring Program (NCRMP), and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), this project will also study the health of coral reef systems, ocean chemistry, and fisheries habitat. This team has developed a strategy to map the waters from nearshore to depths greater than 1000 meters. Within the project area, the ship's crew will collect bathymetric data to update charts and acquire backscatter data to characterized habitat, while visiting scientists from NCRMP will perform coral reef assessment dives and other oceanographic observations.

Data collected during this mission are pivotal to long-term biological and oceanographic monitoring of coral reef ecosystems in American Samoa and PRIA. This project will add to information collected during previous monitoring and mapping surveys. Oceanographic and ecological time series data will allow scientists to evaluate potential changes in environmental conditions and coral reef health. This will enable federal and state resource managers to more effectively conserve coral reef ecosystems of American Samoa and PRIA, and manage ecosystem services. Data collected during this project also supports monitoring components of the NCRMP Coral Reef Ecosystem Integrated Observing System.

A modern bathymetric survey in this area will identify hazards and changes to the seafloor, provide critical data for updating National Ocean Service (NOS) nautical charting products, and improve maritime safety. It will also address data gaps to support the Seabed 2030 global mapping initiative. Survey data from this project is intended to supersede all prior survey data in the common area.

## A.3 Survey Quality

The entire survey is adequate to supersede previous data.

Pydro QC Tools (v3.10.4) Grid QA was used to analyze H13732 multibeam echosounder (MBES) data density. The submitted H13732 finalized variable-resolution (VR) surface met HSSD density requirements as shown in the histogram below. Grid QA results determined that only 51 percent of H13732 nodes met full coverage resolution requirements as explained below.

For project OPR-T382-RA-23 Resolution Requirements graphs produced by Pydro's Grid QA tool have been showing relatively low percentages of grid nodes meeting full coverage resolution requirements. The likely cause of this issue is RAINIER's use of 64m grids in depths greater than 1000m to maintain a reasonable data density. Since the Grid QA tool was written to match the HSRR specifications with a maximum 32m grid in all waters greater than 640m, RAINIER grids created using the 64m increase in resolution will always fail the resolution requirements check in areas exceeding 1000m. This will of course decrease the percentage of grid nodes meeting coverage resolution requirements. For surveys with a large percentage of area greater than 1000m in depth, this reduction can be significant. The OCS QC Tools team has been made aware of this issue and are trying to work out a solution for this deviation from the specifications. See the Supplemental Records of the sheet submission for more information.




Figure 2: Pydro Grid QA derived histogram plot showing HSSD resolution requirements of H13732 finalized variable-resolution MBES data.

## A.4 Survey Coverage

The following table lists the coverage requirements for this survey as assigned in the project instructions:

Water Depth	Coverage Required	
All waters in survey area.	Complete Coverage (Refer to HSSD Section 5.2.2.3)	

#### Table 2: Survey Coverage

The majority of the extent of the assigned sheet limits was surveyed for H13732. This project's requirement to coordinate hydrographic operations with nearshore diving priorities, in addition to the limited number of project days allocated to sheet H13732, imposed significant limitations on the amount of MBES data acquired. Due to these limitations, a portion of area offshore, to the northeast, was not surveyed. H13732 Multibeam echosounder coverage was acquired to the inshore limit of hydrography, the Navigable Area Limit Line (NALL), within the sheet limits. The NALL is defined as the most seaward

H13732 NOAA Ship Rainier of the following: the surveyed 10 meter depth contour, the line defined by the distance seaward from the observed MHW line (the assigned sheet limits closely reflect this), or the inshore limit of safe navigation.




Figure 3: H13732 MBES coverage and assigned survey limits for Baker Island (US1EEZ2M).

## **A.6 Survey Statistics**

The following table lists the mainscheme and crossline acquisition mileage for this survey:

	HULL ID	S221	2802	Total
	SBES Mainscheme	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MBES Mainscheme	678.84	37.26	716.1
	Lidar Mainscheme	0.0	0.0	0.0
LNM	SSS Mainscheme	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SBES/SSS Mainscheme	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MBES/SSS Mainscheme	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SBES/MBES Crosslines	114.26	0.98	115.24
	Lidar Crosslines	0.0	0.0	0.0
Numb Bottor	er of n Samples			0
	er Maritime ary Points igated			0
Number of DPs				0
	er of Items igated by Ops			0
Total S	SNM			1741.4

Table 3: Hydrographic Survey Statistics

The following table lists the specific dates of data acquisition for this survey:

Survey Dates	Day of the Year
03/17/2023	76
03/18/2023	77

Survey Dates	Day of the Year
03/19/2023	78
03/20/2023	79
05/02/2023	122
05/03/2023	123
05/04/2023	124

Table 4: Dates of Hydrography

## **B.** Data Acquisition and Processing

## **B.1 Equipment and Vessels**

Refer to the Data Acquisition and Processing Report (DAPR) for a complete description of data acquisition and processing systems, survey vessels, quality control procedures and data processing methods. Additional information to supplement sounding and survey data, and any deviations from the DAPR are discussed in the following sections.

#### **B.1.1 Vessels**

The following vessels were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Hull IDS221		2802
LOA 70.4 meters		8.8 meters
Draft	4.7 meters	1.1 meters

Table 5: Vessels Used



Figure 4: NOAA Ship RAINIER.



Figure 5: Example of NOAA Ship RAINIER launch.

#### **B.1.2 Equipment**

Manufacturer	Model	Туре
Applanix	POS MV 320 v5	Positioning and Attitude System
Kongsberg Maritime	EM 302	MBES
Kongsberg Maritime	EM 2040	MBES
Lockheed Martin Sippican	Deep Blue XBT	Sound Speed System
Teledyne RESON	SVP 70	Sound Speed System
AML Oceanographic	MVP200	Conductivity, Temperature, and Depth Sensor
Sea-Bird Scientific	SBE 19plus V2	Conductivity, Temperature, and Depth Sensor

The following major systems were used for data acquisition during this survey:

Table 6: Major Systems Used

## **B.2 Quality Control**

#### **B.2.1** Crosslines

NOAA Ship RAINIER and launches collected 115.24 nautical miles of multibeam crosslines, approximately 16 percent, across a range of depths in the mainscheme data. The Compare Grids function in Pydro Explorer was used to analyze the finalized VR surfaces of H13732 mainscheme only and crossline only data. Pydro determined that 99.5 percent of nodes met allowable uncertainties. For additional results, see plots below.





Figure 6: H13732 crossline surface overlaid on mainscheme tracklines (US1EEZ2M).



H13732\_MB\_VR\_MLLW\_XL-H13732\_MB\_VR\_MLLW\_MS Mean: 0.47 | Mode: -0.29 | One Standard Deviation: 6.34 | Bin size: 0.38

Figure 7: Pydro derived plot showing absolute difference statistics of H13732 mainscheme to crossline data.




Figure 8: Pydro derived plot showing percentage-pass value of H13732 mainscheme to crossline data.

#### **B.2.2 Uncertainty**

The following survey specific parameters were used for this survey:

Method	Measured	Zoning
ERS via VDATUM	0.0 meters	0.16 meters

Table 7: Survey Specific Tide TPU Values.

Hull ID	Measured - CTD	Measured - MVP	Measured - XBT	Surface
S221	N/A meters/second	1 meters/second	4 meters/second	0.05 meters/second
2802	3 meters/second	N/A meters/second	N/A meters/second	0.05 meters/second

Table 8: Survey Specific Sound Speed TPU Values.

Total Propagated Uncertainty (TPU) values for survey H13732 were derived from a combination of fixed values for equipment and vessel characteristics, as well as from field assigned values for sound speed uncertainties. Tidal uncertainty was provided in the project instructions for the NOAA vertical datum transformation model used for this survey.

In addition to the usual a priori estimates of uncertainty, real-time and post-processed uncertainty sources were also incorporated into the depth estimates of this survey. Real-time uncertainties for position, navigation, attitude, and vessel motion data from Applanix POS MV were applied during acquisition and initially in post-processing. POSPac SBET and RMS files were later applied in CARIS HIPS to supersede POS MV uncertainties associated with GPS height and position.

Uncertainty values of the submitted finalized grids were calculated in Caris using "Uncertainty" when creating the finalized surface. Grid QA v6 within Pydro QC Tools was used to analyze H13732 TVU compliance. H13732 met HSSD requirements in over 99.5 percent of grid nodes, which is shown in the histogram plot below.

Pydro QC Tools v.6 Grid QA was used to analyze H13732 multibeam echosounder (MBES) data density. The submitted H13732 variable-resolution (VR) surface met HSSD density requirements shown in the histograms below.




Figure 9: Pydro derived plot showing TVU compliance of H13732 finalized variable-resolution MBES data.




Figure 10: Pydro derived histogram plot showing HSSD density compliance of H13732 finalized variable-resolution MBES data.

#### **B.2.3 Junctions**

Survey H13732 Baker junctions with survey H13731. Both surveys were conducted while on project OPR-T382-RA-23.




Figure 11: Overview of junction between H13731 and H13732 (US1EEZ2M).

The following junctions were made with this survey:

Registry Number	Scale	Year	Field Unit	Relative Location
H13731	1:5000	2023	RAINIER	N

Table 9: Junctioning Surveys

#### <u>H13731</u>

Refer to H13731 Howland Island Descriptive Report for additional junction details.

#### **B.2.4 Sonar QC Checks**

Sonar system quality control checks were conducted as detailed in the quality control section of the DAPR.

#### **B.2.5 Equipment Effectiveness**

There were no conditions or deficiencies that affected equipment operational effectiveness.

#### **B.2.6 Factors Affecting Soundings**

There were no other factors that affected corrections to soundings.

#### **B.2.7 Sound Speed Methods**

Sound Speed Cast Frequency: Sound Speed Cast Frequency: At least once every 4 hours or as needed.

A total of 35 sound speed profiles were acquired for this survey at discrete locations within the survey area at least once every four hours, when significant changes in surface sound speed were observed, or when operating in a new area. All casts were concatenated into a master file and applied to MBES data using the "Nearest distance within time" (4 hours) profile selection method.




Figure 12: H13732 sound speed cast locations (US1EEZ2M).

## **B.2.8** Coverage Equipment and Methods

All equipment and survey methods were used as detailed in the DAPR.

## **B.3 Echo Sounding Corrections**

#### **B.3.1** Corrections to Echo Soundings

All data reduction procedures conform to those detailed in the DAPR.

#### **B.3.2** Calibrations

All sounding systems were calibrated as detailed in the DAPR.

#### **B.4 Backscatter**

Raw backscatter data were acquired as .ALL or .KMALL files logged during MBES operations and subsequently processed by RAINIER personnel. The .GSF files created during processing and backscatter mosaics per vessel and per frequency are delivered with this report. Backscatter processing procedures are described in the DAPR.




Figure 13: Overview mosaic of H13732 multibeam acoustic backscatter coverage (US1EEZ2M).

## **B.5 Data Processing**

#### **B.5.1 Primary Data Processing Software**

The following software program was the primary program used for bathymetric data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
CARIS	HIPS and SIPS	11.4.6

Table 10: Primary bathymetric data processing software

The following software program was the primary program used for imagery data processing:

Manufacturer	Name	Version
QPS	FMGT	7.10.2

Table 11: Primary imagery data processing software

The following Feature Object Catalog was used: NOAA Profile 2023v1..

#### **B.5.2 Surfaces**

The following surfaces and/or BAGs were submitted to the Processing Branch:

Surface Name	Surface Type	Resolution	Depth Range	Surface Parameter	Purpose
H13732_MB_VR_MLLW_Final	CARIS VR Surface (CUBE)	Variable Resolution	4.1 meters - 5719.53 meters	NOAA_VR	Complete MBES
H13732_MB_VR_MLLW	CARIS VR Surface (CUBE)	Variable Resolution	4.1 meters - 5719.53 meters	NOAA_VR	Complete MBES
H13732_MBAB_2m_2802_300kHz_1of3	MB Backscatter Mosaic	2 meters	-	N/A	Complete MBES
H13732_MBAB_3m_S221_300kHz_2of3	MB Backscatter Mosaic	3 meters	-	N/A	Complete MBES
H13732_MBAB_6m_S221_32kHz_3of3	MB Backscatter Mosaic	6 meters	-	N/A	Complete MBES

#### Table 12: Submitted Surfaces

Submitted H13732 surfaces were generated using NOAA recommended parameters for densitybased (Ranges) Caris variable-resolution bathymetric grids. Per correspondence with the Project Manager, the submitted surfaces were generated with a updated Range/Resolution file, NOAA\_DepthRanges\_CompleteCoverage\_2023\_RA, that includes 64 meter grids for depths exceeding 1000 meters. See Supplemental Records for more information. Pydro QC Tools (v.3.10.4) Flier Finder, with default settings, was used to identify sounding "fliers" in the finalized H13732 VR surface. Obvious noise was rejected by the hydrographer in Caris Subset Editor. After data cleaning, the Flier Finder tool was run again and found 1246 potential fliers in the Complete Coverage surface. These were investigated and determined to be a result of the significant slope in the terrain and limited data density on both the steep slopes and the offshore edge of coverage. Therefore, these fliers have been found to be false positives. The image below depicts an example of edge fliers that have been determined to be false.

Pydro QC Tools (v.3.10.4) Holiday Finder was used with default settings to find holidays in the finalized H13732 VR surface. Holiday Finder detected 72 certian holidays and 90 possible holidays in the Complete Coverage Surface. All of the holidays are all located outside 4000 meters depth and are primarily a result of low data density at those depths. The holidays were reviewed and do not impact the quality or reliability of the data. See figures below for more information.




Figure 14: Example of fliers determined to be false (US5SP23M).




Figure 15: Example of holiday detected by QC Tools Holiday Finder (US5SP23M).

## **C. Vertical and Horizontal Control**

Additional information discussing the vertical or horizontal control for this survey can be found in the accompanying DAPR.

## **C.1 Vertical Control**

The vertical datum for this project is Mean Lower Low Water.

#### ERS Datum Transformation

The following ellipsoid-to-chart vertical datum transformation was used:

Method	Ellipsoid to Chart Datum Separation File	
ERS via ERTDM	OPR-T382- RA-23_Howland_Baker_Islands_ERTDM_NAD83(PA11)- MHW_16cm1sigma.csar OPR-T382-	
	RA-23_Howland_Baker_Islands_ERTDM_NAD83(PA11)- MLLW_16cm1sigma.csar	

Table 13: ERS method and SEP file

## **C.2 Horizontal Control**

The horizontal datum for this project is North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83).

The projection used for this project is Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 1.

<u>RTK</u>

Precise Positioning-Real Time Extended (PP-RTX) processing methods were used in Applanix POSPac MMS (v8.7) software during post-processing horizontal correction of submitted H13732 MBES data.

## **D. Results and Recommendations**

## **D.1 Chart Comparison**

In US1EEZ2M Baker Island's location is distorted. It is recommended to replace island location with new data.




Figure 16: US1EEZ2M Baker Island location.

#### **D.1.1 Electronic Navigational Charts**

The following are the largest scale ENCs, which cover the survey area:

ENC	Scale	Edition	Update Application Date	Issue Date
US5SP23M	1:15000	4	09/05/2017	09/05/2017
US1EEZ2M	1:3500000	5	08/08/2018	08/08/2018

Table 14: Largest Scale ENCs

#### **D.1.2 Shoal and Hazardous Features**

No shoals or potentially hazardous features exist for this survey.

#### **D.1.3 Charted Features**

No charted features exist for this survey.

#### **D.1.4 Uncharted Features**

No uncharted features exist for this survey.

#### **D.1.5** Channels

No channels exist within the survey limits.

## **D.2 Additional Results**

#### **D.2.1** Aids to Navigation

ENC US5SP23M has one charted Beacon, the Baker Island Light Beacon, safe water feature that was visually confirmed. The ENC also has six charted Landmarks, which were visually confirmed. The Beacon and Landmarks have been added to the final feature file.



Figure 17: Beacon, safe water that was visually confirmed.

#### **D.2.2 Maritime Boundary Points**

No Maritime Boundary Points were assigned for this survey.

#### **D.2.3 Bottom Samples**

No bottom samples were required for this survey.

#### **D.2.4 Overhead Features**

No overhead features exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.5 Submarine Features**

No submarine features exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.6 Platforms**

No platforms exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.7 Ferry Routes and Terminals**

No ferry routes or terminals exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.8** Abnormal Seafloor or Environmental Conditions

No abnormal seafloor or environmental conditions exist for this survey.

#### **D.2.9** Construction and Dredging

No present or planned construction or dredging exist within the survey limits.

#### **D.2.10 New Survey Recommendations**

No new surveys or further investigations are recommended for this area.

#### **D.2.11 ENC Scale Recommendations**

No new ENC scales are recommended for this area.

## E. Approval Sheet

As Chief of Party, field operations for this hydrographic survey were conducted under my direct supervision, with frequent personal checks of progress and adequacy. I have reviewed the attached survey data and reports.

All field sheets, this Descriptive Report, and all accompanying records and data are approved. All records are forwarded for final review and processing to the Processing Branch.

The survey data meets or exceeds requirements as set forth in the NOS Hydrographic Surveys Specifications and Deliverables, Field Procedures Manual, Letter Instructions, and all HSD Technical Directives. These data are adequate to supersede charted data in their common areas. This survey is complete and no additional work is required with the exception of deficiencies noted in the Descriptive Report.

Approver Name	Approver Title	Approval Date	Signature
Héctor L. Casanova	Chief of Party	12/31/2023	Digitally signed by CASANOVA.HECTORLUIS.1253816461 Dht:cuts_cuts_cuts_cuts_cuts_cuts_cuts_cuts_
Garrison L. Grant LT/NOAA	Field Operations Officer	12/31/2023	GRANT.GARRISO Digitally signed by GRANT.GARRISON_LAWRENC N.LAWRENCE.152 E.1523750115 Date: 2024.01.05 12:05:47 -10'00'
James B. Jacobson	Chief Survey Technician	12/31/2023	JACOBSONJAMES.BRY June B Junetarn 2024.01.05 13:49:50 -08'00'
Christina L. Brooks	Sheet Manager	12/31/2023	BROOKS.CHRISTI NA.LORRAINE.15 53513177 Digitally signed by BROOKS.CHRISTINA.LORRAIN EL553513177 Date: 202401.0514:53:05 -08'00'

# F. Table of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
AHB	Atlantic Hydrographic Branch
AST	Assistant Survey Technician
ATON	Aid to Navigation
AWOIS	Automated Wreck and Obstruction Information System
BAG	Bathymetric Attributed Grid
BASE	Bathymetry Associated with Statistical Error
СО	Commanding Officer
CO-OPS	Center for Operational Products and Services
CORS	Continuously Operating Reference Station
CTD	Conductivity Temperature Depth
CEF	Chart Evaluation File
CSF	Composite Source File
CST	Chief Survey Technician
CUBE	Combined Uncertainty and Bathymetry Estimator
DAPR	Data Acquisition and Processing Report
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DP	Detached Position
DR	Descriptive Report
DTON	Danger to Navigation
ENC	Electronic Navigational Chart
ERS	Ellipsoidal Referenced Survey
ERTDM	Ellipsoidally Referenced Tidal Datum Model
ERZT	Ellipsoidally Referenced Zoned Tides
FFF	Final Feature File
FOO	Field Operations Officer
FPM	Field Procedures Manual
GAMS	GPS Azimuth Measurement Subsystem
GC	Geographic Cell
GPS	Global Positioning System
HIPS	Hydrographic Information Processing System
HSD	Hydrographic Surveys Division

Acronym	Definition
HSSD	Hydrographic Survey Specifications and Deliverables
HSTB	Hydrographic Systems Technology Branch
HSX	Hypack Hysweep File Format
HTD	Hydrographic Surveys Technical Directive
HVCR	Horizontal and Vertical Control Report
HVF	HIPS Vessel File
ІНО	International Hydrographic Organization
IMU	Inertial Motion Unit
ITRF	International Terrestrial Reference Frame
LNM	Linear Nautical Miles
MBAB	Multibeam Echosounder Acoustic Backscatter
MCD	Marine Chart Division
MHW	Mean High Water
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water
NAD 83	North American Datum of 1983
NALL	Navigable Area Limit Line
NTM	Notice to Mariners
NMEA	National Marine Electronics Association
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOS	National Ocean Service
NRT	Navigation Response Team
NSD	Navigation Services Division
OCS	Office of Coast Survey
OMAO	Office of Marine and Aviation Operations (NOAA)
OPS	Operations Branch
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder
NWLON	National Water Level Observation Network
PDBS	Phase Differencing Bathymetric Sonar
РНВ	Pacific Hydrographic Branch
POS/MV	Position and Orientation System for Marine Vessels
РРК	Post Processed Kinematic
PPP	Precise Point Positioning
PPS	Pulse per second

Acronym	Definition
PRF	Project Reference File
PS	Physical Scientist
RNC	Raster Navigational Chart
RTK	Real Time Kinematic
RTX	Real Time Extended
SBES	Singlebeam Echosounder
SBET	Smooth Best Estimate and Trajectory
SNM	Square Nautical Miles
SSS	Side Scan Sonar
SSSAB	Side Scan Sonar Acoustic Backscatter
ST	Survey Technician
SVP	Sound Velocity Profiler
TCARI	Tidal Constituent And Residual Interpolation
TPU	Total Propagated Uncertainty
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	United States Coast Guard
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
XO	Executive Officer
ZDF	Zone Definition File